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Do American Negroes Dream of Alabaster Sheep?

An Indigenist Deconstruction of African Assimilationist Pathologies & Other Anti-Colonialist Writings

by

The Angry Indian

Editor-in-Chief of the Aboriginal Press News Service
‘It may be malicious, waiting for a hostile opponent to call you "nigger"; it may be the innocent, even well-meaning "jokes" meant to make everyone "comfortable": or it may be the going-out-of-the-way to welcome blacks in social or business situations. The effect is largely the same. The black person is reminded of his otherness, his difference, his stigma, and it's exhausting, an invention of whites to preserve advantage’.

- Anthony Walton: 'Dear Jack: a letter across the racial divide'

Author’s Foreword:

This text is the result of what was supposed to be a brief editorial about ethnic confusion in the modern cosmopolitan society that instead turned into a incessant stream of self-steering commentary while paying attention to international news developments in real-time. When I first began this work we had just lost two significant African thinkers, Bros. Elmer ‘Geronimo’ Pratt and Gil Scott Heron and as it wound down Libyan leader Colonel Muammar al-Gaddafi shot to death by his own countrymen after he was strafed by NATO aircraft in his hometown of Sirte. Troy Anthony Davis was lynched somewhere in the middle.

Now that ‘Operation Mermaid Dawn’ is a big success and the World Bank is ready to return to the country to work out a new austerity deal with the ‘White Libyans’ of the quickly formed ‘National Transitional Council of Libya’ (TNC) all is well in the colonialist world, ennit? And to top it all off, American President Barack H. Obama has announced that all regular US troops serving in the Iraq Occupation are to be evacuated from the country by the end of 2011. It is assumed that the international mercenary firms already in-country will remain to continue providing ‘security’ services to the Iraq government and the massive US embassy complex based in the nation. It still seems like business as usual so what sounds like good news may in fact be something else entirely. With the recent news of a supposedly failed assassination plot attempt by Iran against the Saudi government on US soil it seems clear that something else is brewing. And it isn’t very pretty.

Africa is clearly being violated, again, without regard for morality, humanity or peace. Death has been brought to the common people of Libya. The Black Libyan living and working in the country for all accounts and purposes does not exist any longer. And yet another African leader not on good terms with the whimsical and fleeting winds of European paternalism has been killed in cold blood. The pattern never stops and seemingly, the players never bother to change their knickers. We have seen this pattern before, only the locations change.

As I put my thoughts to the keyboard I wanted to raise the question of our intellectual response to colonialism and by extension, White racism. Now that the glass wall for Black politicians in the US has been, as least temporarily, set aside so the the African in Africa will happily tolerate more of the same old colonialism, I think that it is important to ask why so many assimilationist people of colour work against their own best interests. While it is quite common for conventional stereotypes to assume only Africans have this problem, inter-cultural and counter-cultural schisms exist in all human social relations. If World Wars One and Two and the Balkan Wars were not tribal wars based on pure ethnic hatreds, what were they?

However, people of the African Diaspora are particularly pressured by their historical subhuman position of powerlessness and post-traumatic slave disorder (PTSD). And without understanding these factors and the documented history of subversive intelligence activities specifically designed to retard, undermine and destroy the ability of Africans, Native Americans and other ethnic and social minorities to defend themselves from exploitation. It is impossible to cover every aspect of such a broad subject, but I did try to ask myself questions about the issues I felt should be discussed before the social climate worsens beyond rational discourse.

This text is written unapologetically for those of colour and others not hooked like smug, hipster addicts on the arbitrary institutional privilege of being Caucasian. It is a humble attempt to stop the madness by encouraging the questions most would prefer not to be asked. I argue that the African can no longer be silent in the face of what clearly a populist White Christian capitalist reaction on two particular fronts: the election of the first Black president at home and the latest neo-liberal push to force Africa open for business in direct competition with China and Iran’s interest in the continent. Rest assured, with all this wheeling and dealing going on the native African will receive absolutely nothing. He will clench his Bible and feel good about it because his minister told him that is what is best for him to do.

He will have Yahweh and the White man in the US and Europe will have his wealth. It will be brought to him on a platter by his willing African accomplices in brigandage and the international bankers and war profiteers of the First World. There is
much work to be done, for sure. It will be frustrating and there will be struggle, but intellectual emancipation is well worth the effort in terms of practical requirements for sustainable and functional social change. Challenging the emotive constraints of the extant power structure is never an easy task. But freedom of thought is the first rule of rational self-defence. And our primary responsibility should be one of intelligent reform through personal deconstruction of the facts concerning the world we live in.

The future looks extremely grim for the Black man. And all it really takes is the willingness sometimes to take a stand by simply opening your mind to stop the process. The African is undergoing an immaculate genocide not unlike a lobster being lowered slowly into a large clay pot of boiling BP-infested water. We have what it takes to stop the process. Just start thinking.

So get to work.

-TheAngryindian

From Asiatic Black Man to Quashie

‘If I were a Negro... I would be proud because my people created civilizations when Europe was a forest; I would be proud because my people -- and my people alone in all human history-- made a single step from slavery to democracy; in Haiti, that was’.

- Howard Fast
The presence of the African in all parts of the physical world is undeniable. Without us, there would be no world, known or unknown, to speak of. We are the First Peoples. Having manifested upright on the Mother Continent, it was the African who gave birth to all the peoples, nations and tribes of the human race. Our mother is the Mother of the entire world. From the Olmecs in the Americas to the jagged hills of Anatolia, the descendants of African explorers, chattel slaves and conscripted soldiers have without credible argument made their mark upon the whole of the world. If the modern era has forgotten this history we need to ask ourselves why. We must also ask why did some people, powerful people, find it necessary, vital even, to erase the knowledge and the reality of an entire people?¹

The atrocities of the African slave trade have forged an indelible stain upon the individual and collective consciousness of the African Diaspora. This condition exists no matter where African people are found and no matter what their generation. With very rare exception, every living African is theoretically a victim of anti-Black cultural bias, ‘racial’ discrimination and intentional social deprivation. Even in the Motherland, the African cannot honestly say that he or she is truly ‘free’. As I write this the independent African nation of Libya is being mercilessly attacked by its former colonialist oppressors under the auspices of NATO, a military organisation that technically should not exist any longer. The ‘Cold War’ between western European Christian market capitalists and the socialist-inspired Eastern Bloc officially ended when East Germany’s repressed fascists leaped over the rubble of the Berlin Wall and set up shop in the emerging European Union. And besides, Libya is in Africa, a geolocation that falls under the political and military jurisdiction of the African Union, not NATO or AFRICOM which are both representative of Europe’s history of colonialism and economic exploitation on the continent and elsewhere.

I only mention the current sociopolitical state of Libya merely to point out that the African, as a people and as an ‘idea’, is a human dynamic essentially estranged from itself. So much so that Africans in many cases are no longer offended when our basic humanity is challenged. And more often than not, we are quite willing not only to emotionally acquiesce to suggestions of congenital Black inferiority, but perfectly happy to add our moral weight towards the effort. Hence, the ‘acceptable’ Negro persona which represents neither man nor beast, but firmly identifies the African as a mindless, childlike imbecile perpetually in search of a firm and guiding white-skinned hand. When understood from the perspective of the victim as opposed to the perpetrator, the crisis of African self-identity at home and abroad is the longest-running example of trained self-colonialisation known in human history.²

This ‘Noble African’ ideal philosophically wallows in the very same Europocentric currents as does the ‘Noble Savage’ example found in the Americas. Both marginalised demographics have tasted the bitter words and deeds of the White Christians and their promises to ‘liberate’ the non-White world through slavery, displacement and cultural destruction. And both groups to a great extent have intellectually bought into the notion that their innate humanity means nothing without European direction and White social approval. This is why American flags are honoured during Indian Pow-Wows and why African women chemically straighten their hair and lighten their skin.³ It is a conscious effort to mask the disfigured Caliban that White people wish to believe resides deep inside all non-Europeans they decide to oppress in order to justify the expropriation of their natural and human worth.⁴

Our indigenous languages, traditions and even our skin colours are traits we are taught to hate, dismiss and ‘white-out’ as much as humanly possible. We are encouraged to view ourselves as embarrassing reflections of the primitive-man, a subhuman prototype of the ‘real man’ of Providence who by his very nature is master of the material universe.⁵ The White man considers himself superior to the Black and Brown man. This is a fact. This too is another fact: the Black and Brown man, given no other opportunity for physical and psychological survival, will always struggle to live-up to the daydream of White superiority and the infantile whims of the White power structure.⁶

Our essence, this ‘Immoveable veil of Blackness’ ‘according to US politician and slaver owner Thomas Jefferson, cannot be improved on, hidden with education or mitigated by inter-breeding. In fact, Jefferson went on to opine that even with multiple generations of selective African-Anglo mixing the American Indian may eventually cultivate an authentic human

² Frantz Fanon: ‘Reciprocal Bases of National Culture and the Fight for Freedom’, Wretched of the Earth (1959)
⁵ A. Hitler: ‘Mein Kampf’, (1930)
identity, but Africans he argued were by nature, just too ‘black’ to be real people.\(^1\) Thus, no matter what feats and accomplishments Africans may do or may have done for the advancement of mankind, according to the rules of Europocentric ‘Central Position’, none of it counts. The African is a ‘Nigger’, a nothing. A cipher without corporeal form. He lives always in the negative. He is intellectually opaque and hopelessly animalistic in his movements and in his sexuality, which alone justifies for many, the need to apply unconditional restraint.\(^2\)

African people are seen and treated as the ‘Black’ -- the ‘Other’ -- an alien being biologically and physically separate from the ‘truly’ human White races of Europe. God’s people indeed. The ‘Black’ therefore for all accounts and purposes embodies the darkness and the shadow, the frightening psychological netherworld of the White man’s hidden nightmares. This is why when ethnic bigotry and racism is objectively deconstructed, even within a purely Europocentric context, it always turns out to be in fact, a cognitive problem of White people or those who are deemed ‘White’ by their respective social divisions. It becomes a problem for non-Whites however when these ‘Whites’ act upon their fears and paranoias with their considerable sociopolitical power.\(^3\)

Lynchings, opportunistic beatings, home/shelter burn-outs, joy-whippings, murders, race-riots, Nigger-hunts, racialised sexual assaults and organised White racist terrorist acts directed against Native Americans and Africans are a matter of the United States historical record. Not that it is talked about much if referenced at all, it still remains to be said that pro-White institutional racism and xenophobic bias is as ‘American’ as apple pie and as old as the republic. The grand olde God-fearing United States republic was built on it.\(^4\) You can choose, if you prefer, to accept the official line that the US Civil War was a moral argument against involuntary servitude but the sociopolitical realities differ radically from the Euro-settler’s nationalist positivism. It is pure, unadulterated historical revisionism in its most classic sense to suggest that European racism against Africans, Natives and even Jews in the US was ever really a major concern.\(^5\) The Dred Scott v. Sandford case proved that when Chief Justice of the United States Roger B. Taney argued that Africans (and other non-Whites) are not in need of even the most basic considerations under the law of the land:

- ‘Beings of an inferior order, and altogether unfit to associate with the white race, either in social or political relations, and so far inferior that they had no rights which the white man was bound to respect’.
- ‘So it is a lie of the greatest magnitude to argue that America has ever been good to the Black man. And it is miscarriage of human justice to argue that the United States has ever respected his basic human or civil rights even in the 21st century.

Crispus Attucks Died For Your Sins

- ‘For my part, while I have a life and tongue, I’ll curse the authors of my slavery’.
- Oroonoko

When Chief Justice Taney of the US Supreme Court decided to take it upon himself to articulate the official White supremacist position of the United States government in relation to its African residents, he spoke for the entire White settler world. The Dred Scott decision, a government defence in favour of African subjugation, is largely forgotten today in favour of the Armistad case which unlike Scott’s, ended well for the Africans involved. There is a very good reason for such selective history. The legal result of the Armistad Revolt has the residual effect of making White America appear ‘fair and balanced’, whereas the Dred Scott case clearly makes White America look exactly like the racialised, genocidal and cowardly denialist nation that it really is.\(^6\)

---

2 ‘THE CONTINUING CRIME OF BLACK IMPRISONMENT’, The Committee to End the Marion Lockdown (1995)
Make no mistake, the United States of White America is a country populated by emotionally petty, frightened little people. Forever fearful of reaping the proverbial harvest of what they have sown in pursuit of their own xenophobic selfishness. Forget about Barack Hussein Obama. His election was a planned event, not a progression or compromise to its African ex-slave population. Mr. Obama was hailed by both Left and Right political spectrums as the ‘right’ candidate at the right time. Mostly because White society views his father’s birth in Kenya and his mother’s European heritage as ‘different’ from other American Africans. His parentage they argued technically absolves him of the ‘race’ issue because his African forbears were not directly involved in the horrors of the American slave system, except as slave owners themselves. In speaking of his ancestry and his mother’s slave-owning ancestors, President Barack Obama said: ‘That’s no surprise. That’s part of our tortured, tangled history’.

Wow. Like most Whites in America with similar ugly family skeletons, Mr. Obama simply shrugged it all off as just ‘history’. One has to wonder, would Mr. Obama have treated such disconcerting information this casually if his background was instead populated by goose-stepping, murderous Nazi stormtroopers? I sincerely doubt it. We have to assume, given the political climate of the US, that he would be compelled by the Zionist lobby to apologise every time he stepped outside the White House for the remainder of his time in office. Consider the political fall-out when then Major-General Ulysses S. Grant issued General Order No. 11 in 1862 while serving under President Abraham Lincoln. It was immediately slammed as the Judeophobic piece of legislation it actually was and promptly annulled although numerous Jews were affected by the order. Grant’s personal reputation for rank Judeophobia dogged him throughout his life but oddly enough, his support from American Jewry always remained strong. After he became president he spent the rest of his political career making amends with the Jewish community by selecting more Jews for government service than any of his predecessors and being the first US President to visit a synagogue in 1874.

I understand fully if the reader finds all this a tad off-base but please bear with me here for a moment for racism is a complex phenomenon and needs explaining. Ignoring the contemporary political carnival we are lumbered with today is key to understanding just what I mean when I say that ‘racism’ is just that, an ‘ism’ used for political purposes. American Republicans and other conservatives, (two terms that have been utterly sodomised by right-wing US political theater) have made great use of the early republican measures to secure the rights of Africans in the United States. But what they don’t tell you about the GOP at that time is the fact that Africans, Native Americans and easily identifiable Jews were not truly welcome in their society either, unless they were willing to capitulate to Europocentric racial privileges and social paradigms.

This is a very important issue today since modern neo-Confederate republicans like Michelle Bachmann and assimilationist pro-Europocentric Negroes like Allen West, Alan Keyes and Herman Cain have taken it upon themselves to jaundice the true historical record of America’s issues with race with White supremacist revisionism. The visible support republicans offered to the African emancipation effort after the war was simply political expediency, not racial or ethnic brotherhood in thought and practise. White racism was still White racism. President Lincoln’s only goal was to maintain the unity of the states by defeating southern ‘Slaveocracy’, not to liberate enslaved and mistreated African peoples. Social integration was never the aim of the republican party, the Civil War nor the northern anti-slavery abolitionist movement. Whites may not have been happy about the institution of slavery, but it is also true that most would have preferred that there be no Africans in the US at all.

Under President Lincoln’s encouragement the US federal government attempted several times to create colonies in which to deport Africans but wrangling over where, how and who would pay for it prevented the programme from being successful. Operations to remove Blacks out of the US included plans in Haiti, Nueva Granada, Cuba, Mexico, Canada, British occupied Belize and other South American nations and nearly all of them failed miserably. But they did remove a certain number of Africans out of the continental US which was in fact the intended goal of the programme.

When President Grant passed the Civil Rights Act of 1871, (17 Stat. 13) it did much to destroy the terrorist violence of the original Reconstruction-era Ku Klux Klan until they reformed under President Woodrow Wilson’s watchful eye in 1915. This was a good thing, but the main issue was one of political practicality since the Klan was also targeting members of the republican party as well as Blacks, Jews, Natives and some Irish Catholics in a desperate reactionary bid to overturn

---

1 Rosemary E. Bachelor: ‘Some of President Obama’s White Ancestors Owned Slaves’, (2010)
3 ‘A Jewish Rebuke to Confederate Anti-Semitism in Richmond’, Course: ‘America, 1820-1890 (2010),’ Furman University
5 Paul J. Scheips: ‘Lincoln and the Chiriqui Colonization Project’, Journal of Negro History #37 (1952)
Reconstruction-era social reforms. According to a 1870 government report:

‘There has existed since 1868, in many counties of the state, an organization known as the Ku Klux Klan, or Invisible Empire of the South, which embraces in its membership a large proportion of the white population of every profession and class. The Klan has a constitution and bylaws, which provides, among other things, that each member shall furnish himself with a pistol, a Ku Klux gown and a signal instrument. The operations of the Klan are executed in the night and are invariably directed against members of the Republican Party. The Klan is inflicting summary vengeance on the colored citizens of these citizens by breaking into their houses at the dead of night, dragging them from their beds, torturing them in the most inhuman manner, and in many instances murdering’.

It is a fair question to ask if the government response to Klan violence would have been as forceful had White republicans not been included in the mayhem. Little was done to address aggressive racism in the northern states or the western territories and the mention of civil and human rights in Boston or New York could result in a busted nose or worse. Neither republicans nor democrats have ever allowed Indigenous people to reconstitute their Nations under their own direction and negative elements of ethnic propaganda against Blacks, Natives, Asians, Arabs and Jews have regularly appeared within the popular cultures of both parties. The Sinophobic Chinese Exclusion Act (1882), the Scott Act (1888) and the Geary Act (1892) are examples of such attacks as were legal proscriptions against the Japanese, (wartime Internment) Mexican Immigrants, Native Hawaiians, Charromos (Guam), Poles, Hungarians and other ‘sub-races’ as defined by the US government. In fact, the strict Anglo-Saxon preference found within the popular national discourse kept pro-White immigration polices on the books until 1965 when the laws were changed under pressure from the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

As President John, F. Kennedy analysed during the rise of White supremacist movements in the Klan-held south during his administration, ‘Laws cannot change how men feel in their hearts’ in regards to anti-African racism and bias. Laws may address certain social issues but everyday people must still be willing to abide by the rules. White racism in the US has never been accepted willingly by a majority of the European population. Hence, the purpose of passing a law to force the public sector to ‘accept’ Africans and other non-Whites. Provided that they toe the line in terms of White supremacist social policy in every way possible.

When racism is generally addressed in US law it is mainly for political purposes rather than for human rights reasons. And the US version of republican politics was just as active in maintaining the White Anglo-Saxon Protestant ethic as southern democrats were until both parties switched moral alliances, again over issues surrounding Southern racism in the 1960’s. Despite these surface divisions, both political parties have always been in full agreement on at least one issue, the United State of by God America was going to remain ‘White’ by any means necessary.

Racism in the ‘Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave’ has always been a unified, ecumenical Europocentric project. In the case of Africans it was the conservative American Colonization Society that suggested sending all Blacks back to Africa arguing that it was impossible for them to survive in the United States under White domination without potentially dire social complications for both groups.\(^1\) Kentucky congressman Henry Clay spoke clearly about White America’s ‘Unconquerable Prejudice’ and the logic of ‘sending them back where they came from’. He was not alone. Originally created by abolitionist Quakers, the ACS became a meeting point for White racists eager to rid the United States of its African population by using them to establish an American colonial foothold in West Africa. Colonialist opportunists in the government and in the military concealed their covert plans for economic exploitation through the repatriation movement with the intention of using newly freed ‘Black Americans’ to operate the new colonies on their behalf. This is exactly what happened with at least one US military officer, Robert F. Stockton, reportedly founding the present site of Liberia’s capital by forcing the local chieftain to sign a treaty at gunpoint. The supposed ‘right’ of White rule in the Americas, and the world for that matter, remained unquestioned and few today can honestly say that many, if not most, White Americans still view the world exactly this way.

As we can see in today’s headlines, the preservation of White rule internationally is the number one priority of the global elite in terms of ideological, philosophical and sociopolitical decision making. When politicians make gestures that seem like a hand up, look out for what might be up their sleeve. When President Woodrow Wilson ran for president in 1912 his campaign promises included pledges to support African civil rights efforts, in the Booker T. Washington sense, earning democrats the majority Black vote that election by peeling Africans away from the republican party. By the time Wilson entered office however he not only reneged on his assurances to American Blacks but went further by establishing Jim Crow in the federal government itself, recreating an institutional separatism that had not existed since the US Civil War. Numerous Black republicans were bullied out of office under his administration or were simply fired outright in accordance with the law. In response to a group of African servicemen voicing their opinion about racial marginalisation within the US military, Wilson said, ‘Segregation is not a humiliation but a benefit, and ought to be so regarded by you gentlemen’.

Some Blacks were acceptable however, so long as they were useful to promoting Wilson’s conservative sociopolitical agendas. While nearly every African republican working for the federal government was dismissed during his administration, President Wilson was not above appointing loyal Black democrats to posts where having a Negro in place would help ensure a certain level of social compliancy. He attempted to ‘buy’ Pan-Africanist intellectual W. E. B. Du Bois by offering him a position with the US Army overseeing Black troops. But according to the rumours of the day, Wilson had the entrance exam ‘fixed’ to prevent the politically ‘unpredictable’ Du Bois from serving.\(^2\) It is also true that Wilson nominated the first Jew in US history to the Supreme Court and this indeed was a progressive move. But he did nothing to address American Christian Judeophobia during his presidency, except to weakly support the ‘Perils of Racial Prejudice’ statement and during his time at Princeton University, the pious Presbyterian and former slave owner went to far as to state that:

\[\text{The main stocks of modern European forms of government are Aryan. The institutional history of Semitic or Turanian peoples is hardly part of the history of European governments: it is only analogous to it in many of the earlier stages of development}\]

Remember too that President Wilson can in many ways be credited with a creating a social environment that provided government cover for the rebirth of the Ku Klux Klan, the legal institution of Eugenics (racial hygiene)\(^4\) and by extension, gave a the German Nazi movement an example to go by in terms of government-sponsored racial marginalisation. Wilson as president also went so far as to promote the White supremacist cause in the US by hosting a special viewing of the racist propaganda film, ‘Birth of a Nation’ (The Clansmen)\(^5\) at the White House. Wilson, a southerner, agreed that the film, ‘Told the story of the White man’ and argued that it was the original Klaverns that restored order to the post-war South by rescuing innocent Whites, especially women, from freed Blacks, scalawags, abolitionists and Jewish ‘carpetbaggers’ from northern states such as Chicago and New York.\(^6\)

\(^{1}\) Tom Leonard: ‘Abraham Lincoln 'tried to deport slaves' to British colonies’, (2011)
\(^{2}\) (wikipedia notation) James J. Cooke: ‘The All-Americans at War: The 82nd Division in the Great War, 1917-1918’ (1999)
\(^{3}\) Woodrow Wilson: 'The state: elements of historical and practical politics', (1889)
\(^{4}\) Dennis L. Cuddy, Ph.D : 'The Dark Roots of EUGENICS', (2009)
\(^{5}\) The film was based on a book, ‘The Clansman: An Historical Romance of the Ku Klux Klan’ by by Thomas F. Dixon, Jr., a personal acquaintance of Woodrow Wilson.
Its easy for modern republicans and conservatives to selectively point out the moral failings of the democratic party while denying their own culpability in creating and manipulating racial tensions at will. This sort of hypocrisy exists in republican political history too and is just as preposterous as it is ugly. Going back a bit to the US Civil War we can look at the text of then-General Grant’s efforts to remove the ‘Jewish influence’ in his command areas through the questionable General Order No. 2 followed by the equally nefarious ‘General Order No. 11’ (1862) which said in brief:

1 The Jews, as a class violating every regulation of trade established by the Treasury Department and also department orders, are hereby expelled from the Department [of the Tennessee] within twenty-four hours from the receipt of this order.
2 Post commanders will see to it that all of this class of people be furnished passes and required to leave, and any one returning after such notification will be arrested and held in confinement until an opportunity occurs of sending them out as prisoners, unless furnished with permit from headquarters.
3 No passes will be given these people to visit headquarters for the purpose of making personal application of trade permits.

This document files in the face of Grant’s political attitude towards newly-freed Blacks whom he championed in terms of self-sustainability and his swift and relentless response to Klan terrorism in the South. But even here we have to understand and ask why he was adamant on addressing racism in the southern states and not in cities like New York, Chicago and Boston where Blacks were the regular victims of White racist violence? Mr. Grant as a military leader and as US president understood that if Africans were not allowed to support themselves they would either be a burden upon the federal government or their poverty and social marginalisation would lead to increased social unrest with property-owning and wage-earning Whites angry with the government.

This view was supported by President Lincoln who weakly proposed giving the vote to ‘some’ Blacks on the condition that only ‘The very intelligent, and especially those who have fought gallantly in our ranks’ be accorded the honour. Especially if they owned property, thereby creating a class-buffer that would naturally seek to preserve their privileges against other Africans still being abused by ‘convict-lease’ laws following the ‘official’ end of legal slavery. Others opined that a loyal Negro to the American way of life was ‘more worthy than a disloyal white man’ and conceded that the development of a Black ‘middle-class’ was the best way to politically control both Reconstruction and the Freedman population.

No accepted discussion of institutional racism and ethnic bigotry in the United States ever deviates from the path set by Whites before, during or after the Civil War. Meaning that for all accounts and purposes no action or law will be entertained that does not place an emphasis on what is best for the White ‘Master Race’ and their preservation of sociopolitical power. Blacks, Natives and other acceptable minorities may be included to various degrees, but this not the same thing as equality as human beings. When it comes to the Maafa, (African Holocaust) the ongoing genocide of African peoples is seems is nothing anyone need consider nor apologise for. ‘It happened’, the American Negro is told, ‘...so forget about it because the rest of us have’.

Barack Obama as presidential candidate made it very clear that he rejected African claims to compensation for centuries of White racism and genocide. As president he has still not spoken in serious terms about White racism other than to blame Black liberation activists, such as his former pastor, Rev. Jeremiah Wright and the Hon. Min. Louis Farrakhan of the Nation of Islam for standing up to American Apartheid in his useless ‘Race Speech’ of 2008. In his attempt to distance himself from the Black liberation movement by throwing his former mentor to the proverbial wolves, he has excused the racism of Whites, including his own family members, by identifying their biases against Africans as ‘reasonable’ given the visible anger many Blacks exhibited during and after the Civil Rights era:

‘But for all those who scratched and clawed their way to get a piece of the American Dream, there were many who didn’t make it - those who were ultimately defeated, in one way or another, by discrimination. That legacy of defeat was passed on to future generations - those young men and

1See: ‘Last Public Address, Speeches and Writings’, Abraham Lincoln Online. April 11, 1865
2Frederick Douglass: ‘Reconstruction: “No republic is safe that tolerates a privileged class, or denies to any of its citizens equal rights and equal means to maintain them”’, December 1866 Atlantic Magazine
increasingly young women who we see standing on street corners or languishing in our prisons,
without hope or prospects for the future. Even for those blacks who did make it, questions of race,
and racism, continue to define their worldview in fundamental ways. For the men and women of
Reverend Wright's generation, the memories of humiliation and doubt and fear have not gone away;
nor has the anger and the bitterness of those years. That anger may not get expressed in public, in
front of white co-workers or white friends. But it does find voice in the barbershop or around the
kitchen table. At times, that anger is exploited by politicians, to gin up votes along racial lines, or to
make up for a politician's own failings’.
- Barack Obama, 2008 ‘Race Speech’

Mr. Obama demonstrates conclusively here his belief that the fault for anti-African racism is ultimately the responsibility
of Blacks themselves too ‘stuck’ on remaining ‘Black’ in White America. This is the Dinesh D’Souza school of White
apologetics1 and no less dangerous than the eugenicist argument presented in ‘Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class
Structure in American Life’.2 He goes further to state for the record that Whites today have no reason to feel ‘guilty’
about a past they had ‘little to do with’, ignoring the fact that Euro-Americans still reap the benefits whilst Africans and
Indigenous Peoples still suffer the rape of their lands, cultures and respective identities:

‘In fact, a similar anger exists within segments of the white community. Most working- and
middle-class white Americans don’t feel that they have been particularly privileged by their race.
Their experience is the immigrant experience - as far as they’re concerned, no one’s handed them
anything, they’ve built it from scratch. They’ve worked hard all their lives, many times only to see
their jobs shipped overseas or their pension dumped after a lifetime of labor. They are anxious about
their futures, and feel their dreams slipping away; in an era of stagnant wages and global
competition, opportunity comes to be seen as a zero sum game, in which your dreams come at my expense. So when they are told to bus their children to a school across town; when they hear that an African American is getting an advantage in landing a good job or a spot in a good college because of an injustice that they themselves never committed; when they’re told that their fears about crime in urban neighborhoods are somehow prejudiced, resentment builds over time’.
- Barack Obama, 2008 ‘Race Speech’

So in effect Barack Obama, strictly psychologically speaking and by his own admission, is not ‘One of us’. And we, as
communities of colour, must be willing to accept the reality that his skin colour has the blinding effect of concealing the
White man that lives deep within his mind, heart and spirit. And further, we have to accept the fact that we as
people-of-colour have allowed ourselves to be fooled by the supposed non-issue of his race-neutrality. This has occurred,
mostly, because many of us really want to be cheated by someone for once who looks a little more like us. American
anti-Negro self-colonialisation has been so effective, we now actively struggle to be slaves to the Europocentric system.

This isn’t a broad disparagement as much as it is an objective acknowledgement of the cognitive realities of the situation.
It is not impertinent to point out that Africans throughout the Diaspora are a confused people not certain of where we belong or how we are supposed to think about ourselves and our own best interest. This psychic derangement was seen during the African revolutions to counter European colonialism during the 1950’s to the 1970’s when newly liberated African nations retained the geographic, military and civic institutions of their former masters. The political window dressing had changed, but the abuses of class, ethnicity and economic exploitation continued under new management without missing a beat.3

This trend of African cultural self-destruction continues today. Africans born and raised in the Motherland of the 21st
century have begun accepting chemical hair straightening, skin bleaching and American-style evangelical Christianity as ‘ normal’ and vital for any hope of success in the ‘New Africa’. Where is the anti-colonialist power in adopting your master’

s ways of living, praying and thinking? Wealthy American Blacks such as Oprah Winfrey have made great promotional noise about what ‘they have done of Africa’ without mentioning that they are working on behalf of White capitalists to reinstitute the Europocentric model of White colonialism to a new generation of Africans.¹

Obviously, the issue of divided dynamics causes severe psychological issues for many within the African and Native communities, a confusion that manifests itself as drug abuse, alcoholism, suicide and many other negatives that deeply harm the entire community. Barack Obama as president and as a man of colour has failed to show leadership in this area and this is the root meaning of his importance at this particular time in capitalist history.² Mr. Obama’s loyalty is to the White community and all of its institutions and this is clear from his steadfast refusal to address, much less do something about, Europocentric racism and the damage it has caused around the world, especially in Africa.³

Under such a colonialist perspective there is no way he can ever authoritatively represent nor argue the case for true emancipation of the Black Man in America. He has purposefully allowed himself to be, in effect, racially-nullified by White liberals and ethnically-emasculated by the more vociferous pro-White bigots which by itself proves the power and the scope of institutional White racism in the United States. But why let facts get in the way of respectable selective ignorance? In the political sense he may just be an ‘American’ but socially he is still a Black man and that conspicuous item will never be denied if only because it would make the racism involved in such shenanigans too painfully obvious. But at the very same time he is too ‘White’ to critically identify with actual ‘Black’ people and he is still too ‘Black’ for White racist American mental comfort.⁴ A cultural conundrum only possible in the Americas.⁵

The Alphabet of African Exclusion

‘You know, right before he was killed he came down to Selma and said some pretty passionate things against me, and that surprised me because after all it was my territory there. But afterwards he took my wife aside, and said he thought he could help me more by attacking me than praising me. He thought it would make it easier for me in the long run’.

- Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. speaking about Malcolm X

If all of this sounds entirely nonsensical to the reader I completely understand your frustration. Racism, especially as practised by White societies in colonial situations, is a confusing and shapeless hodgepodge of conflicting philosophies resulting in systemic dilemmas that do not make any sense.⁶ In short, the practise of racism is illogical. Any brief review of world history points out in clear detail that institutional ethnic bias is powerfully counterproductive to human progression. But it also has another important dynamic, racism as an ‘ism’, provides the psychological expediency of helping one group effectively dominate many others through little more than traditional social convention. It’s just an easier way to do business.

When we confuse racism with ethnic bigotry we are lazily omitting the real scope of the problem. Ethnic biases are indeed forceful elements in a society, but racism is without a doubt the literal tool of the state-system. The practise of racism cannot be merely reduced to a set of intolerant attitudes based on simplistic ideas fueled by ignorance and emotional apprehensions. Racism is the institutional practise of these beliefs, especially when it promoted and defended by those who decide the functioning of a given social system. Whether codified in black-letter law or just observed within a community’s traditional social practises, Europocentric racism exists.⁷ And it has gone on to shape the attitudes of the entire world. So it is indeed foolish to attempt to exclude the question of racism when discussing the United States and it is

an insult to its victims to pretend that Barack Obama’s presidency has improved this terrible situation one tenth of one iota.

For most left-leaning Euro-Americans, Obama’s Blackness is considered to be merely incidental, while the reality of the One-Drop Rule angers conservative America to the point of tears. This is the truth.¹ The Euro-settler population, forced to deal with its own false image of itself after the Obama election, had a nervous breakdown. ‘America’, it seems, is no longer a ‘White’ nation. For many Americans, even if they are too ashamed to admit it openly, the sanctity of the White House has been irreparably ‘stained’ by the presence of the Obama family and many more Whites simply cannot accept the fact that a person of African descent is the most powerful man in the world.² In fact, the most powerful ‘African’ in human history. The emotional shock of what has happened is still painfully evident within the supposedly ‘liberal-biased’ mainstream news and opinion media, Internet social networking environments and the printed right-wing propaganda dedicated to getting the Black man out of the White House as quickly as possible.³
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Sherrod get from the Obama White House? How about the Black farmers that for all accounts and purposes no longer exist because his administration refused to support their right to timely compensation for generations of government abuse and neglect? He has done nothing to address African unemployment, our lack of access to proper health care, judicial anti-African bias in sentencing, HIV/AIDS in our community or the African debt crisis. He has no problem praising the American Africans who are serving in the US Armed Forces when the cameras are around, but he forgets all about them when they come back from their tours homeless and without any prospects for employment.1

Truth be told, outside of a handful of pitiful Uncle Toms in entertainment, athletics and Oprah Winfrey’s book club, Mr. Obama seemingly recognises very few other Blacks to be worthy of any respect. The rest of us it seems can literally go to Hell. When seven-year old Ayanna Jones was shot in the head and burned to death by overzealous Chicago police who falsely tried to frame her grandmother for the death, the Black president said and did absolutely nothing. On the other hand, both Mr. Obama and Hillary Clinton without delay released passionate, personalised videos strongly condemning anti-Gay bullying following a series of regrettable young suicides encouraged by hateful, homophobic intimidation. I am not in any way dismissing or minimising the loss of these young people, but it stands to reason that if you can make public statements about White youths committing suicide, you can also make equally forceful statements addressing Black youths being killed by municipal police brutality.

There are other empirical examples of this hypocrisy if you care to look at them. When Libyan rape-accuser Iman al-Obeidi lodged still unproven claims that she was sexually assaulted by that nation’s military elite, Obama’s government had her brought to the US and accorded immediate political asylum. How many other African women subjected to systemic rape have been aided by the US in this way? In an effort to demonise the Libyan government under the dictatorship of Gen. Mu’ammar al-Gathafi, rape in Africa suddenly matters to the White world. Provided that the atrocities can be made useful towards effective western anti-Libyan propaganda.2 For one find this sort of moral and political outreach extremely questionable since American women, many of them Black, serving in the US military and with private mercenary firms are the regular victims of sexual assault and the Obama administration has officially done little, if anything, to rectify the situation.3

Africa is the whipping-boy of the capitalist world. And human rights abuses by professional military personnel and irregulars on the continent have been officially documented ever since Germany began its first experiment with ethnic cleansing by wiping out the Herero and Nama peoples in the early 1900’s. The White world, including the United States, France, Germany, Belgium, Britain and now China, have done precious little to end the abuse other than use such atrocities as a cover for covert economic and paramilitary interests across the continent. A political situation no different than what occurred during the ‘Scramble for Africa’ and the proverbial ‘Cold War’ in which Africa was co-opted as a chessboard for East-West proxy engagements utilising indigenous Africans as military and free labour cannon fodder.4

Aside from weak rhetoric from the African Union, independent international human rights activists and the sincere pleas of dedicated Catholic Worker missionaries, no one is lifting a finger to improve the human tragedy that is Africa. Why? Because it would simply be very bad business for western financial interests if the abuse stopped. Far too much money is being made in African suffering for the rulers of the world to cease the pain.5 Especially since it isn’t they who are living under the indignities of human exploitation. Just look at Haiti, before and after the 2010 earthquake and ask yourself if anyone at all sincerely cares about the welfare Black man. And as we will see later, even Africans have found it possible, desirable and profitable to use the colour-line to advance their own personal aspirations by gleefully sending their own people straight into perdition.6

If the reader finds my remarks unnecessarily cynical, please consider this: Indigenous men, women and children have been the targets of numerous acts of militarised assault in Africa for centuries. How much has been done about it other than to prolong it and profit from the murder, the suffering and the wholesale theft? Europeans have always considered Africa and its peoples to be little more than a supermarket for raw materials, free labour and captive consumer markets for their industrial output. The basic rights of African people to be free from foreign exploitation, alien cultural coercion and slavery has never mattered to the White man. Belgium’s King Leopold II robbed, raped and killed all over Central Africa under the auspices of the Association Internationale Africaine and became extremely wealthy because of it. The result was utter devastation from which that part of Africa has never fully recovered. Such attitudes can be attributed in large part to the xenophobic notions of imperial Europe but this ignores the reality that White Europeans have always regarded themselves

---
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as a ‘chosen people’ that have a God-given ‘right’ to rule over others. This was expressed quite lucidly by the German-Jewish adventurer and committed anti-slavery governor for Egyptian-ruled Equatoria, Mehmed Emin Pasha, when he said during his time administrating the various Black peoples of the Sudan:

‘After many years’ of experiences of the Negroes and intimacy with them I have really no hopes at all of a regeneration of Negroes by Negroes -- I know my own men too well for that -- nor have I yet been able to bring myself to believe in the hazy sentimentalism which attempts the conversion and blessing of the Negroes by translating the New Testament and by moral pocket handkerchiefs’ alone’

It is also very important to note at this juncture that Europeans came into the ‘nigger-hating’ game a little late, as they were preceded by Arab nations for whom Black slavery had always been a major factor of the mainstream economy. Black converts to Islam today selectively ignore this fact and concentrate solely upon the historically erroneous view that the Muslim religion is directly related to traditional indigenous African spiritual beliefs. This is painfully far from the actual truth. Islam exists in the African world only because under strict Sharia restrictions it is unlawful and indeed sinful for a Muslim to enslave another observant Muslim. Practitioners of other faiths, Jews, Christians and especially pagans on the other hand were, and still are, fair game for plunder, abuse and finally sale to the highest bidder. Slavery in the ancient world existed in every culture that recognised Judeo-Christian and Muslim religion and its commerce extended itself from the Mediterranean to the chilly steppes of Central Asia and beyond. And due to this, the descendants of these African ‘Lost Generations’ can still be found all around the world in remote communities from Vietnam to Mexico and anywhere else the trade did the business of selling human life and flesh for gold coin.

[ Swahili-Zanzibari slave trader Tippu Tib ]

To protect themselves from Arab slavers, many African leaders forcibly converted their populations to Islam as a means of protection, not religious piety. This is a very critical point and one worth considering at length if we is to understand why Africans in the Diaspora and at home have so easily been lead in the wrong direction. It is preposterous to pay no heed to the historical role of Arab-influenced African slave traders who helped both Arabs and Europeans fleece Black Africa to the bone. Arabised Africans such as Swahili-Zanzibari slave trader Hemedi bin Muhammad el Marjebi or ‘Tippu Tib’ considered themselves to be racially and socially ‘White’ and felt no personal scruples selling his ‘other African’ brothers and sisters down the river. In his case he went out of his way to be accommodating to Europeans when they arrived in Africa and for his efforts became a colonial governor of the État Indépendant du Congo (Congo Free State) in 1887. While Arab society did eventually legally abolish the practise, in 1970 this has not ceased the trade and there are no credible signs that it is likely to end anytime soon. And regrettably, Arabised Black Africans are still a major part of the problem.
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Their Arabised ethnic identity, they believe, entitles them to make use of other African groups as material possessions to be captured, traded, abused and discarded at will. If this sounds a lot like the American concept of private-ownership rights as it applied to African slaves in the US during the Antebellum era, its because it is the very same system. Only the location and style of ownership is different. Slaves were deemed ‘private-property’ when John Locke, a slave trade investor, advocated his ideas of ‘natural rights for all men’. Non-Whites were not ‘men’ and therefore not included. Most British indentured servants during this same period became land owners themselves after the fulfillment of their contracts and were generally granted no less than 50 acres of ‘virgin property’ to do with as they wished.

Only an intellectual lame, a Europocentric historical revisionist or a US Republican would argue today that when Locke outlined his theories that he included Africans and other non-Whites for such liberalism. He clearly meant that White Englishmen had a legitimate ‘right’ to legally possess non-Whites as ‘private-property’ and said just this when he penned the ‘Fundamental Constitutions of Carolina’ (1669), which granted free Whites absolute power over their slaves.1 And it was this sort of reasoning, the twin concepts of justifiable human-chattel ownership and private-property rights, that served as the leading philosophical basis for the creation of massive wealth during the early days of what became the United States of America.

Furthermore, the emotional nostalgia that still exists for this period of class-negated liberalism and rapid wealth by penniless people from England is a main reason why White racism against Africans and Native Americans still continues. White Americans erroneously associate material wealth with anti-Black racism and ethnic separatism precisely because that was the mode of colonial civil society at the beginning of the country. In many ways the concepts of ‘Manifest Destiny’, the ‘Monroe Doctrine’ and modern ‘American Exceptionalism’ hark back directly to this period, a time they religiously regard as the White American ‘Paradise Lost’. This is the entire argument of the corporate funded goons of the Tea Party and the neo-Confederates that have taken over the republican faction in the US when they chant, ‘Take it Back, Take it Back!’ What they mean is: ‘Take it the country back from Negro rule’. This is true.2 They want the United States to go backwards, back to the social mores they believe will return the sort of material prosperity that existed during the good old days when Blacks were Blacks, Whites were Whites and women knew their place.

Which also means that slavery in Africa is just as it is in the western world, a wicked combination of pure institutional racism and free-market economics. A sociopolitical characteristic Arabised Africans have learned over time to accept as their own instinctual cultural, ethnic and economic biases although such practises are entirely alien to African traditional life-ways.3 So when we look at human-on-human impropriety in Africa, oppression is often an equal opportunity anti-Black exploiter. And within this convoluted and vicious chaos it has become achingly clear that many Africans who consider themselves either ‘Europocentric’ in ideology or ‘Arab’ in ethnicity, have served to help splinter Mother Africa into shards. The out-for-himself African, as taught by racist foreigners under colonial circumstances, is often the traditional, free-thinking African’s worst enemy.

When the Christian British military hero Major-General ‘Chinese’ Gordon lost his head defending British imperialism in North Africa, his adversary was an Arabised African Muslim who was determined to both remove all foreign influence from the Sudan and keep the slave trade going no matter the cost. His economy was dependent upon the trade and the audacious English were curtailing his business profits by making common labourers out of the general population for their own capitalist uses. Both sides were in effect two versions of the very same paradigm for both regarded the common Black sub-Saharan African as a backward, non-feeling animal fit only for brute servitude. The points at where they differ are simply elementary, for as far as the active players were concerned, this was an issue of territorial control, economic competition and religious pride, not a struggle to liberate the pained souls of struggling Black men justly crying out for their freedom.

\textbf{Aggravated African Subjugation}

\textit{The story has been told but perhaps needs telling again: How, by Western colonialism, the majority of the world’s people came to live in intolerable poverty and in absolute and relative deprivation. In addition, under colonialism, the majority of natives around the globe were subjected to inhuman treatment, whilst the people of the West behaved as if human rights did not exist in their vocabulary.}

Resistance to colonial oppression was met with more oppression and the natives took on the responsibility to fight the oppression with all the means available to them. They realized that unless they fought to liberate themselves from the intensity of oppression, no discourse on man’s inhumanity to man would disarm the oppressors. It was late to come but natives around the globe came to the realization that the route to liberation was in the people themselves.’

- Jean-Paul Sartre, (Colonialism and Neocolonialism)

In Africa, ‘liberation’ is simply another word for a ‘new’ oppressor. This has always been the case when outsiders have wanted something that Africa has. So whatever the political or moral differences between the current competing interests in Africa one thing is certain, the continent is undergoing a new phase of the same old White colonialism. And this time, a Black man is leading them. Make no mistake dear reader, Barack Hussein Obama is not in any way, shape or form an example of Black Power. We must be honest with ourselves about this and ask, what has his ‘leadership’ really been about these past years? And, who has he stepped forward to help? He certainly hasn’t helped the African, Native, Latino or White poor communities in the United States has he? Why the conservative right-wing is pulling out their hair over Barack Obama being president is ludicrous given that he has done more for White Christian capitalist America than he has for anyone else.

Just consider the steady stream of nonsense bandied about by the US right-wing about him and its hard to understand why they are complaining so much. As mentioned earlier, African people in the United States are statistically at an all-time low. And with absolutely no prospects available for improvement, it is clear that the conservative myth of an Obama-led ‘African Revolution’ against White America is a thoroughly racist theory that should now be laid to rest. And truth be told, such bold expressions of White angst say more about White American attitudes towards Black people than says about the targets of their hate. And until White Americans learn to maturely come to grips with their historical problems with anti-African bias and racism, the delusional fear of an angry ‘Black Backlash’ will continue to persist. It is also abundantly clear that such sentiments have already begun to shape the tone and tremor of the next presidential election which promises to be the most racist political contest in US history.

---
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Racism has always been a part of American politics. Democrats like to forget the 1868 US Presidential elections when the ‘Horatio Seymour / General Frank P. Blair’ ticket ran under a campaign slogan devoid of any ambiguity or pretenses to universal liberty. When they said, ‘This is a White man’s country: Let White men rule’, they spoke for a vast majority of Euro-settlers both liberal and conservative. Republicans in recent times have used the manufactured ‘Willie Horton’ scandal and several other racially-tinged pubic relations acts of social sabotage in order to sway White voters by playing on their traditional fears of Black people and Black males in particular.1 Regardless of partisan political persuasion, Whites may have have had their differences on the question of slavery, but nearly all were adamant that their daughters not bring a Black man home for Sunday supper with the kinfolk. It simply wasn’t on. And North as well as South drew and held fast to a pro-European colour-line. Blacks, Indians, Asians, many Southern Europeans, the Irish and most Ulster-Scots (at the time) were simply not allowed. Anglo-Saxon ethnicity, the English language and its associating social culture is what mattered most.

The past as they say is the present. Only the rules have changed. Where democrats were politically a hundred years ago Republicans and their various off-shoots are right now. US conservative republicans are no longer the party of race-neutral politics and have not been since the 1960’s when they officially shifted to the race-baiting business. In fact, and embarrassingly so, it was a democrat, ‘Alabama’ George Wallace, who set the pattern for the political use of White bigotry, a strategy US republicans have made great use of ever since. When faced with the loss of White votes during his unsuccessful first bid for Governor of Alabama, Wallace told a campaign worker, ‘I was out-niggered, and I will never be out-niggered again’.

Originally a supporter of the NAACP, Wallace decided that he had a better chance of winning a run for political office if he worked hard towards gaining the White vote by running an openly racist campaign. It worked like a charm. While his reputation as a staunch White separatist is virtually irreversible, it is clear when studying his actual record that he simply used racism as a tool in order to get elected. As he candidly admitted to a constituent who asked him why he changed his political position concerning Africans and segregation, Wallace candidly replied:

‘You know, I tried to talk about good roads and good schools and all these things that have been part of my career, and nobody listened. And then I began talking about Niggers, and they stomped the floor’.

At least he was willing to be somewhat honest about it. But the hate and the idiocy does not stop there. Modern US politics are so hopelessly laden with nonsensical, sensationalist rhetoric and partisan bias that objective discourse is nearly impossible. While ‘liberals’, if there really is such a thing, are not above pulling White Privilege when it suits their purposes, American conservatives have worked extremely hard to make anti-African racism, anti-immigration and the Islamophobia bugaboo the centre-point of their political philosophy. When the Tea Party whines and bitches about being called racists and undercover Klansmen without the courage to don a white sheet, remember that it was their own advocates, many of them Tea Party officials, that began creating ‘Hate Obama’ T-shirts, posters and web banners calling the president a ‘Nigger’, a ‘Monkey’ and a ‘Tar Baby’. It was arch-conservative and Mormon Glenn Beck that suggested that Mr. Obama was an ‘anti-White bigot’ who was planning an anti-White ‘race riot’ to take over America, not democrats.

Despite their obvious failings, White liberals generally tend not to act like John Birch Society members in public. They have enough common sense not to do that when republicans can do the legwork for them. Instead, they prefer do their bigotry in the shadows where their Black constituents cannot see how they are being taken advantage of. Republicans on the other hand revel in their ignorant bigotries chiefly because the loudest voters and contributors in their communities demand it. They wish to hear their hatreds voiced by their political representatives because it simply makes them feel good about themselves. It gives them, they believe, an justifiable rationale for being hateful, prejudiced and just plain stupid.

Watchdog websites such as ‘viletweets.com’, Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting (FAIR) and other organisations document on a daily basis the level of racial discontentment directed towards this president and without any reasonable doubt, White Christian conservatives make up the bulk of those individuals and organisations that actively spew racial hatred against the First Family. The progressive and liberal wings of the political spectrum for the record, have simply not engaged in such overt racist activity. Without question, US conservatives are indeed guilty of consciously promoting a
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pro-White political agenda.¹ Having said this it is also beyond question that the White and non-White mainstream of the democrat party also employ a sort of pro-White bias that goes unchallenged due to the more obvious racist threat posed by conservatives. The White liberal form of racism is much more subdued, subconscious and nameless, mostly because unlike some principled conservatives, they will vociferously deny their bias. Even as they use it against you. All people of colour are painfully aware of the documented fact that even when ‘good White folk’ get into a jam, they will slide into ‘ Hate-Nigger’ mode in a quick minute if such a tactic will preserve their own hides.²

White people like Bertrand Russell, Noam Chomsky, Joe Slovo, Rachel Corrie, August Nordenskiöld, William Styron, Henry David Thoreau, Ralph Waldo Emerson and committed pro-Indigenous supporter Lori Berenson are rare. When Viola Gregg Liuzzo was murdered by a car full of Alabama Klansmen and referred to as a ‘White Nigger’ in open court, White America agreed by voicing their silence. When a cross was burned in front on her home immediately following her death, only the NAACP, left-wing European radicals of the Communist Party USA and working-class Africans spoke publicly in her defence. The White community, if they said anything at all, shrugged and simply said, ‘She asked for it’.³

In working to ensure that all people enjoyed the same civil and human rights in the United States, such heroines, (I think it is very important that we point out that dynamic) are dismissed as radicals for trying to upset the masculine system of White Privilege and political domination over subjugated peoples. Understood in this light, it is clear that racism is just that, an ‘ism’, a tool of the upper-classes to keep the lower-classes, the proletariat if you will, underfoot and ignorant within their downtrodden positions within a society.

Worse, it denies the lower-classes the factual knowledge that it is they that keeps the capitalist, exploitative and elitist Europocentric machine running. In other words, without us, the peoples of the Third and Fourth Worlds, the First and Second Worlds would not be able to exist. Without the availability of human and natural resources to plunder and extract a profit from, the upper-classes, the rich, would have nothing. Without us they are impotent. And it is their zealous desire to go beyond their natural means that drives them to the excesses of human morality and simple Cartesian common-sense.

When Karl Marx outlined his theories of sociopolitical functions he made it perfectly clear that he was providing a European analysis to a European issue. Indigenous Nations he reckoned, were ‘primitive communist societies’ that understood the value of ‘spreading the wealth’, a quality he opined the European should learn to adopt if one truly cared about the less fortunate in a given society. Modern American conservatives are not concerned with such lofty ideas, they merely want the legal and moral right to steal from the poor, the non-White and the non-Christian and keep their ill-gotten spoils without paying any taxes. In other words, they don’t want things to change one bit. They haven’t, but that hasn’t stemmed the anti-Obama lobby from using bully-boy populism to remove him from office. They continue to lie and falsify allegations of anti-White racism in his administration, his policies and his personal demeanour despite all the solid evidence to the contrary.

At the risk of being redundant it needs again to be said, White folks in the United States have collectively lost their minds. And their goofy displays of anti-Black racism against Barack Obama make this point extremely obvious. Conservative firearm enthusiasts screaming about an Obama ‘gun-grab’ can now, thanks to the first Black president, take their loaded phallus-pacifiers into Christian churches, national parks and drinking saloons where having a gun isn’t such a good idea. So why are they complaining? They got what they asked for. The anti-immigrant lobby typified by the murderous ‘Minutemen American Defense’ group have nothing to complain about either since Mr. Obama has forcibly ejected, or detained in profit-driven ‘black sites’, far more Spanish-speaking immigrants than Bush the Second ever did. Again, the conservatives got what they wanted. So what really is the problem other than the president’s skin colour?

And anyone in any way connected to Wall Street who has issues with Mr. Obama on economics is also full of it since he did not hesitate to use state funds, (i.e., socialism) to help the greedy pigs of the financial private-sector, (i.e., capitalism) get their money back and then some. In light of this, anything the moneyed-class may have to say at this point against Mr. Obama should be taken with a grain of salt. Remember this if nothing else: free-market capitalism will always exist as long as socialist economics can be used to save its arse when it ultimately falls on its face. When all was said and done the ‘great federal bail-out’ under Obama has worked like a charm. The Wall Street barons got their loot back, new records were set for quarterly and annual private-sector profits, executive compensation skyrocketed and the working Americans who were forced to give them the money got shortchanged.

I not sure about you but that sounds a lot like US-style capitalism to me. And as far as I am concerned, those who support the conservative demand to remove Barack Obama from office because they feel ‘America has shifted to the Left’ are in effect, consciously lying instead of being frank and saying what they really feel. What they really want is Barack Hussein

Obama out of office because he is a Black man. Nothing else. We know that this is the true issue because when you look at his actual record in office Mr. Obama has done everything the American system of power has expected him to do.

Barack Obama was selected, elected and accepted by the capitalist-class, the military-industrial complex and the electoral college because he is a Negro who truly believes in the American system. His loyalty to White America cannot be denied. Under his administration US capitalism has been saved; Blacks and Native Americans are either hapless slaves in the penal system or otherwise struggling to make do with nothing; immigrants are being aggressively kicked out of the country; the number one bogeyman of the west, Osama bin-Laden is reported to be ‘officially’ dead and the ‘War against Terrorism’ has expanded into Africa freeing up even more petroleum resources and opportunities for US and European munitions dealers and retailers than ever before.

Isn’t this the ‘American Dream’ of the capitalist model? Domestically the rich have gotten even richer under Obama chiefly because he extended the Bush tax cuts and when challenged by the opposing political party, has buckled to their every petty whim and demand. And as a big-plus, Barack Obama has taken no official issue with the disgusting and incessant racial insults levied against him, his family or the African Diaspora as a whole. 1 So again I ask the reader, what exactly are White people really complaining about? The promise of a new era in state sensitivity to human issues at home and abroad under a Black head-of-state has turned out to be a grand ruse. The ‘New World Order’ plan for capitalist security did not stop with the election of Barack Obama, it began the moment he took office. His One-Drop Rule Blackness has situated a smiling and beckoning human face upon modern White imperialism in Africa and towards an anti-African and anti-immigrant police-state at home. And each day that he remains silent about institutional White racism in the United States the worse the social climate becomes. 2

By not standing up against Europocentric bias in US culture and officially admitting to its place in American history, Mr. Obama is dooming Africans in America to a new era of ‘Jim Crow’, a matter of real concern after what recently occurred in the Balkans during the forced dismantling of Tito’s socialist, multicultural Yugoslavia. 3 To say that ‘It could never happen here’ dismisses the whole history of European settler pogroms raised against both Indian and African communities in the United States. The Rosewood and ‘Black Wall Street’ race riots are just two examples of how poverty-stricken Whites, jealous of newly emancipated African economic successes, conspired to destroy their Black neighbours by burning them out and shooting and raping at will. How about the Fourth of July 1910 coast-to-coast breakout of racial violence because African boxer Jack Johnson pummeled ‘The Great White Hope’ non-champion Jim Jeffries? Numerous Blacks were killed or otherwise injured in many cities and towns with local police forces either participating in the assaults or passively and approvingly watching them take place.
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Native Americans can look at the ‘Sand Creek Massacre’ or the ‘Napituca Massacre’, The ‘Tiguex Massacre’ or the ‘Wounded Knee Massacre’ or any the hundreds of slaughters that occurred during the ‘Winning of the West’ to articulate the hatred Europeans have expressed towards the peoples of the First Nations. And just like any other White head-of-state, Mr. Obama has seen fit to ignore these long-standing injustices in defence of the extant Europocentric paradigm. 1

Barack Obama might act militarily to ‘free’ Libya from that country’s decades-old ‘dictatorship’, but he has yet to ‘liberate’ law-abiding African citizens of the United States from the dangers of institutional racist abuse with a simple acknowledgement of our struggle as Black people. The question of just how many dead, struggling and destitute Africans in the United States it will take before the Obama administration can admit that America has always had a problem with Black people has still not been asked. 2 We have never asked him to give us the keys to the kingdom, but we did expect a far better shake than we have received.

False Allies & Fleeting Alliances

‘When white folks can't defeat you they'll always find some Negro -- some boot-licking, butt-licking, buck-dancing, bamboozled, half-baked, half-fried, sissified, punkified, pasteurized, homogenized Nigger -- that they can trot out in front of you’.

- Min. Khalid Abdul Muhammad

As I mentioned earlier, Barack Obama is president for all Americans, but in practise, he isn’t representing African people or Native Americans at all. Simply being Black and being the first African in the White House to do more than wash the laundry means nothing if that opportunity has not applied justice to the immeasurable wrongs crafted within the halls of that edifice. It pains us when we watch Mr. Obama boldly bolster American-born Israelis and their supporters in their questionable claims to Arab Palestine while Indigenous North Americans who endure their own occupation cannot openly discuss their predicament without being viewed as enemies of the state. 3 American Africans who seriously raise the issue of cultural, social, economic and political independent from the White establishment are labeled as extremists and bigots. 4 Meanwhile, members of the Tea Party promote nonsense like the ‘Anchor Baby’ myth and cheerfully wave banners calling the first Black president a ‘Gorilla who escaped from a Kenyan Zoo’ without much in the way of social criticism. And if the rumours are true, even the White House press corps could be heard childishly chuckling behind their clipboards when Obama said that the bigoted remarks were ‘Just politics’ and that he would not to take them personally.

When the President refused to stand up to the overt racism that raised its head when he came into office, he opened the door for the acceptability of anti-Black social abuses within American society. Mr. Obama made it perfectly clear in his infamous ‘Race Speech’ that he was not interested in defending the African community from institutional White racism. And he has proven that by not even defending himself against flagrant White racist propaganda, abuse and insult. In fact, assimilated Black folks like millionaire Hip-Hop mogul Russell Simmons have emotively argued that we should respect the racism and ignorance of the Tea Party simply because when White folks act like little Nazis they truly believe in the veracity what they are saying. No joke. Common-sense says that when opinions like these are coming from the African middle-classes, (the self-acknowledged leaders of the African in America) it is clear that they are not on our side. They will always sink to the level of extant power and if deemed necessary, will gladly extend their own participation in the ‘system’ by sacrificing their own people to the head-hunters of the White establishment.

The Black man is therefore left to fend for himself, without any means of defence, in a tense political climate rife with anti-African police brutality and aggressive White Christian nationalism. Even the Native American community in Oklahoma has jumped on this anti-African bandwagon by deciding, for a second time, to legally eject African Indians from

---

1 ' Obama To Native Americans: "You Will Not Be Forgotten"’, Huffington Post (2010)
the Cherokee Nation, an Indigenous group long known for its hostility towards African people. Noted by the European invaders as one of the 'Five Civilised Tribes' because they were willing to acquiesce to White social patterns, the Cherokee Nation, (Aniyiwyiyi, Tsalagi, or Kituwa) operated plantations, owned African slaves and even participated in the US Civil War in order to protect their investments. In fact, it was a stubborn Cherokee Confederate General and slave owner, Stand Watie commanding the mixed-blooded ‘Cherokee Mounted Rifles’ regiment who was the last southern officer to surrender to Union troops. The ‘Last shot fired in the war’ came from the 69th North Carolina Regiment or ‘Thomas’ Legion of Indians and Highlanders’, a Confederate-led military unit comprised of Eastern Cherokees and Scots-Irish recruits feared for their guerrilla tactics. For their trouble, the Cherokee were nearly decimated by

Following the war the Cherokee were forced under Reconstruction legislation to integrate freed African slaves into the nation and end anti-Black discrimination in Tribal affairs. Further, Cherokee factions that supported the Union argued for and received federally-confiscated lands owned by Cherokee Confederates effectively bankrupting thousands of Indians and causing a split between the Cherokee as a people that has never really mended. This was true on both continents with Brazil being the most vicious example on record.\(^1\) So as we have seen, the adoption of White life-ways by Indigenous Peoples of the Americas has essentially destroyed Native civilisations through its practise of African chattel-slavery and anti-African ethnic bias. And in the modern day the Cherokee, at least in Oklahoma, are politically no less bigoted towards Africans than their White Euro-settler counterparts.\(^2\)

First, the neo-conservative gobbledygook about being ‘Cherokee by Blood’ is patent anti-African racism in that the Cherokee government officially discarded the Nazi-ish practise of federally mandated Blood Quantum in favour of lineal descent as codified in the Dawes Rolls. A move that drastically bolstered their enrollment numbers of ‘White Indians’ and some say, lead to great marginalisation of African members of the nation. Secondly, to argue that the Freedmen of the slaving Indian nations are not ‘of blood’ purposefully ignores the fact that African slaves owned by Indians were no less sexually abusive than White slave owners were. Countless American Africans can directly trace their genealogies to many different Indian cultures in the Americas with the slave-owning Indigenous nations claiming the highest numbers of Afro-Indio descendants.\(^3\) Cherokee Freedmen are, in this light, no less Cherokee than any other American Indians if ‘Blood Quantum’ does not really matter. And it doesn’t, as long as you are ‘White’ in attitude if not in skin colour in ‘Vichy’ Indian Country.

The Oklahoma Cherokee are not alone in this. Several other Indigenous Nations federally-recognised as ‘Tribes’ in the US have made similar moves to marginalise their African members under the guise of protecting the rights of ‘real Indians’, by rejecting Black ‘Freedmen’ their place in Indian Country.\(^4\) Just like the White colonialists they emphatically copied, Indigenous assimilationists have adopted and subconsciously embedded the instincts of anti-African bias as learned from their colonial teachers. Historically, the first actual slave-catchers hired by owners to return their ‘property’ were American Natives willing to take gold coin for hunting Black skin.\(^5\) Later, when they were ‘allowed’ to build plantations and purchased African slaves of their own, they, just like the Europeans, took advantage of their slaves sexually resulting in what is known as the ‘Black Indian’ or the ‘Freedman’. Now, they wish to pretend as if they never existed.

Mighty White of them, no? This hidden American history was one of the main reasons the US Army was able to recruit so many former slaves to the exclusively African frontier anti-Indian units known as ‘Buffalo Soldiers’. These men were willing conscripts not so much out of ethnic revenge as much as it was a learned indifference to Indian genocide, displacement and suffering. It also did not help matters that the social discriminations experienced by newly emancipated Africans from assimilated Indians living along the eastern seaboard differed little from the sort of bias they experienced from bigoted Europeans. With all of this said, it must still be admitted that while it is very true that Africans and Natives were often at odds with each other, it is also true that the two groups were in many more ways united during, and even before, First Contact.\(^6\)

The Olmec culture, one of the first complex pre-Columbian Mesoamerican civilizations, was indubitably of African origin and there is further evidence that there may have been active trade between the Indigenous Americas and intrepid seafaring African nations and other trans-oceanic cultures. The amazing work of ethnographer Thor Heyerdahl and historians Ivan Van Sertima and Cheik Anta Diop, amongst many others, have authoritatively suggested and in some cases empirically proved, that there were concrete commercial and cultural links between Africa, the Mediterranean, the Pacific,

---

6. Dr. Clyde A. Winters: ‘Africans Came Before Columbus: Evidence of Africans in Ancient America’
China and the Americas.¹ Solid evidence that shows the ancient world as much more international and far more cosmopolitan than modern Europocentrism is prepared to admit.

[Image: Olmec Head-Stone: Mexico]

Unnecessarily controversial books such as the much attacked ‘Black Athena: The Afroasiatic Roots of Classical Civilization’, written by Prof. Martin Bernal, have yet to be effectively disproven as shoddy scholarship.² But this has not prevented the academic establishment from attacking the author’s premise that both classical Greek and Roman cultures were heavily influenced by North African and Sub-Saharan societies of learning and religious study. Even though the ‘Father of History’, Herodotus, noted that ancient European societies viewed the peoples of classical Africa as social equals with advanced knowledge of the sciences, modern western ethnocentrism still has a very difficult time adjusting to the idea of a ‘Black Egypt’. Acceptance of the reality that Africans are indeed a Black people with a history all their own all across the continent and further afar might be rejected outright, but it has never been scientifically proven as impossible.³ The opposition to such ideas resting solely upon the spurious argument that any new understanding of human history isn’t being fair to White people and culture because it exposes how European racism has literally ‘White-washed’ the world’s historical record.⁴ Again, this is fact. Victors write history, not the vanquished. What these closeted Europocentric supremacists denigrate as so-called ‘alternative history’ is in fact, ‘recovered history’, an inclusive and more reasoned estimation of what the world actually looked like before it was dominated by the European sociopolitical paradigm.

As Prof. Bernal has expertly suggested in his published works, racism as we understand it today is a modern phenomenon directly related to European colonial expansion and exploitation, not ethnic bias. Ethnic prejudices merely maintain such practises by way of social peer-pressure and group-think dynamics. A purely Marxist analysis would say that when a society employs class-divisions in an effort to secure accumulated wealth, private-property and elite privilege it will always seek a series of ethical justifications for what are clearly unfair advantages by one group over another.⁵ In terms of Europe’s sordid history of human exploitation for profit, this means that non-Europeans, and European autochthonous peoples as a general rule of thumb must be subjected to racism and xenophobia in order to keep their system functioning.

The upper-classes who benefit from this exploitation need an emotional escape for the masses if their general populations are to be supportive of the plunder. Racism provides this psychological ‘out’. This same simplistic principle is used to explain why poverty and injustice exists to such a great extent in capitalist nations such as the US and the UK where the Malthusian argument stipulates that the poor are poor because of bad genetics, lackluster discipline and not enough religion. Meaning, that if they were to ‘correct’ these failings their situation would improve dramatically. The abuses of power by those who literally ‘own the system’ are ignored and capitalism as a philosophy is never challenged.⁶

Few Americans, European, Indigenous or otherwise, wish to identify with the more Nazi-esque characteristics of American

² Mark Alford: ‘The pre-Greek Roots of Western Culture’, (1997)
⁶ Paul Krugman Exposes Adam Smith’s Vile Maxim “of the Masters of Mankind”, Nuclear Resonances
social history. In truth, most people, especially non-White recent immigrants, are quick to deny such realities as mere anti-establishment propaganda. No one wants to talk about it. The pedagogical limitations of the US educational system also lend to this willful ignorance as does the accommodating pliability of the US intelligentsia when it comes to refashioning old falsehoods to buttress contemporary overseas and domestic exploitation and brigandage.¹

Let’s go back to my references in regards to anti-African racism in Indian Country for a moment. While ethnic bias against Africans in the Native community is not new, it is crucial to point out here that racial discrimination never was a very visible element of the Native worldview prior to European invasion. If that were not the case, Europeans would never have gained a foothold in the Americas much less have become full-members of Indian societies who generally accepted Europeans, Africans and Asians amongst them regardless of ethnicity. Despite the lies told about Native North Americans and other Indigenous peoples, the openness of Fourth World societies is just the opposite of what developed in Europe and to a lesser extent the Arab/Muslim World. Foreign visitors were generally welcomed and cared for by their Aboriginal hosts. It was usually the uninvited guest who killed the head of household and then raped the wife who cooked their meal. The Aboriginal is not a savage, but he has learned how to be in order to serve Europocentric interests as a means to survival in a culturally-Europocentric dominated world.

Perhaps the only significant differences between White racism in the past as opposed to how it is now is that its perception is shaped by modern media. White racism in all of its forms is accepted, provided that it isn’t too obvious. It is not uncommon to find White racists mimicking African and Latino cultural idioms, even as they insult and berate non-White people and cultures.² White Americans are notorious for co-opting urban African speech and mannerisms, Asian language tattoos and Latino-Indio food preferences. On the surface it seems as if the racial question has been answered, but if this were really the case the presence of institutional racism in the US would have faded decades ago. White rappers such as Eminem who have a history of expressing openly anti-African sentiments in recordings and gonzo acts like the Insane Clown Posse are elucidating reflections about all that is negative about the results of White racism in the United States.

These ‘artists’ have adopted the ideology of Europocentric privilege in the sense that they feel justified in co-opting what they think are the ‘cool’ elements of being Black in the US, (pimping, slang and grits) while ignoring their Euro-settler role in perpetuating such injustices through preserving negative stereotypes. What’s worse is that mainstream US Africans are much more likely to defend these sorts of White folks as ‘acceptable’ while showing a total lack of respect for sincere non-bigoted Caucasians who do not accept the ‘hip-ness’ of phony, profit-driven multiculturalism. Real cultural understanding comes from respectful contact, not cultural-political domination. And White racism, when viewed with due consideration to the paradox of its existence, can often manifest itself in strange and perplexing forms that violate all the known laws of common sense.

As explained by eminent American social observer Norman Mailer, being ‘White’ and knowing you have all the power is so confusing that the Master Race needs to go the Negro to remember what it is like to be really human while living in an unfair world controlled by the greedy settler bastards of the extant establishment:

‘A totalitarian society makes enormous demands on the courage of men, and a partially totalitarian society makes even greater demands for the general anxiety is greater. Indeed if one is to be a man, almost any kind of unconventional action often takes disproportionate courage. So it is no accident that the source of Hip is the Negro for he has been living on the margin between totalitarianism and democracy for two centuries.’

-The White Negro (1957)

Mr. Mailer hit the nail on the head. It isn’t logical, but not unexpected that White youths yelling ‘Nigger, Nigger, Nigger...’ at African pedestrians while speeding through the ghetto are also playing and grooving to the very pro-Afrocentric Tupac Shakur on the vehicle’s stereo system at the very same time. White racism is absurd on a variety of levels, but it still amazes me on a personal level to see just how doltish and superficial European and Euro-settler claims are to being the victims of unfair anti-White ‘racism’ and marginalisation. These nonsensical allegations speak volumes in terms of showing just how weak, foolish and cowardly White racist arguments really are especially when it comes to the American-made ‘Master Race’ narrative seen in the White Anglo-Saxon Protestant Übermensch philosophy of US ‘Manifest Destiny’.

British colonialists fighting the Crown’s troops during the War of Independence and southern Confederates waging sedition against the Union adopted Native American combat methods and weaponry in order to win battles. Modern White supremacist ‘warriors’ read Chinese scholar Sun Tzu’s ‘The Art of War’, take lessons in Japanese Ju-Jutsu and study the organisation tactics of African king Shaka kaSenzangakhona in order to defeat the ‘Mud Races’. Am I really the only one who sees a major contradiction in all of this? If the White race, and the argument is still ongoing on what that is since Arabs also classify as ‘Caucasian’, is truly ‘superior’, why are White Power advocates adopting non-White cultural elements?

When they do such things White racists reduce their own cultural supremacy arguments to mere nothingness. Calling yourself an ethnic and cultural superior means absolutely nothing if you need to co-opt your means to be number one from your claimed enemies. It simply smacks of hypocrisy and a clear case of personal insecurity on the part of bigoted people deathly fearful of those who are both different from themselves and are exploited solely because of that. White racial biases are therefore empirical evidence of White anxiousness and inner guilt about how White people have organised their societies and others. And it is this unacknowledged emotional dynamic that both informs and supports the attitudes that fuel the drive for ‘White’ racist social power and violence. We see this cultural reliance upon non-Whites in nearly all conservative dominated race-conscious societies and in every field from erotica and its relationship to popular culture to the xenophobic falseness of spray-tans, ‘lip-plumping’ and false posterior-building plastic surgery.¹

---

¹ Ewen Callaway: ‘Porn in the USA: Conservatives Are Biggest Consumers’, (2009)
British voters against liberal or progressive reforms being called for in the UK at that time.¹

The issue of racism was finally addressed during the 1980’s in the United States where neo-Nazi skinheads were strongly and often physically opposed by Black, White and ethnic-minority traditionalist skinheads angry with how racists have sullied the subculture and worked against anti-labour politics. Out of this opposition arose the Skinheads Against Racial Prejudice (SHARP) faction which actively counter-organised against neo-Nazi recruitment and visibility in the American Ska and Oi music scenes. But before that, skinhead bands such as the 4-Skins, (Paul Swain became a professional racism sometime later) The Business, the Cockney Rejects and The Oppressed aggressively battled White Power skinheads in the streets of the UK giving encouragement to other AntiFa factions (Antifaschismus) such as Anti-Fascist Action and the Redskin Red and Anarchist Skinheads (RASH) which followed suit.

The late bonehead neo-Nazi musician, Ian Stuart Donaldson (and close pal to Suggs of Madness) of Skrewdriver fame would not have agreed with all of this. But then again, he always denied the fact that the Skinhead subculture originally came about because proletarian White English youth adopted a taste for Afro-Jamaican music and style. He hated homosexuals but his partner in promoting White racial and anti-Gay hatred was his best mate, Nicky Crane who when not stomping Blacks, Asians and Gays into bloody puddles, was making underground homosexual films and participating intimately with London’s Gay subculture. He also was a major idiot for adopting the ideologies of a long-dead political party that was antagonistic to the English people. Sir Winston Churchill may have an ardent colonialist, a racist and a Judeophobe, but he would never accept any Englishman, even a relative, who called himself a Nazi.²

When Margaret Thatcher said in 1979 that White Englishmen were tired of being swamped by an ‘alien culture’, she sought to inspire the rebirth of the British fascism and she did just that with racist attacks against the Asian and African communities rising sharply during her time in office. But just to show you that the House Negro phenomenon is not limited to the United States consider the following. Ironically, some original Black skinheads, mostly limited to London but not exclusively, were not completely innocent of being bigoted clods themselves. Some Blacks were directly involved in physical attacks against Pakistanis and other South Asians during the 1970’s based on the argument that ‘Pakis’ were not as ‘British’ as they were.³ Homosexuals were also not excluded from random abuse which begs the question why so many Gay men have adopted the more aggressive street, or ‘bonehead’ skinhead style. Perhaps for the very same reason Israel’s 1960’s pulp erotica was produced with Nazi-themes depicting sexy sadistic SS officers bashing males with titles straight out of Iceberg Slim’s notable lexicon such as, ‘I Was Colonel Schultz’s Private Bitch’. As the German eugenicist philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer, a real xenophobic bigot of the highest order himself pointed out:

o

According to Schopenhauer, the deep truth of the matter is that in cases of the over-affirmation of the will – that is, cases where one individual exerts his will not only for its own fulfillment but for the improper domination of others -- he is unaware that he is really identical with the person he is harming, so that the Will in fact constantly harms itself, and justice is done in the moment in which the crime is committed, since the same metaphysical individual is both the perpetrator and the victim’.

- Wikipedia: Ethics (Vol. 1, Book 4)

o

This inner struggle with racial power, ethnic identity and the resulting emotional conundrum from being a bigot in an overwhelmingly race-conscious society, (such as Israel) may also have been the elementary inspiration for racist Whites who belligerently co-opted the urban Jamaican skinhead subculture. In effect, these Whites wanted to gain some of the power they saw in the Black men that stood up to their racist hate by remaining in England, trying to fit in spite of all the hardships. Just like White Euro-settler Americans who cop the names and identities of the Indigenous Nations and Peoples they violently liquidated in order to found the United States and Euro-settler South America, the professional racists who caused the split in the skinhead culture were cowardly, and subconsciously, trying to be ‘Black’. James Fenimore Cooper’s Natty Bumppo, the ‘White Indian’ hero of ‘The Last of the Mohicans: A Narrative of 1757’ and Edgar Rice Burroughs ‘Tarzan of the Apes’, the White ‘King of the African Jungle’ and similar stories provide us with quintessential examples of this psychological need for the oppressor to identify with his chosen antagonists. Much like the ancient habit of drinking your vanquished foe’s blood on the battlefield as a means of gaining his power, wisdom and strength, stealing the names and identities of whole peoples along with their land is simply colonial cannibalism. In other
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The stupidity involved in all of this recognises few bounds and directly displays the psychological and social by-products of European angst and regret over the senselessness of White Power. European exploitation therefore is not limited to human or material theft, it includes the psychic absorption of the exploited himself, not unlike how a mythical vampire would slowly drain its hapless victim of its life-blood. Europeans have always superficially sought out other cultures as a means of stimulating their own without subverting their intense sense of xenophobic elitism. Black and Brown life may be fun to play around with but White makes right every time. Modern American culture is inundated with non-White entertainers, media personalities and sports figures adding ‘colour’ and energy to the blandness of the vampiristic cultures of the ‘Master Race’. But the moment they can be replaced by a convincing Caucasian doppelgänger, the original non-White inspiration for the idioms they rip-off are forgotten and buried into the furthermore regions of the White racist’s inner id.

Like their older brothers the Mods (or ‘Modernists’) and White Hip-Hop fans today, these were English youth adopting and co-opting inner-city African urban vibes to brighten their otherwise droll bread pudding and gravy lives. And it is important to understand here too that when White racialist conservatives like David Starkey suggest that a nation’s descent into social outbursts is the result of White society’s adoption of Black cultural defaults they need only look towards their own predatory capitalists who promote these White supremacist-created idiosyncrasies in the first place. Ask yourself who really makes money from ‘Gangsta Rap’ and the pop-trend of ‘Pimping’ and the resulting carnage it leaves in its wake? Not Africans for damn sure. Black folks, nor Natives Americans, own the police, the courts or the state or private prison systems that harass the African populace. Nor do these targeted minorities own the banks and the realty firms that take full racial advantage of working African, Native and Latino American citizens and residents.

Money is being made on the racist profiling of young Black men in the United States, Australia, Canada, New Zealand (Aotearoa) and the United Kingdom with much more being planned to expand this cash-cow even further. When conservatives say that they accept responsibility for what they do they are liars. They routinely blame the victims for what they do and for what happens after they have done it. In their zealous pursuit of social marginalisation, exploitation and your commercial dollars the moneyed upper-classes will both adopt and retail the negative results of the society’s imbalances back to its victims and the common market.

In other words Whites upset with what they feel is a ‘watering down’ of the White man’s culture and society need only blame themselves. Had they stayed on the European subcontinent there would not be a problem would there? White people upset about non-White immigration have never had a problem with Whites imposing themselves politically or culturally in the non-European world. In fact, they feel that they have a right to do so by the tenets of their claimed religious beliefs. And without that expansionism during the Crusades that immediately followed the Reconquista, Europe would never have become a world power. In truth, Europe had to steal from others in order to grow into an established order for it had no wealth of its own. And just like the gangster that eventually goes into legitimate business affairs, Europe as a paradigm has forced the entire world through imperio-colonialism to accept it as a legitimate entity despite the fact that it was all based on racist violence paid for with ill-gotten gains.

So the myth of ‘superior cultures’ falls flat once one realises that Europe, just like everyone else, improved with greater contact with the outside world. This was the entire point of European expansionism in the 15th century as it was for Imperial Rome, Greece, Egypt, Ethiopia, (or Kush) China, and Persia. The elitist argument that war and pillage is a ‘normal’ emotional and social factor in human history is a lie. People generally want to associate with each other and share ideas and resources. If this were not so, humans would never have advanced past the Stone Age. Co-operation, dialogue and shared interests is what progressed human society, not belligerence.

This is why the Bible-thumping, gun-toting Euro-settler Poujadisme that make up the bulk of the neo-Confederate Tea Party cannot explain why the Afro-Islamic Socialist takeover they predicted did not happen. But they still push an agenda of hate and bile no less dim-witted than the veiled, antiquated Christian Fascist rhetoric of the John Birch Society. The joint Afro-Soviet-Homosexual communist takeover predicted by the Senator ‘Tail-Gunner Joe’ McCarthy, the Ku Klux Klan, George Lincoln Rockwell and Father Coughlin during the Cold War never happened either. But going by today’s media trends you would never know that such jingoism ever went away. Rupert Murdoch has made millions, if not billions, single-handedly pushing a pro-fascist media environment across the Anglophone world that helped create two expensive, to the taxpayers and the victims, unnecessary wars of occupation. Barack Obama was elected by most White folks to end
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the madness, not perpetuate it.

His value as the anti-Bush candidate overrode their reliance upon institutional racial bias and they fully expected him to stand by his promise to ‘Bring em’ home’. White liberal and progressive expressions of shock, dismay and sophomoric rationalisations that seek to ‘explain’ how Mr. Obama’s fealty to the business community, the military high brass and the State of Israel are ‘chess moves’ towards ‘real change’ are laughable to say the least. The democrats have sold out their constituents, and the honest conservatives who gave them their vote, to the moneyed-classes and the special interests of the neo-Confederate lobby. Everything Bush the Younger did, Obama and his crew are going even further than they did. Die-hard Obama supporters have no explanation for how a Nobel Peace Prize laureate could behave this way other than to parrot incessantly. ‘He has a plan, he has a plan...he has a plan’.1

But some of us saw this coming. Politically conscious people in the US were never really fooled by the suggestion of left-wing radicalism Obama’s skin colour represents to unrepentant European bigots and conservative media pundits. The educated amongst us remember the Indigenous peoples who fought and died in service to Apartheid South Africa and other racist White governments in the Third and Fourth World. We understand the Obama election as simply a capitalist power play while many White liberal voters and the military-industrial complex folks are content to pretend that they were willing to ignore their own sense of racialist power on this one occasion by pretending that it does not exist. There is a mountain of validation in the race-neutral assertion that Mr. Obama was in theory the ‘anti-Bush’ vote, but this explanation also conveniently sidesteps the other reality, Mr. Obama’s racial classification under the One-Drop Rule.

It also ignores certain social realities, such as, if Mr. Obama did not embody the peculiar qualities of Europocentric idealism, there is no way he could have become president of the United States of by God America. White people in many ways see themselves in him, this is the secret of his success. But for many White Americans, Barack Hussein Obama will never be ‘White’ enough to suit them. Minimising their behaviour as ignorance is just a polite way to excuse their hostility to difference and change. What really concerns them is the perceived loss of power Obama’s election seemingly embodies. For these individuals, his presidency does not represent what they believe is a ‘White America’. And after the tragedy that was 911, anyone named ‘Hussein’ is automatically suspect of being against America’s ‘Christian heritage’.2

Again, this is true. And it is important here to understand how the use of power in ‘advanced’ societies really works. White Power in America may be an ideology that dares not speak its name, but it casts a long shadow over how people in the US and the rest of the world relate to each other. White America would never allow a person of colour to represent ‘their’ country at that level unless they were damn certain that the individual in question was a true believer in their Europocentric cultural and racial supremacy. And no one can say that Barack Obama has not failed to live up to this colonialist standard.

The Obama administration and Barack Obama personally have done nothing for the African world let alone the African in the United States. I challenge, no, I defy the reader to present a solid, credible example that can show where the Obama political machine has stepped forward to defend the natural rights of the Black man. Other than being Black and managing to achieve the high office, his leadership in regards to American African empowerment, overdue social justice and national respect amounts to little more than painful flatulence in the belly of the Black community.

Even his Nobel ‘Peace’ Prize win just before he decided to go further than the previous administration in every way but public relations stupidity means nothing. There has been no peace to speak of. But there is plenty of money to be made from human suffering.3 Only a fool, a neo-Nazi or a capitalist believes that the death and destruction of everyday people, only guilty of being in the way of Wall Street and the select cabal of selfish bastards that literally own the world, is an honourable and worthwhile endeavour. The timing of AFRICOM and US military involvement in Africa and Obama’s historic presidency are not accidental occurrences. Capitalism when challenged will bend when necessary in order not to break. A European face after the massive damages of the Bush / Cheney period in Africa would immediately raise the spectre of further White economic and military encroachment, while a Black smile helps put the intended victims at ease. Mr. Obama, just like every other US politician, is in many ways a just puppet of the elite forces that placed him in office. And as a place-holder his main job is to smooth over negative public sentiment while the establishment robber barons do their thing behind the scenes. Race under these conditions is still important, but what really matters is how race, racism and ethnic bias can be used to further political and economic ends.

---
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It is no use painting the foot of the tree white, the strength of the bark cries out from beneath the paint.

- Aime Cesaire

We all know that the world, as we have come to understand it in the west, is coming to an end. This isn’t a theological estimation nor is it a pronouncement of apocalyptic doom. It is purely a matter of political pragmatism balanced with a strong does of Cartesian common-sense. It is an open secret that capitalism has failed everyone, except those at the very top of the hierarchal pecking order. The irreparable human and environmental damages accumulated through centuries of abuse, mismanagement and partisan bias are coming back to haunt the European social order. The ‘White’ world, the current configuration of social, political and economic order, has been largely forged by Western Europe’s historical experiences with brigandage and slavery on the subcontinent and in Asia, the Americas and later Africa. Europeans as a rule have never extended themselves beyond their own territorial boundaries without doing so as intentional invaders, slavers and ruthless conquerors.

This is a cultural, not racial estimation of Europe. And it is borne of an objective analysis of the facts correctly and carefully divorced from the considerable rhetorical and superlative aspects of Europocentric fiction and western perspectives of racial superiority. The European record of contact with his fellow human beings is questionable to say the least. We tend to forget that it was a European power, the Roman Empire, that destroyed and displaced Israel’s Jewish population in the year 70, not Arab Palestinian Muslims. It was Spain, after it was educated by North Africans, that laid waste to the Americas and burned the books of the Mayas. It was the British who conquered the south of Africa and it was the Germans, French, Belgians, Italians, Danes, Dutch, Russians, Scandinavia and the Ottoman and Austro-Hungarian empires who agreed to split up the rest of the ‘dark’ continent. ‘White’, like might, makes for right and by the 1900’s, Europe was without question in control over the entire planet.

The extant paradigm of Europocentric domination is not a story of superior genes or of advanced technology. It is a tale of the application of violent, often genocidal concepts regarding religion, property rights and intra-European economic competition. Karl Marx, love him or hate him, was right. Capitalism as developed and practised in Europe is theoretically an implosive economic and social theory of circular human-on-human victimisation. It cannot exist without this fundamental functional dynamic. The proletariat may be the operative exodus fodder, but the Aboriginal, the African, is the raw material from which the material ‘First World’ is born. The African is the basis of all human life on this planet, yet he isn’t respected as a human being worthy of even the most basic allowances of human dignity.

With exceptions for the clinically mentally-challenged, we can say here and now that every emotive, psychological and even physical manifestation of social ill-adjustment observed in the African Diaspora is a direct result of the Trans-Atlantic slave trade and the institutional racial marginalisation and violence. This isn’t my analysis, President Lyndon B. Johnson’s White House sponsored a study known as the 1968 Kerner Commission Report, (PDF) (or the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders) said as much in their expert assessment of what caused the urban African rebellions of the 1960’s. The commission was, for all intents and purposes, supposed to provide the answers to three basic questions:

- What happened?
- Why did it happen?
- What can be done to prevent it from happening again and again?

1968 Kerner Commission Report

What the commission reported back to the president their paper was immediately rejected. Why? Because it came to the conclusion that the United States was ‘Moving toward two societies, one Black, one White -- separate and unequal’. And most importantly, it said that White racism was the root cause of America’s internal national instability:

‘Segregation and poverty have created in the racial ghetto a destructive environment totally unknown to most White Americans. What White Americans have never fully understood -- but what the Negro can never forget -- is that White society is deeply implicated in the ghetto. White institutions created it, White institutions maintain, and White society condones it’.1

The commission went on to suggest that if the United States continued to marginalise Africans in employment, education, health care, housing and vicious police brutality, America would eventually develop into a de-facto pro-European, Apartheid nation-state no different than the Republic of South Africa’s. What was the result of the public release of the report? In one word, denial. And it also gave justification for the development of the US police-state as it began to be used against the American African community speaking up for their human rights.

The Johnson administration and the Christian intellectuals of the Democratic and Republican parties took the report to task for, as they said, shifting blame from those responsible for the ‘riots’, (Black people) to the US capitalist society as a whole, (White folks) which they argued simply wasn’t fair.2 The average White person in America they cried was not responsible for the ‘system’ and should not be expected to take personal responsibility for the ‘errors of the past’. The Kerner Commission agreed and interestingly enough, the report statistically ignored traditional Euro-supremacist ideologies and religious beliefs (such as the Mormons) or the substantial, yet hidden, generational damage incurred through African slavery. In effect, the commission operated as if there had been some of vague race-neutral parity where none actually existed. However, and despite this notable fault, the report itself was soberly received because there really wasn’t a credible establishment or scientific argument to refute it.

Like the much heralded Goldstone Report, the Kerner Report was ordered, compiled, delivered, read and swiftly discarded as irrelevant and ‘useless’. The Kerner Commission Report was an solid, government-sponsored indictment of American society. It put fact to the mythology of US sociopolitical racial harmony and gave the European social order no where to cower politically, ideologically or morally. The truth was out. The number one problem in the United States was, and is, White racism. And it was the responsibility of the federal government, according to the report, to positively address the issue.

The commission directed the government to foster job growth in the African community and to provide adequate and humane housing at affordable rates to dissolve traditional social segregation. It also strongly recommended that law enforcement agencies in the United States move toward increasing diversity amongst their officers to bring about an end to racist police brutality and other documented extra-legal abuses against the African community.

Despite the findings of the commission and the recommendations offered to rectify the problems listed in the report, President Johnson and the rest of the Euro-settler establishment belligerently rejected the paper and the project as a whole. Ironically, one month after the public release of the report, racial rioting erupted across the country in the wake of the assassination of the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. by person or persons unknown. Later, in 1998, some thirty years after the initial report, a former member of the original examination team co-authored a similar study that uncovered even further empirical evidence that the racial divide had actually grown worse than what was originally reported in 1968.

Things have simply not gotten any better despite the flowery-sounding rhetoric of ‘Black progress under the American system’. More dark faces can be found in commercial popular media than ever before, but this is in no way reflective of the actual status quo. Africans and Natives reside at the very bottom of the American pecking order and in many notable cases, have consciously and actively assisted in securing their own repression.

Africans in the United States, both slave and free, have fought and died on domestic as well as foreign battlefields, worked in the factories, docks and mines of the industrial revolution and without serious debate, tilled the soil that made British-American emancipation possible from England in the first place. During all of this, White Christian Americans en masse either actively or passively were debating amongst themselves whether or not African, Natives and Asians actually had souls or in fact, any claims to any rights at all. White as well as Negro Americans who consider themselves sociopolitical conservatives live in a fantasy of their own making. No non-European ethnicity can honestly make the claim that they or their peoples have ever been truly respected and welcomed in the United States. The ‘debate’ concerning American openness to difference is a colonialist ruse.3 It is designed to make both the invader and the invaded and the similarly oppressed feel better about their respective positions within the US system of caste divisions.

---

1 ‘Our Nation Is Moving Toward Two Societies, One Black, One White—Separate and Unequal’: Excerpts from the Kerner Report -http://historymatters.gmu.edu/d/6545/
Africans in the United States have for the most part strived more for White Power than for universal justice. And even after 500 years of consistent anti-Native and anti-African genocide, the ‘Happy Negro’ and the ‘Noble Apple’ still fight harder for White society than they dare struggle for their own best interests. They always make the extremely weak argument that in fighting to be included in the Europocentric system they are looking out for African and Native community interests and social well-being. This is the ‘Crispus Attucks Defence’, an assimilationist apologia based upon the legend of a runaway Afro-Indian slave and soldier who became a businessman in the maritime trades and died arguing for his rights as a free man in early colonial America.

Selectively ignoring that era’s documented ethnic biases and its weight in terms of importance when compared to the problem of English occupation, Blacks seeking White elite acceptance cling to, and emotively promote, the fable of a race-neutral America by pointing to Attucks as a Black pioneer in freeing the US from English political domination. We are told that he died for American ideals as a part of the US Narrative, but there is no evidence that he saw himself as a ‘Black-White’ man. He simply moved in accordance with the social climate of the times. The naval trades were one of the few professions Africans and Natives were allowed to prosper in and it is not extraordinary that he actually did well in that field. His death, along with several other vocal anti-Crown agitators seeking to defend their livelihoods from the English authorities was a chance event, not an epic marker signifying the race-neutral character of the British colonies. His death was actually only respected for about a decade, after anti-miscegenation concerns and slavery justifications became more mainstream his contribution to the Euro-settler’s anti-English struggle for liberation was buried, forgotten and purposefully ignored.

This has not stopped lamentable and unrepentant Uncle Toms, neo-fascist American Africans, sell-outs and some White racists from making foul use of his memory by asserting the untruth that pretends Blacks have always had a ‘seat at the table’ bought and paid for by Attucks being shot to death in the Boston Massacre. His blood they argue is soaked into the grounds of Devonshire Street and therefore, makes them just as ‘American’ as any other White man in the country. They contend that no matter how much wrong the United States has done in the past and in the present, these missteps were also done in the ‘best interests of the Negro’ as well. Obviously there is no real evidence for this position and when pushed, its advocates have very little to say other than pleading for the rest of us to simply agree with them without understanding or asking why.1

Using White religion, social norms and economic practises as a basis for self-comprehension, assimilationist Africans and American Natives suffer from what Bro. Min. Malcolm X intelligently called ‘White’s Disease’, the psychological and emotional dependency observed amongst colonised peoples who have lost their own cultural identities through aggressive social destruction. Any analysis of the real is always a difficult endeavour. ‘Reality’ for Black and Native folks who are perpetually and hopelessly steeped within a Europocentric mind-funk is a matter of forced perception. Translated, their innate self-image is psychologically jaundiced by illusions of ‘Whiteness’ and all the perceived benefits and privileges that identification implies.

---

Further, it is important here to remind ourselves that for Africans in the US, these pathologies are generational holdovers from the Antebellum-era when slaves were physically and psychologically beaten into servitude by the ‘cracker-man’. The generally poor, otherwise non-Anglo-Saxon White employees of slave owners whose chief responsibility was to mentally ‘break’ the African and Native ‘property’ of their personalities and their inner desire to be free from bondage. The colonial syndrome of the Uncle Tom is a direct result of this mistreatment. These are Blacks and other marginalised individuals so beaten down mentally by ethnic repression that they will unreservedly accept mistreatment from their oppressors as affection with any rewards earned from such self-debasement viewed as God-given ‘proof’ of their correctness in catering to White supremacist doctrine and privilege.

They readily accept White Power as an insurmountable and in short, willingly ‘punk out’ to the side that has the power. A personal quality commonly found amongst political, religious and social conservatives. ‘Uncle Toms’ work overtime to gain White love and affection even if it comes at a heavy personal price. They pathologically desire to be ‘White’ and will often go to great lengths to reach a level of ‘acceptable Europeanism’ by way of ‘African Pride’ brand name hair ‘relaxer’ kits, ‘nose-jobs’ and trying to avoid the sun. Skin bleaching creams and pills can still be found in Black community ‘beauty shops’ owned in most not by African people. And before I go any further let me point out that this phenomenon is in no way limited to just Africans. ‘Progressive’ Spanish-speaking Indios (Latinos), Arabs, and lower to middle-class Asians from India, Korea, China and especially the Philippines are also well-know for their attraction to Europocentric concepts of White beauty and social power.

In Asia, entire groups of young people are herded into cosmetic-surgery centres and in Hong Kong and Taipei it’s becoming increasingly difficult to find young people who do not commonly use a westernised first name. In the US prominent South Asian conservatives such as Piyush ‘Bobby’ Jindal, (who was proud to make his birth certificate public) Nimrata ‘Nikki’ Randhawa Haley, (who has officially stated that she is ‘White’) and Dinesh D’Souza, (an Indian immigrant to the US who never met a colonialist he didn’t like) show just how debilitating White racism is to the minds of people-of-colour. Some of the strongest White racists in the US right now are conservative people-of-colour living under the delusion that if they play their cards right they too can have a chance at the brass ring. ¹

I’m not trying to be funny here and I mean no personal insult to those I may mention in this commentary. But it stands to reason that if White society in law and in tradition practises a definitive, although fluid when circumstance demand, colour-line, that those non-Whites living under such a system would by logic define themselves in relation to and against

¹ Lisa M. Krieger: ‘Stanford law professor argues black women should cross race barrier for marriage partners’, (2011)
it. It isn’t an ad hominem to identify an African in America who cannot separate his or herself from a completely Europocentric personal perspective as a confused individual. This is a person who can ignore 500 years of ongoing hate, murder and violence against Africans in the Diaspora while faithfully hating enemies the Master Race has either wronged or created out of whole cloth to further an agenda.

When Oprah Winfrey went to Israel in support of the Zionist occupation of Indigenous Arab Palestinian lands she said in effect that the genocide of her own African people in the US gave her no insight into and the current genocide of Arab Palestinians in Israel. This inability to sympathise with Zionism’s victims and the conscious willingness to support the racism of the European (Ashkenazim) power structure begs host of serious questions. What African in the United States can claim to have any moral integrity while supporting Israel’s mission to eradicate the Indigenous Arab presence from that region? How do the pacifist teachings of the Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. jive with a philosophy that views non-White ethnic cleansing by a foreign supported imperio-colonialist power as of no consequence? And how many times in the recent past the meaning of his life and his positions been twisted by White racist propagandists, the government and the pitiful Black opportunist who would sell their right arm to for a key to the Whites-Only washroom?

When anti-female Negroes like Allen West, who can boldly claim to be the reincarnation of anti-slavery abolitionist Harriet Tubman, say that they ‘understand’ the ‘plight’ of Israel they speak from a position of either total ignorance of total submission to the most basic concepts of White Power. Why is it that for Israel to live, others must die? And why are so many Black Americans, like Jews, themselves descendants of slaves and genocide by Europeans, supporting the ethnic eradication of another people? To argue that what is happening in Occupied Palestine is not genocide flies in the face of basic common sense and established international law, (Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, 1948). The Palestinians as a people and as a nation are on the brink of extinction and we all know why. The policy of Israel is one of racial eradication of the Arab population, period. And the fact that there are Black Americans who support this is a major symptom of just how jacked-up our survival instincts, our perspectives and our politics have really become under European domination.

In Zionist terms such individuals would be designated ‘self-hating Jews’ and I for one find it difficult to understand the public outcry when Africans call-out people, with respect to accuracy, who actively work against their own communities. When Negro conservatives like Keyes, West, Cain and Thomas make passionate arguments in favour of the Frontier Thesis, Islamophobia and Pax Americana, what term other than ‘Uncle Tom’ would make sense? When ‘SHI’ is carelessly lobbed at Israel’s political opponents the term is defended as a descriptor. However when ‘Uncle Tom’ is used, the Goyim redefine it as an anti-White epithet aimed at ‘good’ Blacks misidentified by the stupid African masses as agents of a necessarily oppressive society. Ideologically speaking, as far as they are concerned, ‘Uncle Tom’s are a good thing.

The multifaceted and bogus excuses used to explain why European Jews separated from Palestine since they were kicked out by the Roman Empire, a European power, more than two thousand years ago deserve the ‘God given right’ to reclaim their homeland is a major contradiction in any school of logic you care to name. Indigenous Peoples in the Americas, for example, could never raise such a proposal without being laughed out of the room or worse and the reasons behind this are obvious. Although if one were to objectively compare the two situations it would be clear as to who would have a more legitimate claim to territorial redress.

What would happen if descendants of the Irish in the US who migrated due to the Potato Famine or ‘The Troubles’ (Na Trioblóid) decided to ‘reclaim’ their lost lands by reforming the Fenian Brotherhood after generations of being integrated into American cultural life? Would the American Negroes who staunchly support Zionism equally support a Celtic petition to use ‘any means necessary’ to ‘liberate’ their ancestral lands from the clutches of the Anglo-Saxons? And would they defend US politicians like Rep. Peter King who hypocritically supported the Irish Republican Army (IRA) while broadly accusing all Muslims, and by extension most Arabs, of being terrorists? For that matter, would the new claims of the Tea Party republicans that slavery never really existed in the United States because Christian capitalist Republicans fought against it include respect for anti-slavery activist John Brown who actually put his abolitionism in practise?

I have been criticised for connecting African and Indigenous genocide to the issue of Indigenous Arab Palestinians and historical Jewish genocide, but I fail to see where these cases do not connect and inform the others. It should be an obligation to ask the Jewish people as a whole how they could possibly involve themselves in an ethnic war after what has happened to them in Europe under the Catholic Church and the neo-conservative fascists. This question is never raised. Only the quasi-theological apologias of ethnic-empire and the capitalist declarations of further bloodshed can be heard.

---

1 Itamar Eichner: ‘Oprah coming to Israel for solidarity visit: American talk show queen accepts Elie Wiesel proposal to come to Israel, says she sympathizes with Israel’s suffering’, (2007)
4 Brett Wilkins: ‘For Michele Bachmann, It’s Like Slavery Never Happened… Again!’, (2011)
above the din of the Israeli propaganda machinery. And far too many American Negroes are keen to jitterbug towards any
White man that can display power. Think of how Caliban in ‘The Tempest’ hopped from one White man to another in an
effort to be on the greater side of workable power. Stepin Fetchit understood this and became White Hollywood’s first
Negro film star and the industry’s first Black millionaire. So did Madame C.J. Walker, the first African millionaire, who
invented the process to make African hair look straight. Always keep em’ smiling and just maybe they won’t put a rope
around your neck.

Israel’s first foreign minister and ardent Zionist, Moshe Sharett, was marginalised by the government’s military hard-liners
as ‘soft’, despite the fact that he still argued that a Jewish majority in Occupied Palestine could only exist so far as
European Jews were willing to ‘believe’ that it was theirs to take. As he opined in 1914:

‘We have forgotten that we have not come to an empty land to inherit it, but we have come to
conquer a country from people inhabiting it, that governs it by the virtue of its language and savage
culture ….. Recently there has been appearing in our newspapers the clarification about “the mutual
misunderstanding” between us and the Arabs, about “common interests” [and] about “the
possibility of unity and peace between two fraternal peoples” ….. [But] we must not allow ourselves
to be deluded by such illusive hopes ….. for if we ceases to look upon our land, the Land of Israel, as
ours alone and we allow a partner into our estate- all content and meaning will be lost to our
enterprise’.

-Benny Morris, Righteous Victims

Any African or Indigenous person anywhere in the world who can agree to support these ideas is sincerely mentally and
spiritually ill. You have to be to agree with such in-your-face brutality against a defenceless people.1 Racism, no matter
where it is practised, destroys the cognitive health of individuals and entire communities and generally for the long term.

Very few exterminated cultures have truly been reborn intact. And nationalist movements often make the grand mistake of
being intensely ‘race-conscious’ and politically ultra-conservative in their thinking, which as we have seen in Africa, Asia
and the Americas, only serves to continue the very same paradigms under different leadership. This too is where Mr.
Obama as ‘leader of the free world’ becomes important to understanding the current crisis in European-led capitalism. His
being of colour brings along a certain rash of subconscious assumptions about African people,2 but his visible ethnic
identity has little to do with the actual self-perception he may have of himself as an individual.

I do not dare propose to say that I ‘know’ what Mr. Obama is thinking, but I do say that he, like many of us who are
mixed-race, psychologically work from a model that we think might be a ticket into the White world and therefore peace of
mind. And I’m quite sure Obama as a young man watched ‘Guess Who’s Coming to Dinner’ as many times as I did trying
to figure out just how Sidney Pointier managed to do it. He had it all in that film, the suit, the money the White girl and the
perceptible respect ‘good Niggers’ can earn if they pay their cards right.

So if we are going to truthfully examine African pathologies and its influence on politics in the Diaspora, this history of
psychological ‘training’ during slavery and the propagandistic function of neo-Confederate historical revisionism as an
enabler must be clearly understood.3 Africans at home and abroad are the victims of intentional mental
under-development. This can be said for all Indigenous peoples who have been colonialised by a foreign power. Tibetans
are losing their unique identity more and more each successive generation under Chinese rule and more importantly, under
Chinese military occupation and covert international intrigue.4 The Sami and Basque peoples of Europe continue to be
forcibly assimilated into Western Europe’s cultural sphere despite their preexistence and natural territorial rights to their
ancestral lands, languages and traditions.(PDF) The drive to eject visible White colonialism in Africa on its face seemed
liberating, but traditional communities were attacked by the new Black-led revolutionary governments who were intent on ‘
civilising’ them ‘for the own good’. African revolutionaries inspired by Marxism and neo-colonialist Africans led by
capitalist sensibilities are at heart no different than the European racists they acquired such biases from.5 And today
Africa is the new battleground for the expansion of European economic and military culture as White Christianity,

1 ‘Israel’s Weapons a Crime on Humanity’, occupiedpalestine.wordpress.com (2011)
2 Jeffrey T. Kuhner: ‘Obama’s black nationalism: President’s Easter was worship of resentment, not resurrection’, (2011)
5 Kenan Malik: ‘All cultures are not equal’, (2002)
American-style, seeks to gain a greater foothold on the continent.¹

We see this very same pattern in modern post-communist China where American propaganda firms aggressively encourage the expansion of Christian faith as a vital moral aspect of successful capitalist culture and productivity. The swift adoption of these foreign values presents a host of contradictions China’s current political and economic leadership refuse to question and refuse to stop. The margin for immense, short-term financial profit is simply too great and both Mao and Confucius have been equally damned as anti-American aspects of a darker time. Not the say that the older governmental systems were much better, just different and uniquely suited for the cultural populations that utilised them. And when you really look at it, the Semitic religion of Christianity is only European because Europeans say it is.

When White people in the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand speak of ‘faith’, ‘free associations’ represented by governments serving the ‘will of the people’ and not ‘special interests’ they are really only talking about themselves. Each of these societies practise fairly strict racial marginalisations that allow for a limited number of assimilated ‘outsiders’ to be accepted within the extant system. This apparent flexibility however does not mean that such nations are in a true sense, ‘open’. Just the opposite is true. None of the nations I just mentioned can make any legitimate claims to host political systems that truly reflect the collective will of their respective general populations. They are all political manifestations of elite interests, not examples of actual democratic parity.²

This is one reason why China’s overnight shift from Asian state-communism to US-style state-capitalism has quickly shown itself to be damaging to that nation’s spirit and independence. Capitalism is bad enough, but the adoption of American religious and social habits are slowly eroding the cultural core of Chinese society and those who are compelled to become the sentient cogs within such a machine are paying a heavy price. By connecting ‘Whiteness with Rightness’, the Chinese people are making a grievous mistake and are erroneously and selectively dismissing both the reality of American cultural unfairness and hypocrisy and its more negative association with material wealth and status. White folks don’t tell you that they are stealing and abusing peoples and countries around the world for their own benefit, they always say that they are spreading ‘freedom, justice and equality’ and the ‘American Way’ as they blast innocent, native and Indigenous non-combatants into red-mist.

Cultures are rarely fixed and this is actually a good thing as it prevents a people, unless they strive to be insular, from becoming stagnant. But it is an entirely different matter when cultures are forced to change to suit the wishes of an outsider entity. No matter what superficial benefits European imperialism and colonial occupation may bring, the main point of the forced transformation is to profit the invader, not the native or imported slave. And it is also clear that when native societies reject the colonialisit invader and ask him to leave, the uninvited guest rarely goes home quietly. People begin to experience the worse of the invader when they oppose him and the powers that be will use any tactic at their disposal to tilt the odds in their favour.³ From bad-jacketing liberation activists as ‘terrorists’ to sabotaging a nation’s ability to maintain itself independently, White Power and privilege will always bully itself where it is not wanted.⁴ Psychological dirty tricks and the reliable ‘Divide and Conquer’ programme make sure non-White populations tow the line and provide the machine with what it needs to function and create wealth for the outsider.

India for example, used to produce rice for export, now families are wholly dependent upon rice imports because their native crops are slated for foreign markets in order to repay International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank loans they never asked for. Haiti is in a similar situation and was placed into such a twisted position as revenge for having the temerity to free themselves from French slavery. Their national debt was literally imposed at gunpoint and every White colonialist nation with a presence in the Caribbean has repeatedly robbed the Haitian people at will without a care.⁵ Africa as a continent has experienced this form of control in one way or another for centuries. And the ways in which that control has been implemented and utilised should be a question at the very centre of critical study in international anti-colonialist education. Just how did Whites manage to do so much damage for so long without being forcibly stopped by the native population? And most importantly, why did the anti-colonialist push from the 1950’s to the 1970’s adopt the very same political, economic and social problems that repressed them so brutally under the old leadership?⁶

Black, just like White leadership, has never been a guarantee of social or political justice. The lust for concentrated political power and monetary wealth is merely a reflection of a politicians personal issues with insecurity, not ethnicity. Greed comes in many shades and colours. Elitism as an emotion and as an ideology is only concerned with what Robert Ardrey,

---

1’ NATO raids on Libya "a form of new colonialism": S. African expert’, Xinhua News (2011)
4 ‘The Ugly American’, (1958)
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author of ‘African Genesis: A Personal Investigation into the Animal Origins and Nature of Man’ (1967), termed ‘Central Position’, the unceremonious drive for immediate wants and needs of the individual. According to Ardrey this sort of Narcissistic personality disorder (NPD) is a sort of instinctive reaction to being born only some of us never really grow out of it, I’m still quite astonished that the admittedly self-centred creator-genius of ‘Objectivism’ Ayn Rand and economic fascist Friedrich Hayek did not use this book to suggest that this was a theory presenting possible evidence of a ‘capitalist gene’:

‘The Illusion of Central Position, so the theory goes, is the birthright of every baby. He enters an unknown world. He lies in a basket, or a cradle, or a clutch of straw. His eyesight is vague. Bright objects appear for his amusement, bottles and breasts for his comfort. His groping consciousness finds no reason at all to doubt the world’s consecration to his needs and purposes. His Illusion of Central Position is perfect’. 1

Human avarice in this view is an issue concerning how individuals perceive themselves in relation to, and with, ‘The Other’ and how societies can shape and inform a person’s sense of voluntary co-operation with the group and with outsiders. This means cultures that cultivate community-oriented and trusting relationships among people stimulate the emotional maturity necessary to build a healthy and progressive society. ‘Progressive’ in the sense that they move forward in accordance with community needs, not individual ‘collectivist’ wants. What I am talking about here is a different articulation of the stagnant and frankly ignorant partisan political discourse commonly observed in the Anglophone and Euro-colonial world. I do not need to tell the reader that the economic system is in crisis, but I feel that it is important for all of us to understand that the people who made the decisions that led to the emergency are in fact, conservatives.

All of them. Only a FOX non-News junkie, the ignorant goons of the Tea Party and the Council of Conservative Citizens believe that billionaire George Soros is a liberal. When it comes to international finance and profiting from an economic crisis, Mr. Soros is a pure, dyed-in-the-wool non-nonsense capitalist. 2 Does anyone of voting age really believe that he was at all concerned about the people and families who lost their investments due to his business moves? The reason George Soros is not liked by the conservative, US libertarian and neo-Confederate lobbies is because he does not robotically tow their party line. He makes his own decisions. And, he has put his money towards politically defeating the Bush-Cheney criminal conspiracy to wage unnecessary, but profitable colonialist wars and spy on American citizens outside of the provisions laid out in codified, black-letter law.

The Koch brothers can surreptitiously fund juvenile rabble rousers like the Tea Party and receive praise for ‘standing up’ to the non-existent socialist takeover, but should a rich man give his money to the opposition, well, he must be part of an sinister plot to corrupt White American faith and values. And remember if you will that it was another conservative, Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir bin Mohamad, who publicly accused Soros, a Hungarian Jew, of fronting a worldwide Jewish conspiracy plot to hurt Asian nations. 3 Forget for a moment that Dr. Mahathir is a devout Muslim and all of the negative group-think that goes along with it. He is without question a hard-core political and social conservative on par with any other ‘White’, anti-Indigenous neo-colonial conservative found anywhere in the capitalist, Anglophone world. His idiotic statement about a Jewish conspiracy to destroy the world was pure unadulterated White racist propaganda repackaged as a legitimate political position, a concept swallowed whole by almost all of the literate Arab world. What I am referring to is the sort of baseless, anti-Jewish hatred that is informed by the utterly ridiculous Russian Okhrana-produced, ‘The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion’, first published in 1903 with the full knowledge of the Tsarist government.

Purporting to record the conclusions of a subversive Ashkenazim cabal of ‘Fifth Column’ agent provocateurs intent on destroying the White Christian population, ‘The Protocols’ intentionally fingered Russia’s Jewish population as the main reason why the Russian state was failing, covering up the very conservative Tsar’s noted apathy towards matters of state. The supposed ‘plot’ revolves around the world’s Jews manipulating the Christian population through political and religious liberalism which will lead to a decline in moral fortitude and the loss of God’s grace. As Mr. Soros has pointed out himself, we can pretend that racism and ethnic-biases are a thing of the past, but even educated people who should know better take the stupefying and hateful dribble of anti-Jewish propaganda like the ‘The Protocols’ seriously. 4

---

3 ‘Mahathir’s dark side’, The Daily Telegraph (2003L)
'There is a resurgence of anti-Semitism in Europe. The policies of the Bush administration and the Sharon administration contribute to that. It's not specifically anti-Semitism, but it does manifest itself in anti-Semitism as well. I'm critical of those policies... If we change that direction, then anti-Semitism also will diminish. I can't see how one could confront it directly... I'm also very concerned about my own role because the new anti-Semitism holds that the Jews rule the world... As an unintended consequence of my actions... I also contribute to that image'.

A brief history lesson is needed at this point because most people really do not know the history of how Jews became connected to usury and banking in the western mind. In fact, let's take a shortcut and re-read the historical background behind 'The Merchant of Venice (in 1619 the subtitle: 'With the Extreme Cruelty of Shylock the Jew', Was added for effect it seems) to understand how these ignorant Elizabethan-period biases got started in the first place. The Christian religion in Europe, at least from the European Middle Ages up to the Famiglia de' Medici's entry into commercial banking, observed proscriptions against charging interest on loans. This left professional money lending open to local Jews who would charge a fee for the service free of theological guilt. Most importantly, the other reason why financing became a primary occupation amongst European Jewry was due to the social rules preventing them from working in any other trades other than tailoring and similar service crafts. Jews were a segregated ethnic group marginalised in all public arenas and most private (i.e., capitalist) enterprises looked down upon by White Europeans. We are talking about a animosity so deep that even if a Jew converted to the Christian religion, (conversos) the prejudice against them remained and carried over into the public sphere in terms of employment, housing and civil protections under the law.
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about and be believed by a majority of the world’s conservative basket-cases. It conveniently places their ills on a victim that simply cannot defend himself from abuse.

In ‘The Merchant of Venice’ Shylock’s plight is clear. He is spat upon by the entire society for no other reason than he is a Jew and therefore is not truly protected by the Christian-codified law which was used against him in court when he demanded redress for the defaulted debt. As a person-of-colour subjected to crypto-segregation and colonialism in the Americas I diagnose Shylock’s demand for ‘a pound of flesh’ as a morbid, but lucid desire for equilibrium as well as payback for Antonio’s raging Judeophobia. The Duke’s court at Venice recognises the ethnic-revenge motive in Shylock’s contract and desperately seeks to find the Christian debtor an out without violating the honour of a legal agreement in a society where such negotiations are fundamental to commerce. The principle deceiving elements of the play, the women Portia and Nerissa respectively, were able to easily sway the state’s opinion against Shylock’s right to some sort of accommodation precisely because the society’s bias towards Jews was strong enough to alter the decision of the court. Shylock as a Jew ‘lost’ his case the moment his stepped before the court and the injustices were heaped upon him in the deliberations from beginning to end.

In the story’s conclusion, Shylock loses his thieving assimilationist daughter to a member of the ‘Master Race’ that persecutes her own people, he is forced to forfeit all of his remaining wealth to the Christians that cheated him and under penalty of death he is forced to convert to the Catholic religion or else. Further, Antonio, the Christian to whom Shylock loaned the capital was under the impression that his investments were lost when in fact he did not lose anything and ended up even richer than when the whole bad business started. In short the White Venitian society made sure that the Christian came out on top and that the ‘sinister hook-nosed Jew’ was punished for daring to challenge one of their number.

‘Hath not a Jew eyes’? ‘Hath not a Jew hands, organs, dimensions, senses, affections, passions; fed with the same food, hurt with the same weapons, subject to the same diseases, heal’d by the same means, warm’d and cool’d by the same winter and summer as a Christian is’? ‘If you prick us, do we not bleed’? ‘If you tickle us, do we not laugh’? ‘If you poison us, do we not die’? And if you wrong us, shall we not revenge’?

- Act III, scene I

Viewing the play from the perspective of the oppressed as opposed to the extant Europocentric paradigm reveals a major fault in both western and eastern thinking. In that the only way a person can see this play as an affirmation of Judeophobia, in truth, must subconsciously agree that Jews are not in fact as fully ‘human’ as other peoples. And I also go further by saying that the modern symptoms of Judeophobia we see lingering in the shadows of western Christianity and Islam are a direct result of Catholic and Protestant xenophobia that spread across the whole of the world along with European imperialism and colonial nation-building. White people of Europe, the same folks who gave us Eugenics and twisted Charles Darwin’s work into a morass of White supremacist apologias for the application of non-White genocide when necessary to make capitalist exploitation work, created the hatred against Jews and taught it to everybody that harbors the prejudice.

In other words, If Judeophobia survives and is universal, as Herzl had suggested, it is the fault of a dogged reliance upon White sociopolitical and theological culture including its prejudices. Moreover, if William Shakespeare was a bigot I contend that he was a minor one. Because in my mind he has presented some salient lessons of consequence for closed-minded Europeans and provided them with a mirror in which to see the errors of their xenophobic folly. Take the main line of argument presented to ‘justify’ animosity towards Shylock, the opinion that he is a villainous entity taking undue advantage of Christian troubles to gain money and control over the White people who are indebted to him. Only a willful and selective reading of the ‘Merchant’ could ignore the rampant racism against Jews in the story’s historical setting and how such social conditions can make persons subjected to such abuse, ‘surly’ and seemingly anti-social in otherwise civil relationships with their oppressors.

Further, most negative opinions about the work sidestep the reality that racist societies as a rule never admit that they are ethnically stratified. Just the opposite is done with concepts like the ‘Good German Defence’ used to explain such
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In fact they will argue vociferously that such charges are really examples of ‘reverse-racism’ against White people because of hatred, jealousy and laziness from minorities for not being successful in the ‘private-property’ economic system. Such attitudes express an opinion that White biases against the marginalised are indeed based on something factual and tangible enough to take seriously. This is exactly what Barack Obama said during his ‘A More Perfect Union’ speech that was swiftly dubbed the 2008 ‘Race Speech’ by the Europocentric establishment press.

I steadfastly reject the claims made by some that Shakespeare’s work is Judeophobic in its essence. Chiefly because any competent understanding of the historical factors beneath the play boldly exposes just how hateful European states and societies were towards Jews as a group and as private individuals. This uncompromising critique of racism and ethnic bias in my personal view is Shakespeare’s unacknowledged grand ‘Triple Narrative’ of Europocentric racial attitudes and conjectural xenophobia. In ‘The Tempest’ we see the Aboriginal deprived of his country, his freedom and his soul. In ‘Othello’ we witness White racism used as a tool to destabilise the social standing of an important Black man living in Europe by a host of characters unconsciously acting upon their bigotries rather than their intelligence. And in the ‘Merchant’ we see just how hateful the Christian world could be to the Jew caught up under the weight of both a racist society and its law. In my view Shakespeare was a champion of common sense if not of anti-racism. And it makes sense that he was not particularly cared for as a writer during his lifetime. His work cut too close to the bone.

As Welshman and former UK Prime Minister David Lloyd George, (remembered for recognising the Irish Republican Army, Óglaigh na hÉireann, as a legitimate government) noted after he left office:

‘Of all the extreme fanaticism which plays havoc in man’s nature, there is not one as irrational as anti-Semitism. … If the Jews are rich [these fanatics] are victims of theft. If they are poor, they are victims of ridicule. If they take sides in a war, it is because they wish to take advantage from the spilling of non-Jewish blood. If they espouse peace, it is because they are scared by their natures or traitors. If the Jew dwells in a foreign land he is persecuted and expelled. If he wishes to return to his own land, he is prevented from doing so’.

Until the rise of political and ideological Zionism following the l'affaire Dreyfus, (Dreyfus affair) no Western European ever considered Jews residing in Europe as ‘White’. When Hungarian atheist and assimilationist Jewish journalist Theodor Herzl, (?????? ????) founded what became modern Zionism, part of his argument for an independent Jewish state was based on what he perceived to be the ‘Other-ness’ of the Jew in European society. In Der Judenstaat, Herzl writes about the outside nature of the Jewish position in what he considered to be global, or ‘White’ society:

‘The Jewish question persists wherever Jews live in appreciable numbers. Wherever it does not exist, it is brought in together with Jewish immigrants. We are naturally drawn into those places where we are not persecuted, and our appearance there gives rise to persecution. This is the case, and will inevitably be so, everywhere, even in highly civilised countries -- see, for instance, France -- so long as the Jewish question is not solved on the political level. The unfortunate Jews are now carrying the seeds of anti-Semitism into England; they have already introduced it into America’.

This is why I contend that while ‘Whiteness’ may be based upon an immediate visual representation of White Europeans, (because the world is understood that way through European domination of politics and perception) the concept itself is really a matter of social elitism in terms of group exploitation and marginalisation of the lower-classes. Consider the royal ‘sangre azul’ blood-purity cult of Queen Isabella I of Castile and King Ferdinand II of Aragon that arose in Europe immediately following the Reconquista as a social revolt against both the Moors and the Jews of Al Andalus (??????? ) to see what I mean.

I also wish to point out here that the popular image of the evil, conniving Jew we see in both right-wing Christianity and in right-wing Islamic thinking, theology and propaganda were entirely developed within a Europocentric context. Jews certainly did not and do not view themselves as how they are depicted not by Shakespeare, but by how people have
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interpreted his work following its first release. Remember that the social and religious biases against Jews in Europe was so intense that as a community they were often forced to live in ‘Jewish Quarters’ segregated from Christians in large towns and cities. Why would it be beyond the pale to suggest that the representations of Shylock during and since that time would reflect not the author’s view, but the producers of the productions even down to the book covers that adorn copies of the work? Harriet Beecher Stowe’s ‘Uncle Tom's Cabin’ too has been criticised as demeaning without fair regard to how the story was both written and the conditions under which it has been portrayed in popular media.

When Ms. Stowe wrote her story African slavery was a full-on affair and had she not written the tale in the fashion that she did, it would never have earned any popular attention. Her intended audience was the White population in American society who were perpetuating the slave system and she was viciously pilloried for her brave efforts. Further, while it is true that some of the White people in the story are not particularly hateful, almost all of the characters are still convinced that Europeans are inherently ‘superior’ to Africans whom they seem to pity more than view as equals in a ‘Homo sapiens’ sense. As in the ‘Merchant’, The Christians who cheat Shylock are viewed as merciful because they could just as easily had him executed simply for being a Jew. Instead, they had him stripped of all his possessions including his identity. The Whites of the ‘Cabin’ may not have enjoyed being a part of a slave culture, but they were also not likely to intermarry or share accommodations with Africans either. And the author, who wasn’t a bigot, was not in control over how the story was portrayed in art or on the stage. White Euro-settler prejudices took care of morphing her morality humanistic tale into a metaphor for American African frivolity and self-induced folly.

In a White world, White xenophobia will always win out. Modern Euro-settlers in the US enjoy mocking American Africans for being offended by the new rebel government’s decision to tally Africans in the United States as 3/5ths of a human being in accordance to James Madison’s ‘The Three-Fifths compromise’ (1787) Without addressing the blatant racism of such a legal move. The reasoning behind the 3/5ths designation was a clear acknowledgement of the lack of respect White people had for Africans both free and slave. Whites today wish to deny it because of its absolutist certitude that Blacks are regarded by the United States government as ‘sub-humans’ and will do most anything to deflect from the obvious role of institutional racism in the fact that the rule have never been removed from the books. Like the Dred Scott v. Sandford (1857) decision, the 3/5ths rule still stands, only nullified by the 14th Amendment to the US Constitution, which is now a target of both anti-immigrant and anti-African conservative activists in the US in the wake of the election f Barack Obama. The relationship between such sentiments and the European Holocaust Revisionists promoting pseudo-history such as intelligentsia-fascists like David Irving and ‘Dr. Death’ huckster, Fred A. Leuchter are simply too close to rationally deny. 2

White America is in such denial of its own racist history that revisionist moves to deny African chattel-slavery in the US have become identifiable elements of the upcoming 2012 elections with Christian evangelical republicans erroneously claiming that slavery never occurred in the United States. With Negro conservatives and neo-Confederate supporters like Thomas Sowell, Michael Steele and Alan Keyes working overtime to give White racism a good image in the public’s mind. Alan Keyes for one should at least be ready to admit that White racism is a reality since it kicked him square in the arse in 1996 when he was forcibly ejected from a televised Atlanta, Georgia republican debate and dropped in the worst part of the Black ghetto by local law enforcement. Mr. Keyes has learned nothing from this experience and continues to promote the racist, homophobic fascism of the extremest Tea Party republicans intent on ‘taking America back’ from the Negro in the White House. 5

Their submission to White racial privilege helps support the conservative-thinking of White people who really want to believe that it was they who brought ‘civilisation’ to the savages of the non-White world. They know where the power is and come Hell or high water, they intend to both profit and save their cowardly arses from the enslavement and ethnic hardships they see coming for non-Whites in the US and around the world. The attacks by Black conservatives against Mr. Obama are nothing less than actions undertaken by self-Hating Africans who care little about his policies and more about how they can possibly replace him as the new ‘Number One Negro’ in America.

These are the same sort of double-crossing Black fools, hypocrites and House Negroes who turned in other slaves either running from White terrorist violence or angry enough to fight the system back measure for measure. Denmark Vesey and Gullah Jack are just one of many examples of how African people have been sold out to the White slave-supporting

authorities by Africans reluctant to be free of White servitude. Preventing what would have been a series of highly successful slave revolts against an insanely inhuman system. It is difficult for me to respect any African anywhere in the world who finds honour in actively absolving White society from its racist behaviour. In fact, I dare say that it is damn near impossible. If we can all agree that it is impossible to reason with a European Holocaust denier I can argue that it is equally ridiculous to try making peace with Black, or White, people who support White racism in the United States.

It’s one thing to argue in favour of a sociopolitical perspective and its quite another to support racialism and its role in perpetuating in the genocide of entire peoples for the sake of profit and power. It is utterly disgusting when White people are reluctant to admit to their negative social history in terms of racial hatred, marginalisation and violence. But it is repugnant beyond measure when African and Native American conservatives chuckle and shuffle like a pre-Muslim, cinematic Stepin Fetchit when it comes to discussing issues like anti-African police brutality, unfair housing and employment discrimination in the supposedly ‘race-free’ United States.

These folks, like the handful of Jews, British citizens such as the Duke of Windsor, simple two-bit traitors and the international bankers who served the cause of the fascist German government before, during and after World War Two, these people are not working in favour of social justice or fair play. They are instead pathetic operatives attending to the best interests of those whom they have willfully chosen as their lords and masters, a philosophy their claimed hero Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. would have called punk-arse displays of Black cowardice in the face of blatant White racism. These Negroes stand by the notion that if White people are not in complete control of the world, everything will descend into chaos. I have been told in many, many private conversations with pro-White Negroes that if non-Whites ever came into control of the global system universal failure would be a guarantee. ‘White people are the only ones who have what it takes to get the job done...’, one such nebbish told me after I asked him to explain how entire peoples violently denied their natural social and cultural development for centuries were supposed to become ‘Instant Europeans’ overnight on par with
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the eugenicist theorisations of Caucasian ‘normalcy’.  

This individual was convinced that he is smart enough to understand that his own people were ‘too ignorant’ to create a fair and functioning society by only accepting the lowest common denominator offered to him in terms of ‘good examples’ of an organised Africa. These Negroes long for the days of Ian Smith’s Rhodesia and Portuguese-rule in Colonialist Mozambique and willfully ignore how the European powers jointly and covertly undermined African governments and assassinated their political leaders and intellectuals. It is very easy in a White world to only pay attention to the effects and results of racism and exploitation against Black and Brown peoples and to completely ignore the root causes of the problem. For to deal with the dilemma raises the big question of how things got to be this way and who really wants to deal with that? Barack Obama has already shown that he will not bring up the issue while he is in office and we all know for damn sure that the Black republicans will never say a damn thing about it. If they cannot say a damn thing about Oscar Grant and Sean Bell why should they be expected to speak up for African human rights in any area? For to ‘fix’ the system would mean changing the entire way things are done by making available the fruits of society’s labours to the masses, not the select few who have gained their power through unjust and nefarious means.

This opinion, the idea that rich White people ‘must’ rule everything of value, in effect proves beyond a reasonable doubt that Whites do indeed control the system and will collectively freak out when they feel that this power is being challenged. This explains the herd-mentality of the Tea Party and more honest White supremacist groups like David Duke’s EURO, (European-American Unity and Rights Organization) immediately following Barack Obama’s election to the presidency. The great White American insecurity issue with Mr. Obama is not that he is a socialist, (which he isn’t) or that he is a Muslim, (he isn’t) it is because he is Black. And if you mention this truth White folks highly sensitive to being told that they are inheritors of a nation built on Indigenous genocide (which is true) and African slavery, (also true) will bitch, moan and hang lynching nooses in the workplace screaming their heads off about how much they have done for the Negro and the non-White world at large. According to this theory, colonialism and imperialism is a good thing and forced religious and cultural conversions to Europocentric paradigms are seen as a noble crusade against the enemies of ‘God’s People’.

This is the point at which Michelle Bachmann and Hillary R. Clinton meet politically in that they both agree that the Christian God has set aside the ‘right of power’ to those own literally own the country and by extension, the world:

- Rich people - God bless us, we deserve all the opportunities to make sure our country and our blessings continue to the next generation.

This attitude differs little from the older ‘Divine Right of Kings’ argument derived from Judeo-Christian religion as written in Romans 13:1:

- Let every individual be obedient to those who rule over him; for no one is a ruler except by God's permission, and our present rulers have had their rank and power assigned to them by Him.

-Weymouth New Testament

- LET every soul be subject to higher powers: for there is no power but from God: and those that are, are ordained of God.

-Douay-Rheims

So in order to adhere to the American neo-Confederate version of a ‘correct society’, it is vitally important that all concerned agree to ‘believe’ in both the White man and in ‘God’ as a means of maintaining order. Judeo-Christian religion, as interpreted by the fascists, is an enabler, a qualifier for explaining away centuries of social injustice against non-White minorities, women, the poor and theological rivals they feel threaten their monopoly over society’s rank-and-file
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populations. But I think that there is much more to the story than just a willingness to use religious belief to positively control societies. There is a psychological aspect to all of this that gets precious little attention for the obvious reasons. Science, a disciple conservatives only support when its discoveries are conductive to ‘improving’ the means of warfare, suggests that being a narrow-minded conservative may in fact be an issue of genetics rather than just obscene stupidity.

‘A study at University College London in the UK has found that conservatives’ brains have larger amygdalas than the brains of liberals. Amygdalas are responsible for fear and other "primitive" emotions. At the same time, conservatives’ brains were also found to have a smaller anterior cingulate -- the part of the brain responsible for courage and optimism...If the study is confirmed, it could give us the first medical explanation for why conservatives tend to be more receptive to threats of terrorism, for example, than liberals. And it may help to explain why conservatives like to plan based on the worst-case scenario, while liberals tend towards rosier outlooks...” It is very significant because it does suggest there is something about political attitudes that are either encoded in our brain structure through our experience or that our brain structure in some way determines or results in our political attitudes”, Geraint Rees, the neurologist who carried out the study, told the media”.

-University College London study

In a cultural sense this goes a long way in explaining why conservatives as a demographic are so overbearing in religious and political matters. These elements are the power centres of a society and conservative need to feel that they are the people in charge. Why? Because if they have to live under a sociopolitical system that values equal opportunity and a basic standard of living for all, they would be morally prevented from wrapping up the world around their own petty personal wants and likes. This is why the term ‘collectivism’ frightens conservatives because it suggests that the world does not revolve around them and them alone. Political and theological conservatives of any school of thought wish to ‘conserve’ what they perceive to be the ‘status quo’, a philosophy that argues the rights of the strong over those who cannot protect themselves from exploitation and opportunistic whimsical abuse. It is a debasing, nonsensical and intellectually lazy Master Race rationalisations for why Black and Brown people are always ripe for picking.

Think of ‘Mad’ Henry VIII’s egotistical separation from the Roman Catholic Church, the evolutionary biology of Konrad Lorenz and Michael Bradley’s unconventional, yet interesting theory about European aggressiveness in the ‘The Iceman Inheritance’ to see what I mean. Konrad himself admitted to this apathetic trait amongst the ruling classes when he presented his acceptance essay to the Nobel commission in the 1970’s:

‘... I wrote about the dangers of domestication and, in order to be understood, I couched my writing in the worst of Nazi-terminology. I do not want to extenuate this action. I did, indeed, believe that some good might come of the new rulers. The precedent narrow-minded catholic regime in Austria induced better and more intelligent men than I was to cherish this naive hope. Practically all my friends and teachers did so, including my own father who certainly was a kindly and humane man. None of us as much as suspected that the word "selection", when used by these rulers, meant murder’

Mr. Lorenz was not a stupid man, yet he was still an enthusiastic Nazi Party member since the 1930’s and perhaps, in a diminutive fashion, still was when he won the Nobel Prize in 1973. His personal background as a Nazi Party supporter of Rassenhygiene (racial hygiene) and its obvious relationship to the deliberate murder of millions of Jews, Russians, Jehovahs Witnesses, Roma (Gypsies), Turks, German-Sudanese and other Afro-Europeans, Homosexuals and the

physically and mentally infirm¹ did not weigh against him in later years. The respect shown to him following the war says much about the people who control the White dominated world and a lot more about how Whites really feel at heart about non-White peoples. There is a reason why the European genocides of the mid-20th century receive so much attention while other large-scale ethnic eradications do not. The victims, some anyway, looked a lot like those who were perpetuating the violence. So we hear a great deal about the Ashkenazi tally of victims but until very recently, we were told next to nothing of the other ethnic and religious groups that were also subjected to ethnic cleansing.² Or, the role of expatriate “White Jews”³ living in capitalist nations who were not willing to support their people during this horrific crisis.⁴

shows Therefore in many ways despotism is not an issue of race and ethnicity, it is a cognitive question of emotional and perhaps a series of psychological deficiencies, not a ‘racial’ inferiority. A lot of good could probably be made out of the rise of Black leaders such as Robert Mugabe, Milton Obote, Idi Amin Dada, Nelson Mandela and Barack Obama. But to date, their leadership has proved that Afro-ethnocentric theory wrong. Each individual I listed has shown through their decision-making and social management, especially when facing challenges to their authority, to be thoroughly European in their thinking and in their actions. Both Mugabe and Amin have used fascism, religion(s) and pent-up social anger to the shift the public’s attention away from their own failings to a prescribed victim who cannot defend themselves from the abuse. Mandela and Obama promised radical change but instead they created further social divisions by ensuring that: the White upper-classes were absolved of their responsibility for maintaining racism; that capitalism would continue to serve as the sole financial model; that rich Whites got to keep their money and that Europocentrism remains as the structural flow of the social system.

It should be mentioned here however that what occurred in Africa cannot be applied in whole to a direct analysis of what is now happening with Barack Obama in the United States. At least not on the same political level. As quiet as it is kept, Gen. Idi Amin, a top graduate of the British colonial army’s indigenous-manned King’s African Rifles, was a valuable ally to the UK, US and especially the State of Israel who politically and materially supported him when he took power in 1971. Amin was a dedicated anti-Indian African leader. He was a clear advocate of European paradigms and he demanded that his countrymen follow in his lead. Remember that Idi Amin cut his pro-colonialist teeth in direct military action against the Mau Mau revolt against British rule in Kenya and used Islam as a tool to separate Indigenous Nations against each other while he struggled to maintain power after his idiocy and inept statesmanship forced Europeans to cut him loose after the Entebbe Rescue Crisis.⁵ Troops under Amin’s command commited the ‘Turkana Massacre’ while conducting an operation to suppress cattle rustling by Indigenous Peoples forced into the northern regions of Uganda from neighbouring Kenya. Later, after public outcry forced official investigations, British authorities overseeing affairs in Kenya revealed that the victims of the massacre had been crudely tortured, beaten, sexually assaulted and often buried alive by their captors. ⁶ The government of the UK decided to overlook Amin’s ‘exuberances’ since it was done on behalf of the Crown and no White officers were directly involved in the atrocities.

The rules of social justice were also discarded in the Republic of South Africa as well after the fall of ‘official’ Apartheid with the negotiated release of African National Congress (ANC) leader Nelson Mandela. With all due respect to ‘Madiba’, the original baseline goals of the ANC have yet to be fulfilled. When the ‘new’ ANC government agreed to enact what were called ‘historic compromises’ that have effectively cheated the average Black and ‘Coloured’ South African citizen out of what is duly theirs by birthright, they actually perpetuated the very same capitalist social divisions seen under the old system.⁷ In the United States a similar pro-Europocentric ‘change’ came in the form of Barack Obama, fresh from his unearned Noble Peace Prize win, who spoke proudly of his ‘historic’ run for the presidency as he quietly handed public funds to the very same people who created the ‘Ghetto Loan’ sub-prime mortgage scam.⁸ This racist programme of predatory housing loans for all accounts and purposes has financially destroyed the African American community. But to date, no one has held President Obama responsible for not addressing the problem or the ethnically-biases nature of the programme itself.⁹ He has however spoken passionately on behalf of US Israelis who deceptively claim to be the victims of Arab, Muslim and left-wing Judeophobia as they illegally and violently occupy the Palestine Territories supported by US tax dollars and Christian-Zionist support.
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Only a creep would suggest that Jews do not deserve to be protected against ethnic bias, but Africans, Native Americans and other ethnic minorities deserve due compassion as well as victims of Christian prejudice. I am willing to admit here that challenging Nelson Mandela’s commitment to the African struggle is vastly different from calling out Barack Obama’s glaring apathy towards the African Diaspora, which by the way is becoming legendary amongst political circles in Washington DC. Barack Obama was never a fighter for African human rights under an oppressive White racist system. Whereas Bro. Mandela has had his life taken away from him for daring to say to the White invaders occupying and violating Mother Africa, “I Am A Man”...and no matter what you do to me I will remain human. He was listed as a ‘terrorist’ for his bravery in standing up to genocidal White racist terror in his country by the United States government.¹

Mr. Obama to date has displayed none of this courage or personal discipline in regards to protecting the human and civil rights of African peoples and other minorities who do not matter until it is time to vote. His dismissive attitude towards Africa as the Motherland of all humanity and what is occurring there is only making matters much, much worse.² As long as the only people who suffer are the natives, international political leaders and the military strongmen who make sure that Wall Street and ‘The City’ get what their investment portfolios demand not not change a thing.

This is the other reason why arguments made against Mr. Obama are nothing more than pro-White political bile. His expansion of the US occupation effort in the Muslim world is pure imperialism and goes much further than even the Bush / Cheney conspiracy did. (See: The Downing Street Memorandum) When wannabe fascists like Dinesh D’Souza, Stephen Flurry and the morally-repugnant Newt Gingrich call Mr. Obama an ‘anti-colonialist Kenyan’ and accuse him of being strongly opposed to White folks, Christians and Pax Americana they are liars. How much more ad hominem can you get when political criticism levied against an American president can be entirely based upon stubborn European colonialist attitudes against Africans? If you notice, they never raise the fact that his politics could instead be informed by his slave-owning relatives which would make more sense given that he has refused to show the Black or Brown people of the world any observable mercy.

[ Patrice Émery Lumumba, First legally elected Prime Minister of the Republic of the Congo ]

If the Obama administration was truly opposed to American control over the entire world how do they explain the state of the planet which is for the most part under the direct influence of US military and economic power?³ And in Africa, ‘Witch-hunts’, forced and coerced religious conversions and increased violence and repression against homosexuals, (who were traditionally accepted and given roles within certain cultures) have become the main tool of recruitment used by the US and European Christian colonialists and missionaries seeking to ‘Americanise’ the African paradigm as a whole. With a

This is not to say that non-White, Indigenous/Aboriginal/First Nations governments and peoples are in any way innately ‘perfect’, but it does make the argument that self-governance is generally better than outside governance in that an outsider will never care more for you as much as you will. Unless of course you have been beaten or tricked into not caring for your own well-being. National, cultural and individual independence logically should beat out any form of foreign dependency every time. But unfortunately because of the deeply intense damage done by colonialism and intentional sabotage of post-colonialist states, most, if not all, such cases have in one way or another ended in abject failure.  

Genuine co-operation, shared experiences and genuine friendship between peoples does not come with a price-tag demanding the total human subjugation of the host nation. When cultures meet and talk they grow. When one culture puts a weapon in the mouth of another or promises much needed sustenance in return for religious conversion, this isn’t ‘progress’, it’s brigandage. And there is no moral excuse for such behaviour in an enlightened international global society.  

The Europocentric intelligentsia have no credible explanation for this paradigm other than to regurgitate the tired old line that ‘Niggers and Injuns will be Niggers and Injuns and cannot, by nature, be civilised’. Thus, they ‘need’ a strong and guiding ‘elder brother’ from Europe, mainland China or Indonesia (PDF) to tell them what to do. Left to their own devices they might just figure out how things really work and put a stop to the process.  

This is why the ‘removal’ of revolutionary leaders and thinkers such as Patrice Lumumba, Walter Rodney, Malcolm X, Fred Hampton Sr. and Ernesto ‘Che’ Guevara was necessary to the perpetuation of not just capitalism but European or White control over the Anglophone colonial empire.  

However, if a native can do the job better than they can at a particular point in time during a particular crisis, the system will bend and bitch about it until they can ‘fix’ things later. The end of the US Civil War brought some limited social changes to the US, but the Wilson administration reversed everything Reconstruction and the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution promised Black Americans. This is the reality of Barack Obama for the global African community. Going further in this vein it becomes clearer still that those who extract and control wealth need allies and that these allies must come from the lower-classes they exploit. They seek out and train natives willing to submerge their own dignity in order not just to survive, but to prosper and gain acceptance within an unfair system.  

This attitude is even applied to the Ulster Scots-Irish, the traditional White ethnic working-classes of North America. And despite their historical mistreatment and early sociopolitical unity with Native Americans and African slaves, many from this ethno-cultural group stand ready to defend unearned White Privilege although as a group they do not directly benefit very much from European racism or even capitalism. Generally typified and humiliated as ‘White Trash’, their active participation is still important and necessary to the positive functioning of the system. Capitalism is pure exploitation and racism, along with other social bias elements, keeps the barriers between the ‘Haves’ and the ‘Have-Not’s’ firmly in place. White ethnic groups not accepted by the ruling-classes can also expect to be treated in a similar fashion and sometimes with the same end-results. South African Boers reside in this position.  

Since the fall of state-Apartheid they have lost their traditional status as a buffer-class and now suffer the same economic and social indignities they helped maintain against Indigenous and Coloured South Africans. I have no problem admitting that I personally have a hard time feeling sorry for them because of their role under the previous system. But this does not prevent me from understanding that unless they are given a place in the new South Africa, the subsequent generations of Whites may become the next major threat to African civil society. Already, neo-fascist Boer organisations have begun making questionable legal claims to genocide being enacted against them by the Black African population and others are talking about a possible Boer-revolv Revolution could arise to challenge the joint African-Jewish conspiracy to prevent White people from taking what is ‘rightfully theirs’ in Africa.  

I personally do not believe that the European, or the White man if you will, is a Devil. I only contend that he acts like one.  

The record on this issue is clear and unambiguous. Europeans say that they have created the modern world and on
this point I, for one, do not quarrel with them. In taking responsibility for the state of the planet they are also coping-on to the fact that it was they who also have created the damages we see in the environment, animal world and the human being as an entity. It is not I who makes the claim that the non-European is at once, according to Rudyard Kipling, half-child/half-Devil. Nor is it the Black man or the Native who has philosophically rationalized ethnic hatred and abuse as a social and economic normative of a enlightened society.

During his address to the International Conference of Parliamentarians in Cairo in 1970, philosopher Bertrand Russell presented remarks from an essay entitled ‘On Israel and bombing’ Where he says:

‘...The tragedy of the people of Palestine is that their country was "given" by a foreign Power to another people for the creation of a new State. How much longer is the world willing to endure this spectacle of wanton cruelty? It is abundantly clear that the refugees have every right to the homeland from which they were driven, and the denial of this right is at the heart of the continuing conflict. No people anywhere in the world would accept being expelled en masse from their own country; how can anyone require the people of Palestine to accept a punishment which nobody else would tolerate? A permanent just settlement of the refugees in their homeland is an essential ingredient of any genuine settlement in the Middle East. We are frequently told, "We must sympathize with Israel because of the suffering of the Jews in Europe at the hands of the Nazis." What Israel is doing today cannot be condoned, and to invoke the horrors of the past to justify those of the present is gross hypocrisy. Not only does Israel condemn a vast number of refugees to misery; not only are many Arabs under occupation condemned to military rule; but also Israel condemns the Arab nations only recently emerging from colonial status, to continued impoverishment as military demands take precedence over national development.’


The Frenchman Sartre had asked his fellow countrymen as their empire was crumbling, ‘Can a man condemn himself’? Can the European face up to his own historical misdeeds and the long-term results of such activity? Can the White man of Europe admit to himself, ‘I have been a monster roaming wildly about the world, self-centred, intensely covetous, voraciously devouring all before me’? The White man’s own religion says that the Devil is the ‘Father of Lies’ and will always work to create confusion and disorder to mask his true goal of undermining what is essentially the ‘good’. Europeans only apply these lessons however when they are useful, otherwise anything goes in the name of profit and power. Making the White man, metaphorically speaking, seem more like a Devil than a Saint.

One does not need to don a hooded sheet to verbally burn crosses, just wrap yourself in the American flag. In terms of moral equivalency, both sit near the very same bottomless pit of false expectations, manufactured scaremongering and White racist regret. The more White people assert their ‘right to rule’ the more it becomes apparent that even if we don’t see it, they definitely sense a loss of real power simply because a Black man is President of the United States. And as a barometer, it goes to show just how fragile White supremacy as an ideal (PDF) and as a social norm really is and why it should be addressed.

Art, Blood, and Steel

‘What happens to the Russians, what happens to the Czechs, is a matter of utter indifference to me... Whether the other peoples live in comfort or perish of hunger interests me only in so far as we need them as slaves for our culture; apart from that it does not interest me. Whether or not 10,000 Russian women collapse from exhaustion while digging a tank ditch interests me only in so far as the tank ditch is completed for Germany’.

- Heinrich Himmler

If we are really serious about this subject we could look again to another well-respected European source that used the reality of White racism to provide some allegories that really bring the point home. When the English writer William Shakespeare wrote ‘Othello’ and ‘The Tempest’, the perils and contradictions of White racism were installed at the very centre of the plots, but how many educated people can claim to have considered these aspects when analysing modern racial bias and discrimination? Especially in regards to popular mainstream media?

In Othello, the play’s African military hero is placed into a disastrous crisis out of pure professional and personal jealousy just because one White man whispered a complete lie into the eager ear of another. The character of ‘Honest’ Iago found it extremely easy to upset Othello’s secure social position in the story precisely because Othello was a Black man in Europe. A successful man perhaps, but still isolated by his physical differences in an overwhelmingly ‘White’ sociopolitical environment. If Othello were an ‘equal’, his marriage to Desdemona would not have needed to be conducted in secret. By playing his string of falsehoods against the inner sexual fears and racial animosities most European males have in regards to Black men, Iago was able to surreptitiously direct the other characters to his own ends driven by their own prejudices. Without question Iago was evil. But what gave his evilness energy was the underlying xenophobia that gave weight to his untrue suggestions in the first place. The only dynamic that gave Othello any initial reprieve as the tale unfolds is his excellent service to the established political order.

When we look at The Tempest we can discern similar background themes of Europocentric bias and assumed racial privilege over non-Whites and their territorial rights. Here we have a story about a White man and his young daughter who are wrongfully ejected from their upper-caste position in European society and sent into exile on an island already populated by a twisted, misshapen being who is half-Witch and half-Devil. Aided by magical knowledge, arrogance and a reluctant but duty-bound spirit servant, the White man haughtily claims complete ownership over the entire island and all who chance to be found there. This is challenged by the original inhabitant who is forced into de facto slavery after an aborted act of revenge against his occupiers.

The Native then attempts to negotiate his freedom by angling between the other invading Europeans for a weaker master. In the end, these efforts fail and the Native is forced right back into servitude with the first European that claimed to own him. Just like in real life, this Native is not ignorant to the real plight of his predicament:

```
This island's mine, by Sycorax my mother,
Which thou tak'st from me. When thou cam'st first,
Thou strok'st me and made much of me, wouldst give me
Water with berries in't, and teach me how
To name the bigger light, and how the less,
That burn by day and night; and then I lov'd thee,
And show'd thee all the qualities o' the isle,
The fresh springs, brine-pits, barren place and fertile.
Curs'd be I that did so! All the charms
Of Sycorax, toads, beetles, bats, light on you!
For I am all the subjects that you have,
Which first was mine own king.
- Caliban, (Act I of ‘The Tempest’)
```

If Shakespeare is truly the best there is in the English language, why have these social commentaries not been utilised broadly to deal with the issue of ethnic bias and racism in the English-speaking colonial world? What excuse could there possibly be for using the Judeo-Christian Bible to discuss racialism when Shakespeare’s insight made much more sense? Examined objectively, the Christian holy book, any version, remains to be the most dangerous, genocidal, racist and anti-Female literary work available in the western world.1 Very few other collections of written theology even come close to the level of brutality found in the ‘Good Book’. And it does not take a rocket scientist to understand the role of religion

---

1 ‘Is The Bible More Violent Than The Quran?’, NPR (2010)
and its influence, both good and bad, on European ideas of race, ethnic bias and the wholly ethnocentric pseudo-science of Eugenics.¹

This is where things really get interesting because even western science, which despises being proved incorrect once they have agreed as a body politic to accept a particular hypothesis, is not at all safe from bias-free research. Piltdown Man, the favourite debunking tool of Christian Fundamentalists, was a rashly concocted ruse seeking to diffuse further serious interest and study into non-European geographic locations as the original birthplace of Homo Sapiens. From 1912 to 1953, the Eoanthropus dawsoni ‘discovery’ was hailed as definitive proof of the superiority of the European races. And even better, Piltdown Man was not only White, he was Anglo, (with respect to the biases against the Original Peoples of Ancient Britain). As I mentioned earlier, hardcore Christians utilise the Piltdown hoax regularly as a means of discrediting science in favour of another fraud, Creationism or its contemporary pseudo-scientific cousin, Intelligent Design.

Instead of using the incident to illustrate the faulty thinking within European general society, religious zealots of all ethnicities merely augment the hoax’s racist aims by ignoring that fact completely. Think about the images that purport to depict Jesus the Christ in churches all over the world and I think you will see where I am going with this. Scientifically, there is no possible way a Jew born and raised in Palestine during that period could have looked as European as the personification of Jesus generally accepted by modern believers. This is just one more reason why racism is such a moronic system. If religious believers ever concede to the scientific view, their faith becomes essentially worthless as it has no other basis other than a claim of ‘belief’. On the other hand, by denying empirical science and common-sense, western Jews, fundamentalist Christians and bigoted Arab Muslims can keep on peering through the looking glass by pretending that the ancient peoples of their respective religious fables were all ‘White’.² They might admit to a few Black slaves here and there and concubines from Ethiopia, but that is all.³

In a sane world, one would think that after so many centuries of such mistreatment, obfuscation and apathy that Africans in the Diaspora would be universally adamant in seeking workable answers to their numerous, multifaceted dilemmas. Sadly this isn’t the case. This is not to in any way diminish the efforts of those Africans and Native Americans who do work, at great personal risk to themselves and their families, to address the colonialist question. But as Mao has suggested, revolutions in any form are only effective when supported by the popular will of the people who trust that they are being represented by the vanguard. Examined from this perspective, liberation movements undertaken by African and Native organisations in the United States were almost always doomed from the start. Far too many of us have either been too fearful of standing up for our rights or too blinded by promises of White love and acceptance to struggle for legitimate African rights to self-determination and social justice.

It is common knowledge that neither organisation ever sought any goals other than due realisation and recognition of the human, civil and territorial rights of their peoples under the extant laws of the US government system. But what is not well known is that both groups faced intense moral and peer disapproval from within their own communities and that despite their laudable efforts, were never fully accepted, or respected, by their own people. There are various reasons for this but it is important to understand here that White racism, as a matter of social control, is not simply an issue of everyday White folks just hating Black and Indian peoples. There are other serious factors to consider and much more to the story than just a collective will to keep things ‘as they are’.

Oppressed peoples must understand that seeking justice in the courts of the oppressor is like asking Jesse James to sit in judgment of robberies committed by his brother, Frank. You simply can’t win. And anyone who really thinks that Africans and Natives have not progressed under the American system because of a suspected genetic fault are, besides being dim-witted, totally ignorant of the shadowy forces used by governments to suppress our legitimate rights to human freedom and determination.

American Indian Movement leader Leonard Peltier sits today in solitary confinement for an offence even his prosecutor admitted he may not have committed.⁴ His alleged crime? Being Indian in he United States of America. Mumia abu-Jamal, former Black Panther Party for Self-Defence Party officer sits on death-row for similar reasons. Both of these activists are recognised as political prisoners by nearly every government in the world except the United States, which officially denies having any political prisoners at all.(PDF) Of course this isn’t true, but that’s not the point, is it?⁵

The goal was neutralisation of movements that threatened to change the balance of the US-Europocentric racial status
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⁵ Daisybrain: ‘Political Prisoners in the United States’, (2011)
quor. Both of these activists are the victims of COINTELPRO, or ‘Counter Intelligence Program’ was a domestic ‘black
operation’ under the direction the US Federal Bureau of Investigation that illegally monitored, infiltrated, disrupted,
discredited and ultimately destroyed numerous ethnic liberation movements and left-wing political organizations they
deemed inconsistent with, and subversive to, American goals and values. In short, they covertly used every possible
means to prevent African and Native peoples from determining their own futures within the US sociopolitical paradigm.
They also prevented White Americans who sought political and religious alternatives from exercising their rights to
assembly and free speech.

While it is true that COINTELPRO was also used against the Ku Klux Klan, it is also true that FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover
was a rabid anti-African bigot who was himself very sympathetic to White racist sentiments. In hindsight, Hoover really
only used his authority against White racist organisations when compelled to by the White House, the courts or public
opinion. This view is supported by the fact that his career as a federal government officer began with his involvement in
anti-subversive intelligence activities for the Justice Department during the infamous ‘Palmer Raids’ which saw the forced
deportation of hundreds of left-wing sympathisers and other ‘undesirables’ from the US. Often to countries that were not
necessarily their home nations, (French anarchists were shipped to Russia for example). Anarchists from Italy, Slavic
Europe, Russian Jews and Greeks were particularly singled out for detention and deportation due to the notion, at the
time, that these ethnic groups were politically radical because they were not really ‘White’ and were fighting for social
acceptance.

That’s how he treated foreigners, anarchists and suspected ‘Fifth Column’ agents of the Communist Party. But his Nativist
attitudes towards American citizens who were not European was just as bad if not worse than his ultra-conservative
opposition to the political left. American Africans were not in any way spared from his zealous mission from God to protect
Whiteness as the dominant culture in the United States. As head of The Bureau of Investigation, (forerunner to the FBI)
Hoover singled out Jamaican Pan-Africanist leader Marcus Mosiah Garvey, Jr. for special consideration as a threat to
White American cultural security and ended up through a series of questionable measures, succeeded in annihilating the
entire international African liberation movement as a whole. An atrocious and underhanded psychic blow that Africans
around the world have never, ever, recovered from. He later went after the ‘National Association for the Advancement of
Colored People ’ (NAACP), the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), the Black Panther Party for Self Defence, the Watts
Writers Workshop, the Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), Muhammad Ali, the United Slaves or ‘US
Organisation’, Stokely Carmichael, activist actors Paul Robeson, Beah Richards, Canada Lee, Harry Belafonte and writers
such as James Baldwin and Richard Wright.

American African religious leaders such as The Hon. Elijah Muhammad, Bro. Min. Malcolm X (El-Haj Malik El-Shabazz),
Father Divine, Noble Drew Ali, the entire board of the SCLC and members of the King family were under government
surveillance long before Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. became a leader in the movement following the much ballyhooed 1963
‘March on Washington’ event which inspired the Bureau to labeled Dr. King as ‘The most dangerous and effective Negro
leader in the country’. The Director, who was well known for his personal hatred of Dr. King, publicly called him the ‘The
most notorious liar in the country’, falsely accused him of being a communist agent controlled by Moscow and apparently
believed that his work to unite African labour groups was a threat to the US social order.

It was later revealed that under Hoover’s direction, COINTELPRO agents mailed audio recordings of Dr. King’s sexual
indiscretions, some with White northerners working in the south during ‘Freedom Summer’, to his wife and other
underhanded means to blackmail him. The worse documented case was a letter, mailed to him anonymously from the
Bureau, that squarely encouraged him to commit suicide within a prescribed number of days before he was ‘exposed as a
fraud’. And today there are many, including members of the King family, who do not accept the official story concerning
Dr. King’s assassination.

J. Edgar Hoover’s handling of the Bureau, indeed, his entire career as a government officer, clearly shows that his polices
were based more on his own personal prejudices rather than real violations of the law. In his world, violent organised crime
did not exist in the United States. Nor did White racism. Like President Woodrow Wilson, his idea of an ideal Black
American was a silent, appreciative worker-bee who stayed far in the shadows, found honour in being called a ‘Nigger’ and
was over the moon about the ‘privilege’.1 Hoover, like most Whites at the time had no use for Negroses, unless of course
you were shining their shoes, serving them sexually or needed as human cannon fodder for White wars of colonial
aggression abroad.2

He had to be forced politically into involving the Bureau’s resources to investigate White racist terrorist and other civil
rights abuses against Africans and their White supporters before, during and after the Civil Rights era. This is true, but
that never stopped good propaganda from injecting popular fibs into the public consciousness. The Hollywood film ‘

Mississippi Burning’ was a work of propaganda, it made it appear that the government was on the job going after the Ku Klux Klan on moral grounds. The reality could not be further from the truth. Depending on who you might ask, there are estimates that upwards to 60% of the organised Klan during that period were either undercover informants reporting directly to the Bureau, or, career southern born and bred agents who were card-carrying members of the KKK. Further, it is not a big secret that many of the Bureau’s employees, like most of the general US population, were themselves bigoted against Africans, Natives and other non-Whites to degrees no less intense than the Klan’s.1

As ‘Director for life’, J. Edgar Hoover and his spouse Clyde Tolson ran the Bureau as a private intelligence agency, illegally obtaining and collecting personal information on entertainers, politicians and especially those Hoover personally felt were ‘questionable or dangerous’ to the American way of life. Hoover was a product of the Victorian social and personal biases of his times. His strongly Protestant Eurocentric worldview was informed by Christian extremists such as Anthony Comstock, the fundamentalist ‘reformer’ famous for his rabid opposition to Negroes, women’s rights, birth control and the ‘lewd character’ of sexual vice. Dedicated to a stern view of American life as an Anglo dominated nation and culture, Hoover viewed even the slightest suggestion of social justice and equality as ‘un-American’ and fair game for his agents to attack.

Just about every Black and Native American cultural and political organisation in the United States, no matter how benign, faced covert surveillance and possible infiltration during this period. Organisations such as the Black Panther Party for Self-Defence, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), the American Indian Movement (AIM) and members of various Puerto Rican, Native Hawaiian and Appalachian Ulster-Scots community groups were all targeted by the Bureau at one time or another. The Bureau used false rumors to ‘bad-jacket’ movement leaders and subversion tactics that included illegal wiretaps and the distribution of fabricated paper-trails to frame effective leaders. Some researchers such as John Judge have openly suggested that COINTELPRO methods were not above using or inciting violence against designated American African targets.2

During a 1975 official government examination into the clandestine activities of COINTELPRO, the ‘Church Committee’ or ‘United States Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities’ found that the Bureau had indeed flagrantly violated the civil and Constitutional rights of non-White ethnic communities, political and religious minorities and the general public at large. Created in response to the overwhelming public outcry over the Watergate Hotel break-in scandal, the Church Committee from 1975 to 1976 produced more than a dozen reports revealing the hidden history, functions and aims of the US intelligence community as well as other extensive investigative documentation regarding clear abuses of government power.3

The scope and authority of the Church Committee’s findings were in the end severely limited by the very nature of the spyng community’s own garbled hubris. Although the committee did expose an extremely long series of acknowledged abuses of the public trust, (such as the covert and immoral forced sterilisation of Native American women in the continental United States, (including Puerto Rico)4 and Guatemala)5 they were intentionally hampered by ‘missing’, incomplete or heavily redacted records, departmental wrangling and the obtuse, often comedic testimony of career intelligence officers. Despite the circus-like atmosphere surrounding most of the investigation, a great deal of very important, if not disturbing, information that was revealed shocked the US and international news-reading community.

For the first time, American citizens were made aware of the fact that their own government could be just as cunning and as brutal as the communist and dictatorial nations US citizens were trained to hate and identify as ‘evil’ through aggressive propaganda.6 The Church Committee reports made public information about attempts to assassinate Cuban revolutionary Dr. Fidel Castro and many others such as: socialist head-of-state Salvador Allende and military officer René Schneider of Chile; African freedom leader Patrice Lumumba; Dominican strong-man Rafael Trujillo, and Ngô Đình Diệm and his brother Ngô Đình Nhu during the US/Catholic-led period of western colonialism in Vietnam. Interestingly, following the publication of the Church Committee, Pike Committee reports and the Rockefeller Commission dissertations on both domestic intelligence activities and eugenicist non-White population controls, Richard M. Nixon’s presidential replacement Gerald Ford (of Warren Commission fame) signed Executive Order 11905 in 1976 offering remarkably weak reforms and the proviso ensuring that, ‘No employee of the United States Government shall engage in, or conspire to
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3 Seymour Hersh: ‘Huge C.I.A. operation reported in U.S. against antiwar forces, other dissidents in Nixon years’, (2002)
engage in, political assassination’. This prohibition on assassination was later superseded by President Jimmy Carter’s Executive Order 12036, (proving that the first order had little effect) and totally forgotten about by the time Bush the Second and Richard Cheney took control of the White House in 2000 through a ‘racially-tainted’ election.

When the New York Times began publishing the ‘The Pentagon Papers’ or the ‘United States – Vietnam Relations, 1945–1967: A Study Prepared by the Department of Defense’, political and civilian opponents of the conflict used the information to effectively stop the war in its tracks. As Daniel Ellsberg, the point man in the release of the documents, has said in conversation with Amy Goodman of Democracy Now! that the Pentagon Papers:

‘There were 7,000 pages of top secret documents that demonstrated unconstitutional behavior by a succession of presidents, the violation of their oath and the violation of the oath of every one of their subordinates — I, for one — who had participated in that terrible, indecent fraud over the years in Vietnam, lying us into a hopeless war, which has, of course — and a wrongful war — which has, of course, been reproduced and is being reproduced right now and may occur again in Iran. So the history of that, I thought, might help us get out of that particular war’.

Officially terminated in 1971, COINTELPRO-like tactics against suspected groups and individuals have either been alleged, proven or admitted to by the Bureau itself. Independent researchers go even further and suggest that the government, under the guise of combating international Islamic terrorism, may never have ended truly the programme. Conspiracy theories aside, COINTELPRO in name may not exist any longer but undue government surveillance of suspected anti-establishment theorists, anti-war activists, socialists, atheists, feminists who aren’t White and Muslims irregardless of ethnic origin is now a matter of popular interest if only because the private-sector has gotten into the spying business.

The ugly side of American politics, political assassinations, torture, kidnapping (extreme rendition) and other methods intended to be addressed during the 1970’s by these various intelligence community oversight committees continue today unabated. Primarily because the general public is simply not informed about the issues. This is also in large part due to professional journalistic apathy but unlike the excellent level of objective reportage seen during the Vietnam Occupation, today’s news media is centred around revenue, propaganda and amusement, not informational sustenance. And in the case of Rupert Murdoch’s fascist FOX Network, lying in news media is a legally protected endeavour.

Modern news media is little more than the public mouthpiece of the economic and political elite-classes of the establishment. Independent, unbought and unbossed journalists such as Seymour Hersh, Amy Goodman, John Pilger, Ida M. Tarbell, Jeremy Scahill, Gil Noble, Anna Politkovskaya, Veronica Guerin, Hrant Dink, Ugur Mumcu, Listervelt Middleton and George Seldes are a rare breed. Investigative journalism teams such as Edward R. Murrow and Fred W. Friendly, Donald L. Barlett and James B. Steele, Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, the Indymedia Collective and WikiLeaks have done more to defend the public interest from establishment state and corporate repression than any other single sociopolitical factor this writer can think of. The ‘system’ as we understand it will never face any justified serious opposition to abuses of the public trust without a literate, educated public duly informed about the issues and affairs of the nation. Modern news and entertainment media does much to dissuade our attention away from what is best for us towards what is best for the rich and the powerful. And White Christian conservatives who are struggling to make ends meet are complete idiots for supporting social, political and economic policies that do them serious harm so long as they think it will limit the upwards mobility of African people and other minorities that need to hate in order to measure themselves against an ‘outside-class’.

This was the ultimate point behind Indian-born ‘untouchable’ turned born-again Christian Dinesh D’Souza when he sat with ‘More than Two Minutes' Hate’ show of Fox News jester Glenn Beck to erroneously disparage President Obama as an ‘anti-colonialist’ Afrocentric rebel leader deluded by the ideology of a ‘Luo tribesman from the 1950’s’.

1 ‘President Gerald R. Ford's Executive Order 11905: United States Foreign Intelligence Activities University of Texas’, http://www.ford.utexas.edu/LIBRARY/speeches/760110e.htm#SEC.%205.
‘The U.S. is being ruled according to the dreams of a Luo tribesman of the 1950s. This philandering, inebriated African socialist, who raged against the world for denying him the realization of his anticolonial ambitions, is now setting the nation’s agenda through the reincarnation of his dreams in his son’.

The blatant anti-African racism inherent in such a statement is astounding enough, but the fact that it comes from a person-of-colour who himself was born in country sodomised by both by the racialist religion of caste-conscious Hinduism and by English colonialism and neo-colonialism is shocking. It is also indicative of where the United States as an ideal and as a country is going in terms of a continuance of the old ways. When an India-born immigrant can sound like a racist pig and not be censured by the lukewarm conservatives who swear on Jesus the Christ and a stack of Gideon Bibles that they ‘love the Black man and woman to their very core...’ you know that insanity has become the acceptable standard in American politics. And since everything, including the history of the United States and its involvement and protection of African slavery, racist anti-mixing laws and the Ku Klux Klan, is open for wild, often revisionist, pro-White Christian interpretation.

Racism, like capitalism, distorts everything it touches. Even the original source of inspiration for the book ‘Uncle Tom’s Cabin’ has been twisted beyond belief in order to satisfy the White racist need to dehumanise its victims into entities of pity and sorrow. The author understood this and intelligently tried to beat the bigots to the punch. She knew that Whites would reject an honest morality tale and couched the African characters into roles that would both offer some humanity and dignity to people otherwise depicted as monkey-like half-people. The ‘Tom’ in the book was based on a real escaped African slave, Josiah Henson, who actively worked for the liberation of slaves making their way up to British Canada and freedom. The author of the story presented the character of the mature slave ‘Tom’ romantically and in accordance with the White racial attitudes of the country. Had Ms. Stowe written the book accurately, reflecting an abolitionist-minded, ‘thinking’ African as a central figure, not only would Whites not have read the book, she may have placed herself in even more danger than she did following its release.

Ms. Stowe was called a ‘Nigger-Lover’ in the North and a ‘Friend of the Devil’ in the South by both democrats and republicans, Christians all, proving that racism in American political terms simply means ‘keep the Niggers at bay at all costs’! Let not the Black man be humanised, as it is bad for business and it causes sensitive White folks to cry incessantly over their morning coffee. This is the attitude and always has been. So believe me when I say that when neo-cons like David Frum are embarrassed by the blatant racism seen in the GOP that it really means something. And when moral midgets like Newt Gingrich and Pat Buchanan are given major media soapboxes in which to peddle their far-right, neo-Confederate diarrhoea it also proves that those who own the media want that message out there for the general public to absorb and accept as legitimate political discourse.

**Intellectualising Genocide, Made in America**

*‘Intellectuals ought to study the past not for the pleasure they find in so doing, but to derive lessons from it’.*

- Cheikh Anta Diop
People and politicians (not the same thing) on the ‘Left’ commonly believe that American republicans, neo-Confederates, libertarians, (republicans who smoke dope and have homosexual friends) and assorted House Negroes (in that order) forget, or ignore, that Benito Mussolini (a ‘Red Diaper’ if there ever was one) and Adolf Hitler (a closeted homosexual, occultist and hereditary Semite who in essence persecuted his own) along with their corporate partners were legitimately voted or invited into political power. Just like today, these populist politicians and moral leaders raised unfounded suspicions against their political enemies through the engineering of ethnic and religious social unrest and disorder. And just as then, social and political conservatives still falsely accuse social minorities of fomenting economic, criminal and moral disorder against the ‘White American’ society and the ‘People of God’ who are frequently represented by a ‘Supreme Leader’ who is seen as uniquely wise, quasi-mystical and justifiably rich beyond his or her intellectual means.

Those of us who understand the dangers inherent within a corporatist theocracy whose economy is based almost exclusively on war profiteering make the mistake of thinking that the rational common-sense Karl Marx, René Descartes and Scottish economist Adam Smith discussed would be an ideal actually sought out by the ‘best of the world’s men’. Where these thinkers all collide into a monumental philosophical error was in ignoring the reality of the greedy and the avaricious ingredient found amongst the population of any complex society. In the allegorical parlance of the Five Percent Nation of Gods (and Earths) this represents the basic mathematical equation of any human social group. If you can handle the group’s expressed acknowledgement of the original human, the ‘Asiatic Black Man’ as the genesis of the entire human family and the metaphorical identification of some people, principally antagonistic Europeans and others (including other Africans) as ‘Devils’, the ‘other nation’ is perhaps one of the most misunderstood Afrocentric urban-philosophies native to the United States.

Without getting into the more esoteric specifics of the ‘ism’, (a whole book is needed for that) the rudimentary social math theorem goes like this: eighty-five percent of the world’s peoples are simply accommodationist proles lead more by their emotive wants and an easily manipulative ‘herd mentality’; ten-percent of the population, the ownership-class, understand this and take advantage of the masses through the manipulation of religious and political power to gain and

6 Sigmund Freud: ‘Group Psychology and the Analysis of the Ego’, (1922)
protect their unfair privileges; and then there are the five-percent, (the ‘Poor Righteous Teachers’) who believe they can
perceive the mechanics and psychology of the injustice and actively struggle to liberate the eighty-five percent through
intellectual discipline and peer-to-peer education. While all of this is of course a metaphysical allegory based on the
lessons of the elder Nation of Islam (NOI), it still makes a great deal of sense and is unpretentious enough to be
understood by the common people for whom the message was created and intended for.

Historically this was a distinct shift in thinking from both the religious based Afro-separatist teachings of organisations
like the NOI and Noble Drew Ali’s Moorish Science Temple of America and the conditional, (meaning Europocentric at
heart) African intelligentsia that was to comprise the bulk of W.E.B. Du Bois’s idea of an international Black-led, ‘
Talented-Tenth’. The founder of the 5% philosophy, Clarence 13X, (Father Allah) was a strong critic of the NOI’s
leadership, its absolutist theology and what he saw as a hypocritical separation from the African community’s needs on
the street-level. Like his NOI contemporary Bro. Min. Malcolm X (????? ???? ??????), Clarence 13X was excommunicated from
the temple and subsequently took his message of African self-worth to the urban gangs, street hustlers and drug addicts
he believed had lost their sense of personal dignity. His efforts were initially respected by the local authorities due to the
Nation’s successful work quelling urban gang violence and African-on-African crime in their respective areas.

[ Clarence 13X, (Father Allah) Founder of the The Five Percent Nation ]

In the years following the death of Father Allah the ‘ism’, (I say it this way because there is no real central leadership or
organisational structure) the establishment assisted by orthodox Muslim assimilationist Negroes, like the late son of the
Hon. Elijah Muhammad, Warith Deen Mohammed, have spent more time and treasure bad-jacketing the 5% Nation than
allowing it to develop where it was supposed to go. Are there major hypocrites within the 5% Nation that sell narcotics,
consume pork products and hate White folks on a strictly ignorant, derma-graphic basis? Sure there are. Remember? 5pct
adherents recognise 5%--10%--85% in any social setting including their own. But as most groups, organisations and other
true believers will argue, these aberrations by themselves does not diminish the core logic of an otherwise rational ideal or
a ‘faith’.

However, there are also grand multitudes of belligerent, ‘family values’, conservative Christian republicans out there who
are closeted homosexuals, drug users, wife abusers, drunks and according to credible sources, account for the vast amount
of adult-entertainment sales in the supposed ‘Bible Belt’ of the United States. 1 The list of ‘Roy Cohn Award’
Conservatives and republican party members with a history of actively working against the civil rights of homosexuals like
Evangelical Christian pied piper Ted Haggard is exceedingly lengthy. 2 We can start with J. Edgar Hoover, and work our
way down to fascist folk like Ken Mehlman, Mary Cheney, Mark Foley, Larry Craig, Jim West, Troy King, Matt Drudge,
the Log Cabin Republicans, George Rekers, Richard Curtis and the virulently anti-Gay megachurch Pastor Bishop Eddie
Long to see just how full of it right-wing dolts really are in terms of personal and political integrity.

George Orwell called it when he wrote, ‘Freedom is Slavery...Ignorance is Strength’. He articulated the reality of the
sociopolitical cycle of ‘Birth and Rebirth’, the recurring pattern of extreme totalitarian group-think silently funded and
controlled by small groups of partisan patrician conspirators seeking to take advantage of the social confusion that arises
during periods of economic and political uncertainty. When their systems of social and economic order begin to go sour,
(either from a lack of resources, anti-colonialist rebellions or simple elitist greed) conservatives will always work to create
a false climate of distrust, xenophobia and widespread mass hysteria to divert the public’s attention away from their own
group’s machinations.

2 ‘Examples of Republican hypocrisy on moral values’, (dkosopedia.com)
And while it is generally accepted that Joseph Stalin’s post-Lenin Russian regime served as a state-model for Orwell’s Ingsoc, it is foolish to ignore what he and Aldous Huxley (author of Brave New World) saw as capitalism’s role in perpetuating social stagnation through the undue government control over people and unfair pro-elitist class-divisions. As a former colonial officer, anti-fascist fighter and professional government propagandist, Orwell understood fascist thinking from the inside. And through his writing he expressed vivid deconstructions of human society’s conservative idiosyncratic crusade to take the path of least resistance when doing the right thing presents more of a subconscious challenge. Self-exiled German writers and artists fleeing the Catholic-Pagan union of Teutonic fascists and race-conscious occultists, the ‘Exilliteratur (or exile-literature)’ group, also spoke in plain terms about this phenomenon.

Courageous, often left-wing or anarchist-thinking people such as Anna Seghers, Bertolt Brecht, Alice Rühle-Gerstel, ‘Dringender Appell für die Einheit’ signatory Luiz Heinrich Mann and his brother. Thomas Mann explained the rise of conservatism in Germany in human terms, bereft of the usual deference expected of intellectuals. They rejected the timidity of the educated-classes and their traditionalist servitude to religious fundamentalism, state-sponsored social divisions and the violent expansion of corporate power in Germany. Writers like these accurately documented how irrational, narrow-minded people fearful of ‘not being in control’, can cause severe social unrest by rallying conservative-thinking elements of a population to fear and ‘go after’ a chosen bogeymen, innocent of causing them grief, while the ownership-classes proceed to fleece the planet with their blessing.

Conservatism in sociopolitical terms is the process of forcing the general population to agree and consent with the contention that the ‘elite’ (the rich, the racially ‘pure’ and the strong) and the elite alone have the right to ultimate direction over the social conditions of lesser mortals (the poor, the ethnically ‘un-pure’ and the socially marginalised) through fear and loathing. A theme repeatedly seen in Senator Joe McCarthy’s over the top communist witch-hunts which produced no credible evidence of organised American left-wing subversion despite his argument that US citizens ought to believe in him and not in facts. In this he failed miserably, although he was extremely successful in terrorising the United States public into believing that there was an evil ‘Red’ hiding in ever nook and cranny of the American society. And aside from the customary spy-vs-spy chess games that always take place between the larger competitive powers, no real active Moscow-funded US ‘Fifth Column’ was ever discovered by his office to be working to undermine the government.

Instead, they persecuted Americans of a different political and lifestyle stripes as ‘enemies of the state’ for dissent and justified it by calling it espionage when it wasn’t. Former Soviet spy and repentant, born-again Christian capitalist (and sometimes homosexual) Whittaker Chambers did more damage to Russia’s actual foreign sympathy intelligence services in the US by pointing to actual perpetrators than McCarthy and Roy Cohn ever did with spurious subversion charges levied against random suspects and pointless ‘Red book removal tours’ that produced nothing but fear and loathing of one’s own neighbours. And while Cohn was directly involved in the controversial Rosenberg Trial, it is still worth nothing that throughout his legal career questions had been raised about his credibility as a learned student of the law and his willingness to inflict pain upon people who got in his way.2

I know conservatives hate to hear this, but right-wing folks of all ethnicities and religions are indeed a hypocritical and delusional lot.3 Besides, the scientific evidence that shows just how ill-adjusted conservative-minded people are when it comes to being truthful about their own questionable, hidden and contradictory proclivities.4 Revelations like these should be a topic of discourse when discussing the social ills of the current and past eras but they aren’t because the folks that own the country simply will not allow it. Social and political conservatives have their establishment ‘think tanks’ chaired by conservative ‘action intellectuals’ who receive grant funding from billionaires to articulate what they see wrong with the poor and ethnic minorities they happily trample upon.

Following the lead of Robert Malthus, these organisations mask their rhetorical anti-communal, pro-plutocrat malarkey by liberally washing selective ‘facts’ in the plain languages of economic theory and urban crime statistics.5 The reasoning behind this is simple enough for even the uneducated to understand and that was the point. As defined by the intelligentsia of the right and left wing -- poverty, colonial exploitation and the need for racism -- are conditions brought about by the ‘backwardness’ of the downtrodden themselves. If they were not by nature ‘dim-witted’ peoples or otherwise ‘lesser beings’ (non-Whites) incapable of adopting Europocentric ways, they would not be in their current state of wickedness. By balancing these assumptions with objective sounding verbiage and a claimed obedience to a moral code as defined by an invisible ‘Mystery God’ from the old world of Semitic legend, these pseudo-theories encourage the public

---

1 BBC ARCHIVE: ‘George Orwell submits his resignation from the BBC’, (1943)
3 Richard Kavesh: ‘Conservatives have inconsistent views’ (2011)
5 ‘Media Matters exposes Bennett: “[Y]ou could abort every black baby in this country, and your crime rate would go down”’ , mediamatter.org, (2005)
consciousness to rationalise elite greed, xenophobia, sexism, exploitation and racism as respectable and necessary to the proper functioning of society. And like Malthus, they believe wholeheartedly in the conviction that only Europeans have it within themselves to understand and carry out what is necessary for humanity’s progress.

This was the whole point behind the highbrow eugenicist nonsense of ‘The Bell Curve’, ‘American Renaissance’, J. Philippe Rushton’s ‘Race, Evolution, and Behavior: A Life History Perspective’, the ‘Journal of Indo-European Studies’ , the ‘academic journal Mankind Quarterly and reprints of ‘The Protocols of the Elders of Zion’ which is still read and believed by morons of every colour and persuasion worldwide. And further, this intellectual eccentricity has duly extended itself into United States law in the form of ‘Jim Crow’, anti-African ‘convict-lease’ exploitation, compulsory sterilisation and euthanasia and anti-miscegenation rules that stayed on the books until as late as 1967. To pretend that a paradigm of White supremacist idealism and philosophy does not exist in the mainstream of White American thought is denialism at its worst. It is also the sort of selective ignorance of that allows the insanely corrupt Catholic Church to continue as an institution after centuries of plutocratic support for colonialists, slave-traders, fascists, dictators, Judeophobes and depraved sexual abusers throughout its sordid history.

This is why Marx ridiculed religion as the ‘Opium of the people’, their question-less faith in the authority of the Church gives form and legitimacy to both social inequality and personal acts of exploitation and abuse by the clergy as well as the laity. The smug, self-centred intellectuals among the right-wing lobby understand these dynamics as do organisations like the RAND Corporation, the Heritage Foundation, the Pioneer Fund, the American Enterprise Institute, the Center for the Study of Popular Culture and many, many more well financed, intellectual-sounding fascist politburos that use mathematical equations to identify the various means by which those in power can maintain the Europocentric social order. Concepts such as ‘Game Theory’ (popularised by a paranoid schizophrenic no less) are more complex than how they sound but in truth, the rich and powerful are much like compulsive gamblers who believe that the right combination of race, religion and military power equates a divine right to rule.

And just like any self-assertive risk taker, they are ready to gamble it all in search of a dream, principally, the ultimate goal of material wealth and social power. The European tribal warfare of the first two ‘World Wars’ was purely based on elite greed, as is the decades long ‘War on Poverty’, the ongoing ‘War on Drugs’ and the current ‘War on Terror’ that is really a power-conflict over non-European petroleum reserves. The traditional sectors of establishment power regard such ‘transitions’ are considered to be mostly ‘peaceful’ in that the violence that did occur was widespread but limited to the lower rungs of the social order. Ethnic, political and religious minorities were attacked in the streets and in their churches and homes by state law enforcement as well as paid, proletarian-based criminal gangs paradoxically loyal to the conservative status quo.

Of the fascist governments of the last century only Spain’s Francisco Franco took power via the route of military violence and oppression and this was primarily due to the passionate resistance he and his conservatives faced from everyday people unwilling to give up their freedom to strange quasi-religious idiosyncrasies of the totalitarian state. The same situation occurred during the short-lived ‘Paris Commune’ of 1871 wherein a popularly-elected council of workers, soldiers

1 Michael Billig: ‘Psychology, Racism & Fascism’, (1979)
and socialist intellectuals attempted to restore order and secure the collective civil rights of the common Frenchman in the city of Paris following the end of the Franco-Prussian war.¹ The elitist elements of French state 30,000 unarmed workers were massacred, shot by the thousands in the streets of Paris. Thousands more were arrested and 7,000 were exiled forever from France.

¹ Frederick Engels: ‘On the 20th Anniversary of the Paris Commune’, (1891)
⁴ Tim Wise: ‘Race, Intelligence and the Limits of Science: Reflections on the Moral Absurdity of “Racial Realism”’, (2011)

[A ration party of the Royal Irish Rifles in a communication trench during the Battle of the Somme, July 1916.]

War is profitable for some, expensive for others and has been known to make the smarter thugs insanely rich if only for a while. This mind-set is a documented reality, a psychological sickness most common to dictators, popes, priests, vulture capitalists, republicans, many US presidents and is not subject to further discussion.² Really, why argue about that we all now to be true? Adolf Hitler had the illness, as did Joseph Stalin, Woodrow Wilson, Mao Tse-tung, George W. Bush, Lavrentiy Beria, Nathan Bedford Forrest, Pol Pot, Richard Cheney, the Saudi Royal family, Richard M. Nixon, Saddam Hussein and Margaret Thatcher. I have not added the sainted Ronald Reagan because I truly believe that he really ‘couldn’t recall’ those years in the White House.³ In fact, I think his personal astrologers and numerologists know more about the inner functions of his administration and the state apparatus than they have let on.

So, if we can all agree that some of history’s most famous leaders were in many ways dysfunctional, narrow-minded hypocrites devoid of moral boundaries or any sense of compassion for others, why is elite-level White racism assumed to be an impossibility?⁴

There is no legitimate debate that can be raised to empirically deny the dynamic of European xenophobia in American history. Any such attempt is on its face a lie, no matter who tells it.⁵ The ‘Good German’ is without a doubt modeled directly from the ‘Good American’ idea, the colonialist fantasy that dismisses human exploitation and abuse as ‘progress’ for ‘backward peoples’ in need of jobs, religion and White European paternalism. The mystique surrounding sub-continental Europeans and their peculiar ways by fiat must suppress knowledge of how that paradigm came into existence. Discussing White racism without considering colonialism, imperialism and forced religious conversions makes little sense and it purposefully denies the role of traditional European cultural attitudes towards these issues and how such views inform the general society and its direction.

Not that there aren’t furtive and desperate attempts to dismiss the record of genocide and Jim Crow Apartheid as the fault of its intended victims. Only non-Whites do most of the footwork now seeking every possible opportunity to disparage their fellow people-of-colour for a handful of rice.⁶ And ever since then, and even before, every Black conservative, Afro-Confederate wannabe, huckster tele-minister, and political whore this side of the Civil Rights Movement has sought their ten minutes of fame denouncing Barack Obama for everything -- except -- discussing the horrible plight of African
people in the United States. Even fairly recent immigrants like Dinesh D’Souza count as just one of many non-White pro-Europocentric apologists seeking to take advantage of the Europocentric fury caused by Barack Obama’s appointment to the presidency.

By kissing up to the fears and hatreds of White Americans upset by what they see as a loss of ‘Their America’, these non-White conservatives are gambling their own social welfare on the hope of gaining a foothold in the ‘system’ by going after the ‘Black man in the White House’. This is no different than what occurred during slavery when runaway slaves were often hunted down by loyal ‘Boss Niggers’ who were true believers in the ‘system’ as just as psychologically in favor of slavery as the master was. ‘House Negroes’ and ‘Boss Niggers’ were always treated somewhat better than the ‘Field Nigger’ with the hierarchy set by the needs and whims of the master of the plantation or the workhouse. The ‘Divide and Conquer’ aspects of all this is plain and these mind-sets continue today. This is a historical issue of great importance because the cognitive remnants of slave life have been replicated within subsequent generations of Africans in the Americas and this has lead to nothing but further cultural disintegration and destruction of the African identity.

The majority of slaves never accepted their place in the slave system and frequently struggled to liberate themselves from their oppression. White elites, not just slave owners, used to articulate this desire to not be controlled by Europeans as ‘Dysaethesia Aethiopica’, or, ‘Drapetomania’, a disease of Black people, generally slaves and other African malcontents insanely opposed to being the plaything of White folks. As stated by physician Samuel A. Cartwright in his famous essay on ‘Negro Peculiarities’, he makes it clear that the only way an African can be contented in life is to be the chattel-underling of the White society. He calmly recommended patience, understanding and decisive action when ‘treating’ ‘uppity’ Negroes by literally ‘beating the Devil out of them’ \(^1\) in accordance to the Biblical law concerning the treatment of slaves:

To allow them to do otherwise he reckoned caused Blacks to lose their minds and act bizarrely:

\(^{0}\)

‘From the careless movements of the individuals affected with the complaint, they are apt to do much mischief, which appears as if intentional, but is mostly owing to the stupidness of mind and insensibility of the nervous induced by the disease. Thus, they break, waste and destroy everything they handle,--abuse horses and cattle,--tear, burn or rend their own clothing, and, paying no attention to the rights of property, steal others, to replace what they have destroyed. They wander about at night, and keep in a half nodding sleep during the day. They slight their work,--cut up corn, cane, cotton or tobacco when hoeing it, as if for pure mischief. They raise disturbances with their overseers and fellow-servants without cause or motive, and seem to be insensible to pain when subjected to punishment.

The fact of the existence of such a complaint, making man like an automaton or senseless machine, having the above or similar symptoms, can be clearly established by the most direct and positive testimony. That it should have escaped the attention of the medical profession, can only be accounted for because its attention has not been sufficiently directed to the maladies of the negro race. Otherwise a complaint of so common an occurrence on badly-governed plantations, and so universal among free negroes, or those who are not governed at all,--a disease radicated in physical lesions and having its peculiar and well marked symptoms and its curative indications, would not have escaped the notice of the profession.

The northern physicians and people have noticed the symptoms, but not the disease from which they spring. They ignorantly attribute the symptoms to the debasing influence of slavery on the mind without considering that those who have never been in slavery, or their fathers before them, are the most afflicted, and the latest from the slave-holding South the least. The disease is the natural offspring of negro liberty--the liberty to be idle, to wallow in filth, and to indulge in improper food and drinks.’

- Diseases and Peculiarities of the Negro Race, [1851]

---

\(^{1}\) Samuel Adolphus Cartwright, ‘Diseases and Peculiarities of the Negro Race’, De Bow’s Review Southern and Western States, Volume XI, New Orleans, (1851)
While there is a great deal of dismissive debunking over the validity and truthfulness of the ‘Willie Lynch Papers’, Dr. Cartwright’s highly respected work cannot be classified as a hoax. It may be officially classed today by western medical professionals as mere scientific racism steeped in theorised eugenics, but during most of the history of the United States, this analysis has been the dominant feeling among the general European and Euro-settler population. From Argentina to Alaska and in nations as remote and as isolated as Mongolia, the subconscious notion that Africans and other dark-skinned Indigenous people must be ‘crazy’ to reject European paternalism and domination is the psycho-social norm. All Dr. Cartwright did was give medical legitimacy to the White establishment’s institutional racial policies against Africans, Indigenous Americans and later Southeast Asians during the Philippine-American War.

This is precisely why White conservative arguments defending the denigrating implications of the ‘Three-Fifths Compromise’ as just government number crunching are just an inhuman as the original offence, because such attitudes are an expression of a total disregard for human dignity, the inhumanity of the slave practise and basic ideas concerning rational fair play. When White people and obtuse, arse-kissing non-Whites defend racialism and ethnic biases in society, politics and religion as remnants of ‘the past’, they purposefully and cowardly ignore the racism of today and how they think they personally benefit by aggressively keeping the conscious world, ‘White’.

Assimilationist Africans and other non-Europeans falsely associate ‘civilisation’ and ‘order’ with White cultural and political Power. They either fail or refuse to understand that the only reason we see Europocentrism as the be-all and end-all of the universe is because the European literally ‘owns’ the world and therefore also controls the dominant perspective of how that world is perceived. We, the meek of the Earth, do not own the means of material production, common media transmissions or the pedagogical influence of institutional biases towards state and Europocentric socio-cultural and in the end, political power.

Original caption:
"Austria's Atrocities. Blindfolded and in a kneeling position, patriotic Jugo-Slavs in Serbia near the Austrian lines were arranged in a semi-circle and ruthlessly shot at a command."
[Photo by Underwood & Underwood. (War Dept.)]

This is important to understand when Europeans and assimilationists decry arguments that propose to either restrict or completely remove European controls from a people, society, nation or region. For example, any study of the African nation of Liberia shows just how horrific conditions are in the country, (notorious as the poverty capital of the world) where AIDS, cannibalism and malaria rule over a nation obliquely founded by freed slaves from the US. Many White

supremacists point to Liberia with amusement and emphasize the utter failure of the country to correct itself after generations of US colonialist management. If Liberia is wrong, and it is, who is responsible? If Liberia is backwards, and it is, who created the conditions that made it that way?1 Who benefited then and more to the point, who benefits now? Liberia is a failed-state and there is no getting around that. But I maintain that it was a failed project for the Africans involved before the first ship ever left a US port.

Before we discuss Liberia, let us think about some useful historical comparisons which can provide a background for my analysis. I ask the reader to consider how many ‘post-colonial’ nations have survived intact into the 21st century as legitimate governments and then to consider how many of these situations have ended in dictatorial regimes loyal only to their former White imperial masters, the international bankers and their own petty bourgeois confusions. I truly believe the number to be next to zero with the notable exception of Cuba. This socialist island nation is the rare situation that violates the usual pattern and this is only because they decided to ideologically maintain their own course.2 Regardless of what one thinks about Dr. Castro’s long rule, Cuba as a pro-people, post-colonialist state is a remarkable achievement considering the consistent threat the country faces from the imperial-minded, capitalist, former colonial master power situated right next to it.3 Other liberation struggles with even greater human, natural and material resources but little in the way of political clarity or integrity did not fare so well.4

The Indigenous history of the Fourth World is wrought with tales of invasion, slavery, class-warfare, counter-revolutionary savagery and genocidal pressures imposed from the outside. And more often than not, their native ‘liberators’ only free the people in theory while in practise, they actively work to disrupt what is happening inside the nation to free itself from the grips of Europocentric, neo-colonial hubris. This is exactly what happened in regards to Haiti where African slaves achieved their liberation by way of their own hands and their descendants have been punished by the rest of the White world for this impertinence ever since.5 European powers led by France and the United States have done much to prevent Haiti from becoming a viable and healthy African/Taino country. From foreign-directed agent provocateurs subverting the agricultural viability of the nation to the overt use of military-supported brigandage to rob its treasury, Haitian politics have always been measured against the weight of foreign influence and White racist retribution.

Both ‘Papa’ Doc and his son / successor ‘Baby’ Doc ruled Haiti as if it were the personal property of the Duvalier family with full apathetic support from the US, French and Canadian governments as did his fellow dictator and regional rival Rafael Trujillo of the Dominican Republic.6 The politics of Chile, (altered by the assassinations of Salvador Allende and his Chief of Staff General Rene Schneider) Bolivia, Peru, Columbia, Paraguay, Uruguay, Argentina, Panama, Costa Rica and Brazil, (to name a few) have never truly been free of covert Europocentric controls and many of these countries have been politically and militarily dominated by expatriate German ex-Nazis and Nazi sympathisers ever since the end of World War Two.8 This is true, so we would be foolish to think that these nefarious activities have stopped or lessened with the election of Barack Obama to the American presidency.9 The coup d’état that ousted President Manuel Zelaya occurred on Obama’s watch10 as is the current US-supported NATO operation to unseat the Libyan government with a transitional group of foreign-lead, anti-government insurgents under the auspices of ‘spreading democracy in the Arab world’.

Looking at the 2011 Libyan Action undertaken by NATO (acting far outside of their charter) says much about how the past is weighing against the present status of Africans around the world and how we as a people are still subjected to the will of White capitalists without regard to our humanity and our right to be free from exploitation and abuse. This is the history of the continent and its various and respective peoples and we should, as people-of-colour, we willing to learn from that history. Why should we resistant to understanding today that Sierra Leone was not an advance for Blacks but a trap. It was used as a repatriation colony by the British for the settlement, (riddance really) of Loyalist Africans and English Blacks only after the (Royal African Company) ended their direct involvement in commercial slaving for the more profitable industry of exploiting gold, silver and ivory products for export to London. This is true.

---
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It is also true that these repatriated Blacks were also willing to be used to subjugate the native population for the English merchant-class who were seeking a means of further exploitation. British firms such as the ‘St. George's Bay Company’, the ‘ Sierra Leone Company’ and the ‘Macauley & Babington Company’ made use of the culturally-discombobulated psyche of freed slaves to farm them out as colonialist cannon fodder to help formulate a buffer-class between themselves and a hostile, anti-colonialist Indigenous resistance. The emergent Krio culture (a mixture of US-born ‘Freedmen’, liberated Black slaves from the Caribbean and Black Loyalists) along with the Sherbro, (a Portuguese-influenced native slaving-class and cultural hybrid) who ran roughshod over the Original Peoples of the area were no less race-conscious and no less brutal than the Whites who placed them there. They lived in the houses and they forced the Natives to live in the streets and in the bush.

Liberia too was little more than an elaborate operation of Negro colonial burlesque right from the very beginning. The Freedman’s new country was intended from the start to be an ‘American Africa’ and it remains to be the most Americanised country on the continent. Early Americo-Liberians became rich and began immediately constructing plantation-style estates and urban communities just like those found in the Antebellum American South with racist, anti-African attitudes to match. Their repression against the Indigenous population began in earnest including the sale of Aboriginal Liberians by other Blacks as late as 1930.

The country was relatively calm for several decades until a US-based coup d’état in 1980, (its president was disemboweled while he was sleeping, some reports claim, by a masked White mercenary) another in 1990 (in which President Samuel Doe’s ear was severed off on live television while rebel leaders sipped beer) two vicious civil wars, blood diamond exploitation and a depressed society corrupted from the top down. The landless poor are controlled and exploited by native warlords who kill, rape and rob with impunity while the ‘civilised’ world watches in abject silence and the diamonds spill out of the country under the watchful eye of the manufactured ‘Americo-Liberian’ elite.

How did the Black-to-Africa movement end up so bad? Mostly because it had nothing at all to do with Black people. Under the auspices of defending the rights of ‘free Blacks’ subjected to White racism, the American Colonization Society, (ACS) actually promoted a thoroughly racist campaign aimed at removing Africans from the continental United States as a matter of ‘soft’ ethnic cleansing. Later, the programme became one of clandestine US colonialist exploitation and proxy genocide of an entire Indigenous population with their ‘used’ slave population. Between 1817 and 1867 thousands of manumitted and free-born Africans ‘repatriated’ to West Africa becoming Americo-Liberians who belligerently dominated the sociopolitical current of the country and in turn, repressed the Indigenous Peoples, (Dei, Mandé-Bissa, Gola) of the region for fun and profit, just like the racist Whites they just left in the Americas.

A Black face does not justify freedom. The election of Barack Obama has proven that. And it most often used, covertly, to start the groundwork for US colonialism in regions where a White face will engender animosity from the very beginning. When Africans sell-out other Africans I contend that this is a psychological condition brought about by White people -- needing to intellectualise and find moral justification for their expressed desire to remain the dominant power -- and the emotional needs of the oppressed population to find ‘reason and purpose’ in their suffering. This is at least one solid reason why western religious teaching in the African and Native communities should be challenged as the mind-corrupting, state-sponsored poppycock that it really is. In accepting our depressed position in human society as ‘God’s will’ in the promise of an afterlife of un-death in an alternative world made of white clouds and harps, Africans, Asians and Indigenous peoples are lulled into a sleep of continuous mental serfdom to the Europocentric establishment. When African women, born and raised on the African colonial, struggle to earn money, often through prostitution, just to buy a hair-straightening kit so they can look like the Black Hollywood elite in the US, there is a serious problem.

When we are so ashamed of what the White man’s ‘God’ has given us from birth, how could we possibly be free? Where does it say in the Bible, any version, that Jesus the Christ demands that his followers wear suits and ties in the western tradition? Where exactly does it say in the New Testament that the rich and materially greedy have received and will continue to be granted his special favours without regard for the poor and needy? And why does Jehovah (YHWH) need the White man of the Americas to teach the first people of the planet about ‘God’? The first spiritual practices on Earth began in Mother Africa, so where does the White Christian come off forcing his way onto the continent demanding that the African adopt his ways? The White man is not even honest enough to admit that even he cannot adhere to his own claimed belief system. He hypocritically takes from its sacred texts what he wills while discarding, for the moment, what he does not like. It is a dichotomy that says slavery is permissible because of the Bible and conversely, that slavery is an abomination according to the Bible provided that it is only practised against the ‘correct’ people.

---

1 ‘US freed Taylor to overthrow Doe, Liberia’s TRC hears’, Mail & Guardian Online, (2011)
2 ‘Moving beyond social pathology: reinterpreting Black women’s actions from a rational choice perspective’, (http://donnamagazine.info/mariamefive.html)
3 ‘What the Bible says about Slavery’, Annotated Skeptic's Annotated Bible
The spread of White American religious teachings in Africa has not helped Africa at all. It has only hurt Africa and it is working hard to send her people to an early, unmarked grave. This too is true. Recent African converts to the paternalistic faith of colonial Christianity have been involved in atrocious acts of violence, intimidation and legal discrimination against the followers of traditional cultural practises, (Vodun / Ifa), rural Indigenous populations resistant to enforced modernisation and homosexuals, (who were in many cases accepted in accordance to their respective cultural traditions) resulting in a crisis that has shocked many western human rights activists. The same thing in China. The state government, which has not been communist since the passing of Mao, has allowed the Christian religion to be practiced in the country solely because they, like most of us, associate the religion of the White power structure to be synonymous with material wealth.

They believe that by copying the American version of the ‘Christian lifestyle’ that this will bring prosperity to China. And the totalitarian state has allowed this to happen while Falun Dafa, a pacifist, non-political Buddhist-Taoist blend of philosophies which utilises physical movements based on Qi-Gong is banned and severely persecuted by the government. Apparently, Falun Dafa is not making money on the stock exchange. Perhaps when it becomes commercialised as Buddhism has in Thailand and Taiwan the state may change their opinion and bring them into the fold.

Chinese capitalists like African capitalists truly believe that they can avoid the pitfalls of US-style capitalism even as their own countrymen suffer from its clearly exploitative dark side. They also ignore the documented fact that as capitalism has spread following the subversive dismantling of the Soviet and Eastern Bloc states, poverty, starvation, war and illiteracy have grown monumentally with conditions becoming graver as the years pass. Not one capitalist in a position of political importance has said a word about why the world is poorer now than it ever has been in its recorded history.

In this respect, the US programme of persistent ‘enlightened imperialism’ through pop culture propaganda has worked in the cases of ‘Red China’ and ‘Darkest Africa’. They have convinced their ‘weaker neighbours’ to believe that the only way to prosperity is their way, the way of the White man and his own unique version on the story of a rebellious anti-colonialist Semite’s struggle to rid his land of an invading European imperial power. Isn’t it odd how things work out? I mean, just how does a cult, or a religion (same thing actually) of poor people become the faith of the wealthy?

We can look to Roman Emperor Constantine who realised the political value of the state gaining control over a poor-man’s

---

1 Carol J. Williams: ‘Haitians Hail the President of Voodoo’, (2004)
religion in a vast inter-continental empire. Paganism under such conditions allows far too much flexibility for an establishment seeking to condense its psychological power over its populace, free and slave, at home and abroad. Either way we look at it we are dealing with the strange peculiarities of the European mind and its drive to practise xenophobia, violence and brigandage. Instead of asking really doltish questions about why the poor and the non-White cannot function in a viciously racist, spook-scared society, we should be asking ourselves why the White man of Europe feels he has a ‘right’ to dominate everywhere, everywhere. Really, why? What is it about White people that is so great? It is a myth perpetuated by his own propaganda. So much so that he has even devised a religion that not only condones but mandates his programme of bias, hatred and slavery. There is no argument about this. In other words dear reader, we should be asking ‘What is wrong with the White colonial mind’, not ‘What is wrong with the colonial subject’.

It may be redundant to mention Jean-Paul Sartre again but very few other White men have articulated the psychic gymnastics Europeans concoct to endure their actions as oppressors as he has. His statement, ‘On Genocide’, to the International War Crimes Tribunal (1967-1970) explained the reasons why the plebeian-classes, as well as the educated proles, must understand colonialism as a crisis and not as proof of superior culture or politics:

‘Torture and genocide were the colonialists’ answers to the uprising of the natives. And that answer, as we know, is useless if it is not definitive and total. A determined population, unified by its fierce and politicized partisan army, will not let itself be intimidated, as it was in the heyday of colonialism, by a massacre ‘as a lesson’. On the contrary, this will only increase its hatred. It is no longer a matter of arousing fear but of physically liquidating a people. And as this is not possible without at the same time eliminating the colonial economy and the colonial system; the settlers panic, the colonial powers grow tired of sinking manpower and money into a conflict with no solution, the masses at home end up opposing the continuation of barbaric wars and the colonies become independent states’.
- Statement 'On Genocide' at the Second Session of the Bertrand Russell International War Crimes Tribunal on Vietnam, held in Denmark in November 1967

Let us go a bit further by including the theology of the White man in this and how it relates to the ‘opiate of the masses’:

‘The servant will be severely punished, for though he knew his duty, he refused to do it. “But people who are not aware that they are doing wrong will be punished only lightly. Much is required from those to whom much is given, and much more is required from those to whom much more is given’.

And so the question logically arises as to what sort of theology, a religion that claims to be in pursuit of a global peace amongst the ‘tribes and and nations’, would condone, promote and endorse human slavery? When was the last time you ever heard a mainstream cable-television or pay-per-view Christian revival preacher discuss human chattel-slavery as a fundamental social and economic tenet of the Jesus of Nazareth cult? Virtually no one, except for the liberation theologians working outside of the public’s critical view who openly teach such ideas as a dynamic of social justice. Quite the reverse. The majority of Christians, regardless of sectarian divides, will vociferously claim to be appalled by the practise of slavery and some of them are, I believe, are truly sincere. Of course, it takes severe, selective scholarship in order to take this view.  

1 American abolitionist, and close personal friend of African anti-slavery activist and intellectual Frederick Douglass, Reverend John Brown was a devout Christian who gained his inspiration from the story of Exodus and how Moses ‘freed the Hebrews’ from the villainous clutches of the Egyptian government and led them to colonialise the ancestral lands of another people in Canaanite Palestine.  

2 ‘Is It Ironic That Killing Is a Sin But God Commits Genocide In The Bible?’, Sodahead.com (2011)
midst. But you shall destroy their altars, break their sacred pillars, and cut down their wooden images
(For you shall worship no other god, for the Lord, whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God.)
- Exodus, Chapter 34, verses 11-14

‘You will chase your enemies, and they shall fall by the sword before you. Five of you shall chase a
hundred, and a hundred of you shall put ten thousand to flight; your enemies shall fall by the sword
before you. For I will look on you favorably and make you fruitful, multiply you and confirm My
covenant with you. You shall eat the old harvest, and clear out the old because of the new’.
- Leviticus, Chapter 26, verses 7-9

‘However, you may purchase male or female slaves from among the foreigners who live among you.
You may also purchase the children of such resident foreigners, including those who have been born
in your land. You may treat them as your property, passing them on to your children as a permanent
inheritance. You may treat your slaves like this, but the people of Israel, your relatives, must never be
treated this way.’
- Leviticus 25:44-46 NLT

It is a fundamental element of the White supremacist narrative to describe Africans in the Americas as ‘happy’ and ‘delighted’ to live under racist, Christian Euro-settler stewardship. This was the role of anti-African propaganda in the form of books such as the ‘Little Black Sambo’, lynching ‘postcards’ and musical hits like ‘The Whistling Coon’ as sung by George Washington Johnson, the first American African pop star. It is entirely racist and ethnically bigoted to selectively recognise the hateful damage of ‘The Poisoned Mushroom’ (Der Giftpilz) and other anti-Jewish propaganda while ignoring the xenophobic ruination brought on by traditional American ‘Coon Songs’ and the disgusting Native American mascots used by the Washington Redskins and other athletic teams across the country. If all things are indeed truly equal and if the zealous, self-centred and highly tribal Zionist lobby can be studied and accepted under these conditions, Afrocentrism, (which does not embrace racist territorial displacement and genocide) should be as equally respected as a similarly rational response to White racism and ethnic cleansing.

It isn’t fair to dismiss the residual injury of institutional racism and its relation to internal dysfunction within the community without discussing the ‘Self-Hating African’. If the ‘self-hating Jew’ is a reality, so is the self-hating African. And maybe you have to be one, like this writer and the reader to some degreee, to correctly identify Barack Obama, in spite of his uselessness to the African world, as a symbol of everything that is wrong with the country. Black conservatives help push the grand lie that Obama is a ‘pro-Islamic’ clandestine socialist agent of anti-White revolution in Christian America, ignoring his steadfast refusal to defend Black people, Muslims, non-White immigrants or critical political speech in the US. Black conservatives are just like any other conservative, they are liars. This is a fact. And it is also a fact that the slide we see in US domestic and international power was brought about due to the long-term damage brought on by ‘Reaganomics’, ‘Iran-Contra’, the S&L Scandal, NAFTA and GATT the first and second US-Gulf Wars against Iraq, the occupation of Afghanistan and other capitalist adventures in which the working US tax-paying public is still paying for.

The current economic crisis upturning the White world is threatening to bankrupt the number one superpower is a consequence of White-collar, unmitigated American male ego, White Christian racism and short-sighted, nebbish-driven capitalist greed followed by eight years of pure imperio-colonialist political hell under the ‘un-elected’ Bush-Cheney ticket that ignored all conventions and advice and drove the nation straight into the economic sewer of debt to a foreign power, i.e., China.

Barack Obama has indeed broken the colour-line in terms of political office holding and visibility without crediting the Afrocentric, street-level, left-wing and Marxist-led movements that made his non-White backside acceptable and his political inclusion possible in the first place. Africans and especially Indigenous Americans were never given ‘rights’ by White people. We have argued for and demanded our right to fair and equal treatment under the law in a society we have contributed to whether we wanted to participate in it or not.

When all is said and done any minority individual in the US who gives credit to the White society for his or her ‘freedom’ is a sucker of the greatest magnitude. But then again, quite a few Jews were OK with Pastor John Hagee’s stated opinion that Adolf Hitler should be respected for helping to bring about the State of Israel too. If the NOI’s Min. Louis Farrakhan and Rev. Jessie Jackson still have to answer for charges of biased comments bordering on blatant Judeophobia, then
Pastor Hagee and other White theologians should be subject to the very same standard.¹

Glenn Beck too has been on both sides of the fence, being that he isn’t sure if he likes Jews or hates them. It seems to differ depending on what side of the bed he decides to roll out of in the morning.² And his trampling on the memory of Dr. King was pure White populist propaganda, just like the US government’s sad and racist attempt, especially under a Black president, to tell the domestic and international public that Dr. King, who strongly opposed both White racism and capitalist wars of occupation and aggression, had he not been assassinated would have supported the wars against Iraq and Afghanistan.

If there are White people to be applauded for helping the African, let it be the actively anti-racist Whites, like abolitionist fighter and slave-liberator John Brown, who worked with Black people and Native Americans, not against them. If you want to talk about bravery try discussing Anne and Carl Braden, a Euro-American couple who were charged with sedition for daring to buy a home in Jim Crow suburbia on behalf of an African couple in the conservative dreamland of 1950’s America. And frankly, it was White people like this that made a Barack Obama possible. Not just as an American president but as a viable human component of modern American life. To ignore this hidden history ignores what really made the United States unique amongst nations. As Dr. King said before he was rudely removed by the reactionary White racist elements of the society, the whole point of the United States is the ‘right’ to argue for rights. The barely literate boors of the Tea Party and other American bare-knuckle political legions frightened of progressive politics never, ever discuss this aspect of the ‘American Narrative’ and don’t expect to hear anything like that from them in the future. You will never find a conservative republican, a Tea Party thug or an assimilationist Negro, Native or Asian challenging modern traditional institutional racism as a clear violation of the US Constitution. Never. Like Canadian Euro-settlers in the north and the Mestizo neo-colonialists in the south, White republicans and their lackeys in the US live under the self-imposed impression that it was the White man who brought democracy and development to the savages of the Third and Fourth Worlds, not that it was really the other way around.³

When people like Ken Hamblin, Ken Blackwell, Condoleezza Rice, Gen. Colin Powell, J.C. Watts, Niger Innis, Ward Connerly, Michael Steele and Jesse Lee Peterson (who is on record ‘thanking’ White Americans for slavery and ‘saving’ Blacks from themselves back in Africa) speak they represent themselves, not the logical Black population in the United States. Any African who argues that there is a drudgery in simply being ‘African’ is a confused, self-hating individual and is woefully ashamed of being ‘Black’. They hate their own people so much that they are willing to work against them en masse by assisting the most retrograde elements of the White racist power structure in the US that are aggressively keen on sparking a nationwide racial conflict.⁴ The main media clown promoting this moronic, neo-Confederate sentiment right now are Mormon broadcaster Glenn Beck and Negro assimilationist advocate Herman Cain who said as much when he accused the Obama administration of pushing White Americans to the edge.⁵ It isn’t the non-European population that is flipping out, anxiously sitting in the corner of a dark room chewing on their nails, it is the White, European population and their pathetic and gutless sycophants who are whining like spoiled children. This too is true. And it is also true that most White people are not used to having their exclusive sociopolitical monopoly challenged by the people(s) they have enslaved and exploited for more than five centuries. They are also not used to dealing with the fundamentally machiavellian and illogical dynamics of what they have created. In effect and in essence the White world is one of innate insanity. And the panic we see manifesting itself into the public sphere is only an extension of what has always seeped quietly beneath the American consciousness.

³ Bruce E. Johansen, ‘Forgotten Founders: Benjamin Franklin, the Iroquois, and the Rationale for the American Revolution’, (1982)
⁵ ‘Beck: "They want a race war ... and our government is going to stand by and let them do it"’, mediamatters.org, (2010)
The White man of the European-conquered Americas is a Nazi living in abject fear of losing the war he began. The actual demographic numbers of the non-White ethnic population and the growing common sense of the general public has generally and effectively marginalised overt attitudes but the real danger lies in what is not seen by the naked eye. Intelligent people who can see past the filters of xenophobic indoctrination understand just how intellectually backwards and zealously exploitative the United States is as a nation and as an political and moral ideal. The question then, realising this, is what does one do when confronted with such a situation? This is a query for both master and slave, both White skinned and otherwise. What does a conscious human being do when faced with undeniable injustice towards his fellow human being?

House Negroes of the World, Unite!

‘People think I should pass because they feel white is superior but I do not. I refuse to be apart of a race that feels its okay to oppress another race and have special privileges and advantages’.
Carolyn ‘Fredi’ Washington

Any culturally distinct people forced to live under colonial domination is by definition a contradictory people. When a culture is adapted to suit that of the invader or the oppressor it becomes something else other than it was. The idea that savage peoples have been uplifted through the cultural destruction of oppression is a colonialist’s apologia for what is clearly brigandage and racialist-justified genocide. The class divisions of master-to-slave and between house slaves and field servants may have legally come to an end under the old system, but the mental bonds and social character of the plantation still remains and can be seen today in how subjugated peoples in the Americas relate to each other.

No matter how you add it up, ‘White’ in the western world will always mean ‘right’. Even the election of Barack Obama is laden with this racist baggage. Had his mother not been a European from a slave-owning family and his father an African born in British ruled Kenya, you most likely would never have heard about Barack Obama much less considered him for the highest political office in the most powerful nation in world history. His assimilationist demeanor and his lack of a ‘Negro accent’ combined with his steadfast and painful apathy towards the world’s African Diaspora makes Mr. Obama extremely attractive to a number of enthusiastic White people. Eager to dispel the lingering evidences of their institutional racism, White conservatives, liberals and many other various and sundry political gypsies welcomed the Obama win as a ticket to post-racial heaven. Bill Bennett, the White man who believes that if the US were to abort all African births the national crime rate would diminish substantially, was the first to say that Obama’s election, courtesy of Goldman Sachs means ‘You
And make no mistake, there are more than enough dim-witted non-White folks willing to ignore the obvious gleefully doing their part to make the entire farce look legit. Lead by the nose by those deemed our ‘leaders’ by the White establishment, these hopeless fools live in the hope that the White superman will someday bestow upon them a reason for being. Take Negro republican fast-food magnate and Koch Bros. pawn presidential candidate Herman Cain for one good example. Just like Mr. Obama, Mr. Cain believes in the White man more than the White man believes in himself. He and other Negro Tea Party whores like the boastful military torturer Allen West,\(^2\) Cain has chosen to join forces with the most bigoted and retrograde elements of the reactionary far-right.

While Mr. Obama’s sheepishness when faced with blatant anti-African racism is bad enough, the decision to actually work with political parties well-known for their defence of White racism and anti-African, anti-Indigenous, anti-non-White immigrant, anti-homosexual, anti-poor, anti-worker, anti-women and anti-democratic brutality is the bloody limit. How any self-respecting African anywhere in the world could respect the American European and his system of xenophobic exploitation, genocide and unadulterated greed is an issue of great importance. Only no one, and I mean no one, dares mention it aloud for fear of vengeful retribution. It is easy to blame the likes of the Ku Klux Klan, the Black Legion or the John Birch Society but doing that does not answer all of the questions. George Lincoln Rockwell was a notable example of the comical and the extreme, but he had very little actual power over rational people not swayed by his pedantic mime of Adolf Hitler’s version of totalitarian, anti-human, uber-Teutonic high art.

The United States of America is a fickle society and it is indeed true that American Christians were never big fans of world Jewry. But the working-class, first and second-generation Europeans that Rockwell’s neo-Nazi’s attempted to recruit in the years immediately following World War Two were loathe to join ranks with any American claiming to restore what they were sent to destroy. The Klan was quite populous in the US south and Northeast, but they too were cartoonish, achingly ignorant and far too visible and indiscriminate with their violence for the sensibilities of most White people. It was actually President Woodrow Wilson who set the tone for ‘acceptable racism’ in the United States. With a polite smile and a warm handshake Wilson, a devout Christian, openly commended Blacks who were willing to accept their inferior status with a stiff-upper lip and a perverse sense of ‘Noble Darkie’ pride. His insistence on instituting racial Apartheid in the Federal government bled into the general functioning of the society making Jim Crow segregation a matter of the heart if not of the law.

The few social gains Africans, Indians and Asians enjoyed following Reconstruction were fleeting and subject to individual White tolerances for the programme. It is very true that some conservative Blacks, like Blanche Bruce, Madame C.J. Walker and William Monroe Trotter did attain respectable levels in White society in spite of the difficulties. But these were rare exceptions of luck, location and behind the scenes White patronage, not clear examples of the general rule. The rise of White Power terrorist groups such as the US KKK and the murderous anti-African race riots of Rosewood and Tulsa, OK empirically proved this. And it is also important to note here that systematic and sustained ethnic violence and social discrimination was not limited to Africans. Native Americans, Mexican nationals, the Chinese, Filipino workers and many Jews also suffered regular attacks from hostile Whites whenever they felt threatened economically or when non-White men were, often incorrectly, connected romantically to White women.

Racial violence in the United States has always been a lopsided affair of White reactionary fear and the denial of non-White cultural and political autonomy. The idea that ethnic minorities in the Americas would overrun and take revenge against White people and its xenophobic society if given just half the chance has always been with us. As quiet as it is kept, numerous unnamed and unmentioned African and Native American men have been killed or subject to having their genitals mutilated merely on the suggestion that they may have sullied the ‘purity’ of a Christian White woman. Often, simply for being caught looking at one for longer than a split-second. Many more were killed or otherwise harmed just for daring to look at a White man directly in the eye. This isn’t even counting the countless race riots that occurred in the 1960’s, 70’s and 80’s when Black families began trying to move into predominately White neighbourhoods, trades and businesses Whites believed were, and are, their exclusive domain.

White privilege is a very real phenomenon. And European denials of the practise do not change the fact that racial segregation has been a vigorous factor of the European presence everywhere the White man has gone. In the Euro-occupied countries of the Americas this attitude developed into the infamous ‘divide and conquer’ strategy that served to exacerbate existing cultural differences and quarrels between Indigenous nations and later Africans shipped in for free labour. The aim was weakness on a variety of levels explicitly for the safety and security of the White ‘Master Race

\(^1\) David Neiwert: ‘Bill Bennett: Obama's win means 'You don't take excuses anymore’ from minorities’, (2008)
\(^2\) ‘Why Would Republicans Support A War Criminal Like Allen West ?’, SodaHead (http://www.sodahead.com/united-states/why-would-republicans-support-a-war-criminal-like-allen-west/question-1701001/?page=2)
The bigoted Anglo-Australian politician and pseudo-historian Charles H. Pearson articulated these concerns in his well received book, ‘National Life and Character: a Forecast’ which proposed the perils of allowing the non-European races of the world to develop themselves into intellectually mature populations that could tilt the balance of racial power. As he laid it out when the work was first published, the consequences of not artificially retarding the ‘inferior races’ from rising up would be dire at best for the White races:

We shall wake to find ourselves elbowed and hustled, and perhaps even thrust aside by peoples whom we looked down upon as servile and thought of as bound always to minister to our needs. The solitary consolation will be that the changes have been inevitable.  
- C. Pearson, (1893)

It becomes evident at once that the apprehension Europeans feel and express merely thinking about the possibility of a ethnic equilibrium has a lot to do with an acknowledgement that things have never, ever, been fair much less equal in their relations with non-Whites. This is the ideological root of the ‘White Guilt’ pathology, the inner knowledge that Whites have, at least since the classical Roman Era, ruled their claim on the world with an iron hand. It also serves as the emotional basis for White fears of a Black and Yellow planet. Many, if not all, White folks live in utter dread of a global Black and Brown backlash for past and current atrocities of conscience. And these anxieties have often frightened them into actions and nery responses that prove just how paranoid the White minority really is. Pearson understood this and went on to state that:

The supremacy of the inferior races in the future is likely to be achieved by industrial progress rather than by military conquest. The Englishman is changing from faith in private enterprise to faith in State organisation. The change is likely to affect the character of the race for vigorous originality. — We see the beginning of decadence in the decline of speculative thought. We find a decay of mechanical invention, and even more, that a less hearty welcome is given to it. With impaired faith in himself, the Englishman will trust more and more to the State, and to State Socialism, which is likely to be accompanied with a change to the stationary order, population and wealth ceasing to increase. This change may not necessarily be bad, but it will be great.  
- C. Pearson, (1893)

It is important to understand when looking at this that Pearson’s warning was intended to address the global situation of European colonialism and Christian missionary work in the Third and Fourth Worlds which he believed was doing the White man a disservice. He held the opinion that any by-product of European colonialism would eventually rub-off on Rudyard Kipling’s ‘Half-Devil/Half-Child’ and encourage him to level the playing field. In agreement with others like Pierton W. Dooner, author of ‘Last Days Of the Republic’ (1879) the Chinese were likely to overtake the world allied with other non-Whites and the help of European Socialists who do not believe in the ‘rightness’ of limitless European superiority:

It seems certain, too, that sooner or later China must become a formidable military power... As, however, the English-man has a higher standard of comfort than the Chinaman, he cannot hold his own, other things being equal, against the Chinaman. The ideal of the European Socialist is, however, not to intensify toil, but to diminish it, and to increase the material and moral well-being of the man. Throughout Europe this may be done by industrial combination; but Chinese competition will force the European either to protect himself by hostile tariffs or to limit the increase of population. The belief that the stationary state has been reached will produce general discouragement, and will probably affect the intellectual energy of the people concerned. If the Mahommedans succeed in becoming dominant in China, China will be an aggressive military power; but this is perhaps less to be dreaded than its industrial development.
If we probe the deeper query as why the White man of Europe must rule over the rest of the world’s peoples for ‘correctness’ to persist, the dialogue is treated as if we have questioned life itself. The question of European historical development and social interaction during its formative eras and the impact of that history on the mind-set of the modern White man is never asked. Without any reasonable doubt, without any doubt at all, the bizarre violence, avarice and cognitive derangement we witness in the Europocentric world is a reflection of its own negative White supremacist idealism. The western mind has undeniably been shaped by its own internecine belligerence and Europe has sought to export this wretched personality trait across the mentality of the entire world.

I say again, the White is a frightened creature terrified by his own shadow. How else can one explain the European’s history of violence and ethnic cleansing in the name of ‘civilisation’? Yes, it is true that genocide is not necessarily a partisan exercise, but Europeans are the one cultural group that claim to be ‘incapable’ of such atrocities. Even as they commit them. White folks can always perceive evil in others, but find it nearly impossible to recognise the symptoms of ethnic-supremacist overkill when the perpetrators look anything like them. Many of these people when asked, both conservative and liberal, today and yesterday, openly opine that they are the unwilling victims of a foreign lead communist takeover in which Blacks, women and other minorities are secretly conspiring to destroy White civilisation and its ‘innocent’ God fearing population. The rationale behind these simple-minded suspicions demands that the racialist status quo of White people on top, Black people on the very bottom with Asians and Indigenous Peoples hovering somewhere within a purgatory of invisibility be preserved at all costs.

Racism, like colonialism, must be denied at all times and in all forms. It can never be admitted because to do so would raise the question of why it exists in the first place. This discussion has yet to take place and those who were under the impression that Barack Obama would be the harbinger of a new messianic age were sadly mistaken. In fact, they have been proven wrong, over and over again. Under Obama, the poor are even poorer, the war against the Muslim, Arab and African worlds has expanded into new regions and the idle rich have gotten even fatter feeding off the public coin than during Bush the Second’s reign of error.

The ‘New Negro’ of the 21st century is a complete reversal of what that term used to mean. In the past, Blacks who were steadfast in their vision of a truly united United States of America stood tall and spoke of ‘Black Power’ in terms of being unashamed and unafraid of being what we are by nature. These Africans were ‘Black’ in spirit, heart and in mind. As Adam Clayton Powell Jr. Put in the 1960’s, Black Power does not mean that we are better than White people, it means that we will not accept anything less than a White person would naturally expect from this society. Apparently that assertion of basic humanity was going too far for most White people and they allowed their political infrastructure and radical elements to
destroy the Black and Native communities by any means necessary.

Racism then is not simply a subconscious or ambiguous matter of self-defence, it is a system created specifically for the practical needs of the oppressor. It is a means to justify the colonisation of the Third and Fourth Worlds, forced labour and on occasion, genocide. It reduces the subjugated to the level of the subhuman without apology or blame. Ethnic bias is therefore merely an exercise in which the elementary human being is forcibly reduced to an antagonistic cipher of false invisibility. The American Indian may be despised and contradictorily admired by his conquerors, but it is the African that is always regarded as the lowest of the low. The people of Africa are the Original Peoples of the planet, yet the world has seen fit to treat its children as one would rudely chastise a mentally-challenged slave. To deny this is absurd. Not it is insulting to those of us who have suffered and who continue to suffer the wages of being Black in a world dad-set against people who are Black and unapologetically unashamed of it.

And despite what today’s House Negroes may have to say about White racism, the abuse has never stopped. They know this because they experience it themselves. They simply ignore the offence and accept it as part of being a Black person living in a White world. They accept the indignity, the injustice and the denial of their natural, civil and human rights blinded by the foolish belief that White people will ‘like them better’ if they vociferously pretend that their innate racism does not exist. If such self-destructive behaviour was observed in a European, especially an Ashkenazim who would stoop so low as to share his tea with a card-carrying member of the modern neo-Nazi movement, such an individual would be labeled a confused, self-hating individual in need of intensive therapy to rebuild his or her cognitive self-image.

This is the enlightened view. The negative perspective would demand that such persons be designated as emotionally-crippled gnomes rightfully marginalised far away from their own ethnic group(s) as well as the mainstream of society. The ‘Self-Hating Jew’ is a very real and given the circumstances, understandable condition in full recognition of he pressures one has faced being Jewish in the Christian and, to a lesser extent, the Muslim worlds. Having said that, it is also important to mention that the pejorative usage of the term is an invention of the Zionist propensity for paranoid theatrics when faced with the amorality of their nationalistic politics and social xenophobia. The African need not go down this road, but it is still necessary for us to marginalise those whose loyalties are not with their own downtrodden people and others who are victimised by the injustices of the system. Any system.

The self-hating Black man is a reality. We see it everyday. And we also see the genocidal effect such individuals present when they consciously work to retard racial fairness and equality in the United States and around the world. When prominent Africans knowingly work to stunted independent African development in the Diaspora the ripple effect is enormous. It gives the White power structure a false sense of legitimacy it could not otherwise develop when faced with the facts of their brutality and deceitfulness.

It there an alternative to the rot? Can we reverse the process without devolving into a ersatz para-militant stance that would only serve to fuel the already falsified fears the majority of Europeans hold in the US? Is it possible for the Black and Brown human being in the Americas to speak loud enough to be heard without being seen as an anti-White hater of God’s creation on Earth? And is it possible to ask these questions without being placed on someone’s list?

An Honest African Consciousness Without Apologies

What does any of this have to do with the passing of an American African musician and poet named Gil Scott-Heron and a Black Panther Party for Self-Defense hero known as Geronimo Pratt? More than you might imagine. Brother Gil passed from this world on May 27 at the age of 62. Much like the people he wrote and sang about, he lived a life of inner-strife, social struggle and he died young from a health condition that has come to be synonymous with being Black in the 21st century. He was an addict, an artist, a freedom fighter and he was an articulate and powerfully frank voice of our hidden aches and pains who semantically slapped White society square in the face with the reality of Black oppression. Scott-Heron was the essence of what being a Black artist in America used to mean. His passing is the passing of an era in terms of African-centred creative consciousness in conflict with the racist evolution of the colonialist project known as the United States. There was and never will be another like him again.

It is all too easy, and in my humble opinion woefully disrespectful, to co-opt Bro. Gil’s Afrocentric narrative of the Black experience in America into a handful of sound-bites. We should already be speaking in such terms, not just sitting around waiting for a social media event to give us permission to say that the United States is not fair to the Black man in America. If we really respected Bro. Gil’s truth in Blackness, if we had really listened to him and truly internalised what he was trying to tell us, the Black world would not be where it is today. The African rap and R&B artists who are now praising Gil Scott-Heron as a revolutionary are superficially focusing on his notoriety as a performer, not as a social activist who
waged anti-colonial resistance through his music. So let us dispense with the exaggerations and be truthful in this time of
global chaos by admitting now that mainstream US African claims of ideological solidarity with Gil Scott-Heron throughout
his career are spurious at best. They are referring only to his sounds, not to the explosive truth of his jive-stripping lyrics.

I can remember vividly the strained looks on the faces of middle-class Black strivers when Scott-Heron was mentioned or
played in their presence during the 1970’s. They also cringed when they heard Dick Gregory or Richard Pryor, but they
took great pride in the White-created ‘Amos ‘n’ Andy’ minstrel show and still defend it to this very day. We have to be
honest about this. We have since Reconstruction learned to accept and identify with the negative caricaturing of our
cultures and our image as a people. This is why the Black bourgeoisie can refuse to acknowledge films like ‘Wattstax’ and ‘
The Legend of Nigger Charley’ while hailing ‘Guess Who’s Coming to Dinner’ and ‘The Coby Show’ as authentic
samples of the ‘Negro experience’ in America. They reject works such as ‘Five on the Black Hand Side’ and ‘The Spook
Who Sat By the Door’ because they are much more reflective of the African subconscious than they are prepared to deal
with.

The Black upper-classes and those who aspire to merge with them have always vociferously denounced our unique
creativity as ‘too ethnic’, especially when we express the ugly truths about our wretched condition. These are the happy
assimilationists, the wannabe James Monroe Trotter types who tell us that there is only one way to salvation and that the
White man should be respected as our example, our model of beauty and our God. This is exactly the argument social
neo-conservative intellectuals like Dinesh D’Souza make when promoting the virtues of White supremacy to the academic
and political classes. This was also the theme of the much touted Bible of American Eugenics, ‘The Bell Curve’ that
articulates a pseudo-scientific set of cognitive reasons for why non-Europeans consistently fail to rise to White
expectations.

I will not bother burdening the reader with the details, but the comparisons to Nazi Germany and South Africa are not
frivolous. The connections are clear and anyone who is seriously interested in understanding the working dynamics of
anti-African racism in the world would do well to study them. There are countless works that intelligently dissect the
phenomenon of the Third Reich and more are being written everyday. This is not the case in terms of the African in the
Diaspora. Virtually everything accepted as genuine scholarship about his origins and how he came to be in the Americas is
filtered through a prism of omnipotent White supremacy and nothing said by the establishment about him can or will be
accepted at face value.

So when we ask ourselves why African women feel compelled to artificially straighten their hair to appear more ‘European’,
we need to understand that we are a depressed under-class that is physically and psychologically trapped within a vicious
circle of never ending struggle against a socio-political segregation, not just a negative set of attitudes. And we also must
admit at this crucial juncture that those among us who can only see themselves within the set terms of the Europocentric
power structure are our main barriers to achieving real liberation. Those who believe in the ‘Master Race’ do not believe in
themselves or those from who they came. They reject the very concept of fair play and social equality in favour of ethnic
partisanship.

This is why the brutal colonialist social reality of American African writers like Iceberg Slim and Donald Goines can be
largely forgotten by the contemporary African generation as the anti-establishment reporters of urban African hierarchies
that they really were. The ‘Gangster Rap’ phenomenon makes great use of the superficial symbolism found in the urban
African creative genre of the ’60’s and ’70’s. But like Bro. Gil had often pointed out, the core values of addressing the evils of the White culture’s inordinate pressure on the Black mind are simply not to be found in most of their work. Speaking Ebonics in song is not the same thing as asking how we came to speak any form of English in the first place. Glorifying pimping and prostitution may be an accepted element of the African economic survivalist subculture, but promoting a culture of sexual exploitation isn’t providing a lesson in African redemption through intelligent and conscientious liberation. This is the real struggle that Winnie Mandela was talking about while Nelson Mandela sat in a White South African prison negotiating a peaceful transfer of power that would maintain a pro-White political and economic agenda under a ‘new’ Black government.

We have to be honest about this. Our ‘leaders’, usually chosen and supported by the White power structure, have never truly represented our collective progress or well-being. When the oppressor and the leadership of the oppressed talk about ‘peace’, they habitually downplay any programme or course of action that does not include the ‘rights’ and ‘concerns’ of the victimiser. This forces us to ask another very critical question, is this outpouring of charity and compassion really an expression of our innate humanity, or is it actually a symptom of our deeply hidden psychological upheaval? We staunchly abandon as undesirable nearly any solution that does not defer to Europocentric standards of life and identity politics.

This is why the African elite strata can marginally accept the angry, but neck-tied voices of Richard Wright and James Baldwin provided that they made their statements overseas. Celebrity activists such as the Rev. Al Sharpton are granted mainstream media air-time while Black Agenda Report’s Glen Ford can only find marginal exposure within alternative Internet news media. The American African media circuit itself is hopelessly riddled with useless data that says next to nothing about the actual state of the African in the United States. And the Internet fares little better in that accepted African media outlets such as ‘The Root’ and other Black ‘news sites’ may be fronted by people of colour, they are not owned by people of colour and are therefore subject to the whims and wishes of those who own the means of information production.

‘Don’t make no sense for us to be arguing now
Time is right up on us now brother
Don’t make no sense for us to be arguing now
All of your children and all of my children are gonna have pay for our mistakes someday’
- Gil Scott-Heron: Peace Go With You, Brother (As-Salaam-Alaikum)

We have to understand as Scott-Heron did that the African middle-class, if there really is such a thing, is a traitorous faction of intensely selfish people only concerned with their own upward mobility and with achieving ‘status’ under the Europocentric ruling elite. They are not now and never have been interested in working towards their own liberation either personally or culturally. However, they are always keen to assist their oppressors in keeping the other, more rebellious and more conscious slaves from getting too far out of line. This form of communal treachery goes all the way back to the chattel slavery period when resistance to the slave master was commonplace and the human ‘property’ was customarily terrorised into obeying the overseers through starvation, sleep deprivation and indiscriminate violence. The White establishment maintained its power then as it does now, through the stalwart efforts of its miserable sycophantic operatives who work overtime to maintain their zones of personal safety by serving up the rest of us as cannon fodder.

The fear of a slave rebellion was a everyday concern for White people whether they owned a slave or not. And the idea that a massive population of consistently abused people would be accorded equal rights under the law and in the eyes of mainstream society still gives the White man nightmares and haemorrhoids. So we are being intellectually negligent when we ignore the fact that every slave rebellion in the United States was led by a field slave, and conversely, that every failed uprising was ultimately betrayed by a house slave. To understand this is to understand who Gil Scott-Heron was and what he stood for. Few of us are looking past the pretty sounds, the interesting lyrics and the ‘coolness’ of the artistic personage personality to understand that the Black man in America is not, and never has been, free. And while the Black community is ready now, at this late date, to ‘accept’ Gil Scott-Heron as an African hero, all the time he was fighting for us in song, we were actively ignoring the fact that he was being punished by White society for speaking the very same truth we are now hypocritically crediting him for.

The numerous obituaries and social media odes are of course respectful, polite and due, but they are also repetitive, fraudulent and manipulative. By that I mean they all proclaim that he was a unique urban pied piper who kept us all aware about racism and social injustice while we continue to consciously accommodate the mainstream racist power paradigm and help it maintain itself. The artist that the music industry says gave birth to the ‘ism’ that developed into the corporate-controlled, very capitalist, not very pro-African any longer hip-hop game for them is just a name, not a template.
It is worse than a poor joke to equate the reality-messages of Gil Scott-Heron with the real-negativity of the genre that claims him as a founder. During his lifetime he made it very clear that he had little, if anything to do with an ‘art form’ that argues exactly for everything he was trying to convince us we should be running away from. The fact that he was also a victim to the depressions and the poisons that controlled most of his adult life is in many ways a testimony to the intense struggle all surviving Black men endure in the Diaspora. This is the struggle we wrestle with to ignore in favour of the pleasant but false dreams of alabaster sheep hoping over the gates of White racist oppression.

To say that Hip-Hop/Rap carries on the legacy of Gil Scott-Heron is pure dishonesty and opportunistic commercial buffoonery. Gil Scott-Heron made it plain that he never stood for what we see and hear in that musical genre. If the Rap community really heard and respected what Bro. Gil was spitting, their entire creative and personal paradigms would be radically different from what they are. In fact they would truly be radical in that they would on principle be in direct, ideological opposition to the very structure of Europocentric socio-political representation and the neoliberal capitalist worldview. The sad fact is that the mainstream American African rank-and-file population is not at all concerned about these issues to any serious degree and it is important that we understand that we were not always this intellectually negligent.

Deconstructing African Liberation

“We declare our right on this earth to be a human being, to be respected as a human being, to be given the rights of a human being in this society, on this earth, in this day, which we intend to bring into existence by any means necessary.”

- Malcolm X (El-Hajj Malik El-Shabazz)

The Black community used to be a highly informed population thanks to the numerous independent newspapers that boldly reported and editorialised on the political health of Black people in the United States and elsewhere. The Hon. Marcus Mosiah Garvey’s paper the ‘Negro World’ during the 1920’s was read internationally boasting circulation numbers that topped nearly 500,000 a week during its heyday. There were many others, generally from the US and Britain and they were an important link in connecting Africans across the Diaspora politically as well as socially. African-centred newspapers were banned in all European-settler states in Africa and South America and Blacks in the United States were often terminated from their jobs if it was discovered that they read independent Black news media. It was a sign that they were not content with simply being ‘American’. They knew that they were ‘Black People’ and they knew that they had a collective voice that spoke to their particular issues.

It is extremely difficult to find legitimate examples today of truly representative, non-European-owned, African-centred news media. The routine journalism widely available to most mainstream Blacks in the United States concerns itself primarily with social and economic integration, entertainment and religious news, not socio-political analysis or community educational issues. And on the other end of the spectrum, what little regularly updated independent media that does exist is seemingly interested only with pointless militaristic posturing, pointless quasi-mystical global conspiracy theories or get-rich-quick schemes that are more about individual ego-tripping than serious community empowerment. There is a real problem of priorities in terms of addressing the African mental conundrum of circular self-deception. In our confusion to normalise our depressive personal situation we often gamble on risky and questionable strategies that we think will lead somewhere but in the end only lead right back to where we started.

Don’t take my word for it, Bro. Min. Malcolm X and Black Panther Party for Self-Defense leader Fred Hampton Sr. both made it clear that the legitimacy of any movement towards the liberation of the African anywhere in the world must always be measured by its commitment to sustaining its ideological and political integrity. Simply removing the invader from the country does not solve the problems created by the colonial enterprise. There are both institutional and developmental issues that result from decolonisation and it must be recognised that virtually no former colonial situation has ever managed to free themselves from foreign domination completely unscathed. White colonial rule has never gone down without a fight. And it is entirely possible that the concept of the ‘dirty war’ as we understand it began when the European decided to abandon morality in favour of maintaining power by fighting any and all resistance to their rule ‘by any means necessary’.

Haiti is an incontestable example of this idea as it is still being punished economically and politically by the European powers for successfully liberating themselves from slavery in 1804. As articulated by a journalist who witnessed his nation
's rude flagellation of Haiti through an indemnity ransom of 150 million gold francs in compensation for their 'losses' during the revolution:

- Victor Schoelcher  Journalist

This history and a credible and objective analysis should be at the forefront of any discourse on the history of the African in the Americas and in the Diaspora. However, these factors alone are not entirely responsible for the damage done by unprepared and belligerent post-colonial leaders and power structures that became more concerned with maintaining power than preserving their revolutionary gains. We are being foolish and hopelessly partisan if we choose to forget that many of the revolutionary leaders and groups who rose to power and prominence during the various struggles against colonialism did not have definitive political agendas and often had no education in how to properly form and administer a government. By exacerbating already desperate conditions, the colonial powers merely flipped a switch and ceased whatever positive gains were achieved during the revolution thereby maintaining their covert hold on the country in question. In the history of the Americas this process is clearly evident. Elsewhere such examples require a little more consideration for the undeclared details. Just review the very recent histories of former ‘revolutionaries’ like Zimbabwe’s Robert Mugabe and Idi Amin Dada who have outdone their former colonial masters by personally running their countries straight into the ground.

In the case of Uganda we must be clear in stating here that General Idi Amin Dada, a product of the British colonial King’s African Rifles, was no one’s revolutionary. Amin was a direct political result of centuries of Anglo imperialism, the UK’s colonial policy in Africa and the East-West Cold War power struggle that was sweeping the continent. A veteran of the British colonial war against the Mau-Mau, Amin was directly supported by the UK, Apartheid-era South Africa, the State of Israel and cheered quietly in the periphery by the US from the very beginning. Even when Amin’s creeping despotic madness and neo-colonialist tendencies became impossible to ignore, he was silently tolerated by the world powers so long as he continued to undermine the reach of Soviet and Cuban influence in his region. until he became too unstable to be of any further use to them. When his foreign backers abandoned him, Idi Amin did everything and anything to prop up his incredibly mismanaged neo-colonialist government. From instituting culturally alien, misogynistic Islamic laws (Sharia) to his calculated and dangerous exacerbation of simmering ethnic tensions already strained by the British during their military occupation, Gen. Amin eventually destroyed Uganda slowly from the inside and the nation has yet to recover from the damage.

**Rescue operation of Western hostages held in Stanleyville during the Congo Crisis in late November 1965.**


Zimbabwe was different however in that they had a real revolutionary at the helm and had initially moved in what seemed to be a positive direction after politically displacing White minority rule. But Mugabe the politician was not the same as the man who was the revolutionary. A highly educated Marxist guerrilla fighter who led armed struggle against both the Rhodesian Front (RF) government and Zimbabwe Rhodesia, Mugabe without argument had earned his reputation as a
committed pan-Africanist leader. But as a politician and as a head-of-state, Mugabe has become a miserable failure and an embarrassment to progressive Africans continuing the struggle across the Diaspora. While it is very true that the deep-set problems we see in Zimbabwe are due in large part to the country’s long colonialist history and the unfair pressures of western economic interference, in the end it is Mugabe’s unfortunate decision from the very beginning to concede to the demands of White plantation owners, European investors and later, the radicalised thugs that lead to the failed, and often violent, land reforms that helped crash the economy. Further, Mugabe’s habit for using murderous repression to silence domestic free speech and dissent, such as the use of the ‘5th Brigade’ to destabilise ethnic Ndebele regions of the country to consolidate his political power, has not brought freedom and liberty to Zimbabwe.

Once Mugabe attained power he refused to let it go and has used any and all means to maintain his personal grip over the nation. Vote-rigging, electoral violence and partisan political persecution under Robert Mugabe’s rule was and still is a very real problem. As is his blatant redirection of public attention from the complications of his internal political decisions, such as the brutal crackdown on homeless Ugandans during ‘Operation Murambatsvina’, to unrelated social issues such as the foreign inspired wave of violent homophobia being introduced by American evangelical Christians on the continent. And while we do need to identify the reality of what Mugabe has become, we also need to identify the external factors that worked against him when the nation returned to native management. The loss of Rhodesia was a severe blow to the European presence on the continent and the government of South Africa and other European powers did their best to subversively cultivate a climate of desperation in Zimbabwe and every other African nation who dared try to wean themselves away from White minority rule.

Acting covertly, the White-controlled state of South Africa served as a staging base for efforts to destabilise the new government. From day one Mugabe and his people had to contend with internal terrorist actions from White supremacist privaters as well as internationally sponsored paramilitary units such as Super ZAPU, (Super Zimbabwe African People’s Union) dedicated to bringing him down. These forces engaged in infrastructure sabotage, ‘black’ propaganda and covert assassination attempts levied against the leadership of Zimbabwe’s government. Overtly, the World Bank (WB) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were given the liberty to impose strict ‘structural adjustments’ upon Zimbabwe’s economy due to concessions made during the transition to Black majority-rule. These measures quickly froze, and eventually reversed, the positive social gains achieved by the native population following national independence.

And if we are really serious, we would perhaps want to take a look at what African revolutionaries like Mugabe’s arch-rival Joshua Nkomo were dealing with during their struggle to gain national independence. While Ian Smith’s government was officially shunned by the United Kingdom and the United States, their military capabilities far exceeded their means and prolonged White-minority domination in the country during the ‘Second Chimurenga’ or Rhodesian Bush War (1964-1979). The staunch anti-communist rhetoric that accompanied the call to support White Africa was an important factor during the ‘Cold War’ with Rhodesia receiving covert assistance from the capitalist powers to battle Indigenous liberation struggles largely funded and often trained by the Soviet Union, Cuba and the Eastern Bloc.

And before we rush to condemn the Mugabe government as uniquely evil, viciously racist and ‘inhuman’, it is important to compare their methods to those of their foreign occupiers who went the extra mile to insure their unfair domination over Indigenous Africans continued forever. The veterans of Rhodesia’s elite forces, the Selous Scouts, today brag about their philosophy of Euro-settler guerrilla warfare and its cunning effectiveness in their war against ‘African communism’. What they don’t boast about is the fact that the way they waged the war against legitimate anti-colonial dissent basically amounted to a ‘dirty war’ against their indigenous enemies using methods long understood by the international community to be state-sponsored terrorism and democide.1

White colonialist interests in Africa were openly disparaged by the European powers but they willingly turned a blind eye in regards to Euro-settler terrorism so long as it worked towards irritating the Soviet Union. No one who truly understands the colonial history of Zimbabwe would deny that after the country was liberated from direct Euro-settler domination, elements of its population, Black and White, would not be happy about the idea of living under indigenous rule. The racist subversive war against Mugabe began the moment he won the first ZANU-PF election (Zimbabwe African National Union/Patriotic Front government) and under such intense conditions of subversion the normal rules simply do not apply and many a dictator may have been ‘turned’, so to speak, by such circumstances. So, if the progressive elements of the world are sincerely interested in avoiding the errors of the past we need to understand how good leaders turn bad.

We must also understand that progressive leaders who were able to articulate this analysis into real community mobilisation have been severely marginalised and worse simply for pointing out that the society can only go so far as we allow them to go. The idea of true democracy as created and practised by the Six Nations of the Iroquois Federation is not the same ‘democracy’ practised by the First World powers. Their conception of diplomacy rests squarely upon the application of intimidation and pressure instead of the pursuance of mutual assistance and common interest. They fight us

1(SEE: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selous_Scouts#Asymmetric_warfare)
to claim ownership of everything that exists. We on the other hand struggle peacefully simply to put bread on the table. These ideologies are for all accounts and purposes mutually exclusive, it is only our jaundiced systems of misinformation media that would have us believe that oil and water can indeed mix in the world of colonialisit politic.

I take the position that the Western media is simply not particularly objective when reporting on Zimbabwe just like they aren’t about Cuba, Venezuela or any other state that defiantly rejects western imperialism in any form. Having said that we are being ridiculous as a community to not see that Mugabe, like many African post-colonial leaders, clearly forgot about everything he said he stood for, grew accustomed to the taste of power and enjoyed enriching himself at the expense of his suffering countrymen. I fully supported his upheaval of the White minority settler government of Rhodesia and I still do, but I did not and do not support foolishly impractical and oppressive political and social policies which literally ruin both the country and its peoples in favour of the absurd.

This leads me to the historical struggle of Elmer ‘Geronimo’ Pratt, the BPP official that passed away shortly after I began the first drafts of this commentary. He too suffered the wrath of the White power structure for doing nothing more than standing up for his own people with intelligence. It is not a secret any longer that Bro. Pratt was the subject of a US Federal Bureau of Investigation COINTELPRO programme operation that was intended to ‘neutralise’ Mr. Pratt as an respected African revolutionary leader. While US Negroes were going through changes doing the jitter-bug on TV, Geronimo Pratt was being framed for the Manson Family Murders, which failed, and eventually tried and convicted for the murder of a White American woman in 1972. This lead to his serving nearly 30 years in prison until he was released in 1997 due to his conviction being vacated due to the national security state’s covert involvement with the circumstances behind his conviction.

It was his incredible tenacity along with the dedication of a pro bono legal team and the sworn testimony of several former FBI witnesses that helped secure his release. He continued to struggle as an Afrocentric and human rights activist up until the moment of his passing in Tanzania, the country that adopted the ‘Lost Generation’ brother after he was released from colonial bondage in ‘the land of the free’. Bro. Pratt died of a broken heart in Africa on June 2, 2011. And like Scott-Heron, his kind will not be on the Earth Again. His fight in defence of us as a people was ignored in the wake of Scott-Heron’s death because he did not sing, he did not dance and like Scott-Heron, he did not kowtow to White expectations as to what Niggers are ‘supposed’ to be. He returned from America’s adventure in Southeast Asia with a difference consciousness. He began to realise that in America, we were the Vietnamese. And he began to apply an international and human anti-colonialist analysis to the situation of Africans in America. This made him an enemy of the state and he paid a awful price for defending his prerogative to stand on his birthright as a human being. All the while, the Black man and woman in America was seeking to keep up with the Jones’ when we should have been supporting Bro. Geronimo during his time in Hell.

So the reader will have to forgive me if I find the sudden acknowledgement of Gil Scott-Heron by the Black music establishment to be belligerently hypocritical when the subculture did little, if anything at all, to support him, revolutionary leaders like Geronimo Pratt or the African freedom movement as a whole. The shining stars of mainstream Black entertainment and business are always telling us about the almighty power of the capitalist dollar, yet how many of these elite talking-heads spend even a fraction of their money financing our liberation? Working undercover to assist questionable firms such as Starbucks and McDonald’s brand themselves within the urban African psyche is not the same thing as Black economic independence from ‘The Man’.

The American African has to understand that material wealth and cultural and community health are not the same thing. We also have to accept that we are being lead right back to the plantation when we define our freedom in terms that favour our oppressors. After 500 years of foreign occupation and exploitation it is absolute insanity to continue to allow ourselves to be fooled by both the White establishment and the Black assimilationist class who always do their damndest to stifle and misdirect our right to self-determination.

Africans in the United States are a pacified population. We have bought into the charade of material wealth, social status and instant fame, the engineered themes of modern capitalist-driven mainstream American world. We strive mindlessly to attain what we see represented in the dominant White society. We cheered and commended rap business tycoon Russell Simmons when he happily sat down and shared laughs with neo-conservative Fox News chief-propagandist Roger Ailes. 1 We never asked Mr. Simmons why he did not ask Ailes why Fox News is so unapologetically biased against African Americans. Bro. Gil would have never sat in the same room with Ailes in the first place. So again I ask, how can you claim Gil Scott-Heron now when you do nothing to make actual what you claim inspired you? Todays rap business has nothing to do with the insightful messages put forth by Gil Scott-Heron. It lacks a consciousness and therefore it lacks any revolutionary integrity or potential. And that was what his creative and personal work was all about.?

---

Again, I fail to see the connections everyone is talking about between Gil Scott-Heron and the Hip-Hop generation. To say that this is an off-base analysis is to overlook the fact that ‘bling’, diamonds and Black-on-Black violence had nothing to do with the work, attitude or life. Gil Scott-Heron was a man who rejected the accouterments of stardom and material comfort to seek solace in a crack pipe because the world would not stop kicking the Black man in the arse simply for being ‘first’. The Young Turks who are now in hindsight claiming him as a source of inspiration are only taking from Bro. Gil what they want, discarding the root message that serves as the sum of the life of a revolutionary African activist. As with Malcolm X, Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. and Jesus the Christ, we harp the soundbites without paying any heed to our responsibility to understand and act on the lessons we are being taught.

For the African male, survival is often a matter of learning how to push forward in life while pretending to be invisible at the same time to the White power structure. Like the ostrich with it’s head in the ground, the idea is that if they cannot see you, they might not be able to hurt you. So the African has become out of necessity and skillful training a master at being both visible and invisible at once, appearing only once in a while when the White man chooses to see him at all. The African in the Diaspora has two distinct dimensions to his or her psyche: One driven by pure survivalist instincts and the other rooted in acquired helplessness and a learned deference to Europocentric domination. We have moved from far from our original political platform as the consciousness of the White American society. We forget the price our people have paid to not only survive, but to speak truthfully about our survival without a noose being placed around our necks. America pretends to be unaware that the Ku Klux Klan, a terrorist organisation responsible for countless acts of It is relatively elementary at this point to understand why the socio-political state of the African in America has always been one of utter confusion and flux. Despite the seating of Barack Obama in the White House, this ‘advancement’ has achieved little more than some amusing window dressing in regards to improving the quality of life for Africans in the United States. In fact, his visible reluctance to address much less challenge the soft, white racist underbelly of the American social fabric has placed the African in America in an even more precarious position than ever before. His tacit acceptance of distortive blame from the GOP in political terms can be read as overt weakness on the part of the president, but as a representative of a people and of African males in particular, the passivity shown by Barack Obama is no less emasculating than the jigs tipped to by Stepin’ Fetchit, the artful laziness of ‘Sleep’n’Eat’ or the nimble subservience of Harriet Beecher Stowe’s Uncle Tom.

These caricatures were created to educate and inculcate through popular culture the Euro-settler perception of the socially acceptable Nigger. Black people, according to the traditional Euro-settler view, did not as yet exist. It is under these peculiar circumstances that the African in America has valiantly and creatively struggled with the larger society and himself to find some sense of balance. This equilibrium is generally manifested as the ‘Doppelganger Paradox’, the psychological anxiety that compels non-Europeans to mimic and reflect what they think will please the White power structure and earn their acceptance. We see the results of this mental self-colonialism in the ‘bone-straight’ hair relaxing kits and skin bleaching products marketed towards the American African community by businesses owned almost exclusively by recent Asian immigrants who themselves are increasingly opting for ‘Eye Rounding’ plastic surgery, hair bleaching and breast enhancement surgery to appear more Anglo. Again, elements of the power structure Gil Scott-Heron rejected all of his life.
The purposeful dissolution of the African identity in the Americas and elsewhere among the Diaspora ironically ties into the theoretical ideas of European anthropologist Claude Lévi-Strauss who concluded that, ‘The ultimate goal of the human sciences is not to constitute man but to dissolve him’. A structuralist position that fully supports and codifies the coercive Eurocentric mechanisms of the operative society and provides endless intellectual fodder for its many apologists. When faced with the bluntness of Objectivist metaphysics as the only permitted form of communication, any opinion openly countering this interpretation is immediately dismissed as “irresponsible” and regressive reverse-racism against the White body politic. ‘Responsible discussion’ means corralling the discourse exclusively within the vacillating ideological confusions of the European superlative principle, namely the deep-set self delusion of European ‘Central Position’ as per Robert Ardrey’s excellent ‘African Genesis’ theory of ‘Central Position.’

In practise the attendant postulate holds that the entirety of the European subcontinent: its institutions, cultural values, and peoples, exemplify the highest level of physical and spiritual refinement just short of the Divine. This quasi-religious absurdity is passed off as the fundamental earmarks of western ‘civilisation’ and is presented as the only means by which one can attain knowledge or purity. So when we hear White people speak of ‘The World’ and ‘Man’, we should understand that they are actually speaking exclusively about themselves. The “Other” exists only as a structural element to be measured against, not as a co-existing entity endowed with similar qualities. With one erasive motion, the ‘Other’ is both denied and acknowledged within the European’s unavoidably circular pathology of artful deception and pugnacity towards non-European groups. This inclination to dehumanise, enslave, eliminate and then deny the existence of the “Other” serves to underpin the intellectual and emotional monopolisation of Eurocentric values in media, academia and so on. Non-White human groups as a matter of structural necessity cannot matter in such a colloidal context beyond providing the foundational elements upon which the European measures his claimed preeminence.

White domination in large part hinges on both the colonialist and the colonised collectively sharing this racist fiction. Without this, the legitimacy of the racial status quo is always in question and subject to the class-antagonism forces of revision or revolution. This explains the primary role of popular culture and mass communications in such a society. The subconscious indoctrination and reinforcement of these dynamics must be repeated over and over again to make the falsehoods stick. The intellectually lazy, media driven society that envelops us all is by design has been uniquely formulated to fortify the worldview that the White man is God’s direct representation on Earth and that his actions both good and bad are no less divinely inspired. In methodological terms we are all inclined to accept this doctrine precisely because the considerable weight of the popular culture tells us to do so.

The bottom line about the information and entertainment material we absorb is that the vast bulk of it only presents the prevailing Eurocentric orthodoxy, not the pragmatic realities of the authentic pan-ethnic and multicultural world we really live in. Even when minorities are represented, they are as a rule trotted out as either examples of ‘proper’ minority behaviour or as indicators of what happens when the ‘Other’ refuses to follow or accept the proscribed rules of the “norm. ” This erroneously mono-ethnic perspective is repeatedly and authoritatively articulated both subtly and overtly and in a variety of ways, but it remains to be said that the true strength of any obviously unbalanced society can easily be measured by the ability of its elite classes to manipulate and direct the public consciousness.

The Catholic Church made use of the power of religious belief when it issued the Pax Dei and Treuga Dei conventions to address indiscriminate intra-European violence. It also used its considerable political and economic power to encourage and lend divine sanction to the Crusades, European colonial expansion and Indigenous slavery in the Americas as well as the anti-Jewish ethnic cleansing that took place all over Europe under the watchful eye of God’s church.

Later the Nazis turned state-sponsored mass psychology techniques into a science, using it to great effect in Germany and throughout the entire European fascist campaign. Modern right-wing propagandists picking up where they left off continue to employ similar suggestive imagery, literature and entertainment to maintain the authoritative bearings of the Eurocentric cultural standard. The increased promotion of smiling, well-adjusted minorities in today’s commercial market belies the real disparity and grief suffered by non-White communities trying to live with dignity under an unacknowledged set of rules in societies that fancifully deny their obvious institutional racism. This allusion of diversity is in large part a new development in colonialist propaganda. Racial barriers are maintained and emphasised via a cosmetic reconfiguration of the ‘American Dream’, the illusion that material consumption and commercial visibility is equivalent to social equality. This deception increases both the influence and dominance of Western values as well as bolsters commerce and the expansion of credit, especially among those marginalised by the mainstream but nonetheless ‘trained’ to desire and obtain the consumer goods they are convinced will make them “equal” with the White authority model.

In today’s American political climate, yesterday’s ideas of Eugenics and Social Darwinism have gained a new respect and remain concepts even progressive apologists are eager to internalise and defend in the name of ‘balance’. While it is entirely accurate and fair to single out the GOP and big business for the resurgence of racist nationalism in the United States, both the DNC and RNC play the racial political spectrum as if it were a board game when it suits their purposes. The conservatives in terms of defending state policies that reinforce Europocentric Protestant-Christian hegemony such as
In contrast to the vociferous and often juvenile denials of doctrinal racism by the European majority, the broken development of the African population in the United States is painfully conspicuous to anyone who has ever looked seriously at American social culture. Africans in the U.S. years after the original Civil Rights Movement still lag far behind Europeans in education, health care and food security. Recent studies have shown that the American job market unofficially deems nearly half of all African men in the United States as “unemployable,” irrespective of educational levels, work experience or the assumed advantage in not having a criminal record. Racial examinations of the American penal population reveal that Africans are incarcerated at a rate more than six times that of Europeans, (1,947 per 100,000 citizens compared to 306 per 100,000 citizens for Whites). One of the most important aspects of this particular issue is the documented fact that the majority of violent crimes committed nationwide are carried out by White people, but more than one-third of all African men in America between the ages of 18 and 34 are in one way or another caught up in the maze that is the criminal justice system.

The triple-whammy of drugs, homicide and HIV/AIDS as the leading environmental killers of young urban African men around the world relates directly to the crime and imprisonment data mentioned above. The alarming rise of HIV infection rates in urban African districts is due directly to the over-representation of Africans held imprisoned in social environments where intravenous drug-use, unprotected sexual contact, both assaultive and consensual, and widespread ignorance concerning the potential health risks of these activities are daily realities. Add to this the ravages of AIDS and you have a predicament that has led many to suggest that if American African incarceration rates are not lowered substantially and soon, the disease may eliminate more than two-thirds of the entire urban African population within two more generations. The evidence of this has become manifest already in that the African community of Washington DC has recently been identified as suffering from HIV infection rates significantly higher than that of all Kenya, the ancestral home of President Obama. The fact that this disease, a preventable disease I might add, is statistically worse in the capitol city of the United States than it is in one of Africa’s poorest urban populations says much about the mythologies surrounding American social parity and the willingness of the public to believe in them.

These issues are so jaundiced with misinformation, bigotry and abject fear in the African community that it should be rightly regarded as a crime against humanity to ignore their obviously genocidal impact. The callous establishment pretensions of the crime and punishment lobby when stripped of their fear-based rhetoric expose themselves to be the racist social engineering functions they really are. One does not need to be a sociologist or a mathematician to perceive a sinister and highly consistent pattern of abuse under the watchful eye of the White establishment. The statistics mentioned above are often used to buttress the eugenicist argument that African people are genetically prone to anti-social behaviour, but the corrupting paradigms of social Apartheid, economic violence and Euro-settler neurosis that inspired this tragedy in the first place receives only a passing mention. Focus is instead directed towards the symptoms of racism rather than its causes. The glaring reality of systemic blatant social disparities are routinely ignored in favour of blaming the victims of racism for the very existence of the racial bias itself.

This too is where Bro. Gil comes in. In his insightful work, ‘Peace Go With You, Brother (As-Salaam-Alaikum)’ he made it clear that every Black man in America is not necessarily supportive of his fellow ‘Brother’. In fact the entire body of his work clarifies beyond any reasonable doubt the absence of a conscious African unity in America. The days of Marcus Garvey are behind us, and Gil made it clear that the White fear of a unified ‘brotherhood of the ghetto’ is a fiction because it no longer exists:

\[\text{Recognition don’t come cheap anymore} \]
\[\text{You’re my lawyer, you’re my doctor} \]
\[\text{Yea, but somehow you’ve forgot about me} \]
\[\text{And now, now when I see you} \]
\[\text{All I can say is: Peace go with you brother} \]

- Peace Go With You, Brother (As-Salaam-Alaikum) Lyrics

When honestly dissected it makes the argument for African assimilation a moot and counterproductive consequence of a lost identity. As many African psychologists, most notably the late Franz Fanon, have repeatedly pointed out, the White liberal concept of a ethnically neutral, fully integrated modern democratic west is not an impossibility, but at what cost to the non-European? At what point does the Afro become a symbol of African identity rather than as a backwards icon of
dinosaur vintage rehash? Negro conservatives such as Herman Cain are only recent examples of African self-hatred and to be honest, without Wall Street’s backing of Barack Obama’s ‘Brown Comfort’ presidency, you would never have heard of Mr. Cain or any of the other Happy Darkies striving for visibility today.

Like the eventually disgraced human lawn jockey Michael Steele, (who cheerfully advocated the blatantly racist ‘Barack The Magic Negro’ parody) Mr. Cain observes no shame in selling-out the African community for strictly personal gain. In fact, he is proud of being associated with the neo-corporatist Koch brothers, the sons of Fred Koch, a founding member of the John Birch Society.¹ I fail to see how this paradox would differ from the ugly allegory of Otto Skorzeny, the ‘Most dangerous man in Europe’ having a friendly luncheon with Golda Meir in Tel Aviv. Shocking, right? And rightfully offensive to any self-respecting Jew anywhere in the world. So, why is the same sort of self-respect for the African denied as foolhardy and racism ‘in reverse’ against the people of the White world? The answer is clear. If the Black man were to respect himself, he would attain personal power over his own destiny. And when your destiny sits atop billions, if not trillions, in natural resources that are ripe for exploitation, true autonomy will always be refused by the powers that be. No matter what skin colour the operative forces may be, exploitation will continue unless it is countered with intelligent and thoughtful dissent. So sit quietly is akin to saying that the African is helpless. A condition far too many of us have acquiesced to over the generations. We too are human. And we too have a ‘Right to Exist’.

The African Diaspora is at a crossroads. Out slumber shall be to our detriment if we cannot shake our fear of the inner-beast and its emotional relationship to the Devil operating within the social-psycho sphere. To quote the honourable Father Allah, (Clarence 13X) ‘It’s now time for ‘God’ to stand up and be ‘God’ and win every battle’. The allegory behind the statement meaning bluntly, ‘It is now time for all conscious men to rise up and assert their own humanity and rightful independence from exploitation’. Unlike the institutional racism we observe within the White society, the Nation of Gods as taught by Father Allah recognised ‘humanity’, not race. And frankly, if we were more open to understanding and deconstructing our own philosophical traditions and ‘isms’ we would be much better prepared to deal with the rest of the world and our own petty and ruinous contradictions. Accepting the God(s) of the oppressor is not freedom, either spiritually or intellectually. If total separation was good enough for the mythical Moses it should be available to all on an equal basis and not just a reverse of the politically chosen and theologically sanctified. The concept of humanity should not be a question of opinion or taste. The sooner we can all agree to this basic law the faster we can all get somewhere to a place where all life is respected for its own intrinsic value and not weighed against the needs and wants of the capitalist, neo-liberal marketplace.

Those with Black skins and Europocentric-extremist hearts should be pitied. For it takes a great deal of courage to willingly demean oneself for something so meaningless as a proverbial pat on the head. I do not despise the Uncle Tom, I feel sorry for him. He hates himself. And that is a very, very lonely place to be.

-TheAngryindian
